

DRUTHERS

TRUTH • LOVE • FREEDOM

Issue #35 | October 2023

druthers /n. ...Informal...
one's own way, preference, or choice:
eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

FREE

Covering news & information that mainstream media won't. Please read and then pass this paper along to someone else when done. Find more to explore on our website: www.druthers.net

Government Aims to Obliterate Natural Health Care

By Shawn Buckley

Sabine struggled for consciousness. How long had it been since she had been aware? Time, like everything else, was lost in the drug-induced fog. Sabine's children, husband, and former life were only shadows in the fog.

Sabine suffered from severe bipolar disorder. She wrestled with a powerful and constant desire to die. To prevent Sabine from killing herself, she would be involuntarily committed to the psych ward and doped up on drug cocktails. For three years she was confined to bed for 20 hours a day. When she grew accustomed to the drugs, she would become suicidal again and be committed to the psych ward. A new drug cocktail would envelop Sabine in the mental fog, buying her a little longer to live.

Persons as severe as Sabine do not live long. For Sabine and others like her, our Health Canada-approved drugs are merely a torturous interlude before death.

But Sabine learned about treating severe bipolar disorder with a combination of vitamins and minerals called EMPowerplus. She knew this was experimental but she tried it. And it saved her life. She resumed her life as a mother, a wife, a friend and a professional.

Sabine went from facing a death sentence to having a full life. She was free, or so she thought. But there was one last demon hiding in the shadows. This demon was hell-bent on ensuring that she and the thousands of others that were only alive because of EMPowerplus, would no longer be able to access it. This demon was Health Canada.

Sabine was about to learn a lesson in Canadian drug regulation. She was about to learn that our drug regulations are not about health, they are about money.

The effect of our drug regulations is that it is only legal to treat serious health conditions with chemical drugs. We require any drug for a serious health condition to go through the new-drug approval process. This process is so detailed and so onerous that even simple applications can cost hundreds of millions of dollars. It is so expensive that **only chemical drugs with patents** go through it. Only if you have a patent can you charge an inflated price to recover the cost of the application process.

So, we have deliberately structured our drug regulations to have the effect that it is only legal to treat serious health conditions with chemical drugs that had a patent when approved. This is not by accident, it is by design. Our drug regulations protect intellectual property rights, they do not protect health.

The problem facing Sabine was that there was no patent. You cannot patent vitamins and minerals. Because of this, you cannot raise the funds necessary to go through the new-drug approval process. The effect of our drug regulations is that vitamins and minerals cannot become licenced for serious health

conditions and therefore are illegal for serious health conditions. Because EMPowerplus was illegal Health Canada removed it from the market.

Health Canada asked the company (Truehope) to stop selling. Truehope had psychiatrists, doctors, and patients write to Health Canada to explain there would

right to decide to do whatever they want to their body. I think we should have the right to decide how to treat ourselves in all situations, not just life and death ones.

Unfortunately, Health Canada is doubling down on its efforts to ensure that we only have access to chemical drugs. It is bringing in the *Self-Care Framework* (the "SCF") which will remove

our access to most natural health products ("NHPs"), our only alternative to chemical drugs. This is the second of a two-step process to ensure we only have access to chemical drugs. The first step happened in 2004 when our natural products were moved half-way into the chemical drug model. Before 2004, our natural products were unregulated. The drug regulations were meant for "chemical" drugs. Natural treatments we had effectively been using for hundreds or thousands of years were not subject to the drug regulations

But as natural products became more popular in the late 1980s both Health Canada and the U.S. Food and Drugs Administration ("FDA") started driving natural products off the market by applying the drug regulations to them. In the U.S. there was a citizen

rebellion which resulted in NHPs being classed by law as foods, not drugs. In the U.S. NHPs are deemed by law to be safe and can be sold without any government pre-approval.

In Canada, we did the opposite. We classed NHPs as drugs, not foods. We deem NHPs to be unsafe and require government pre-approval to sell them.

This was a halfway move into the chemical drug model. We were only halfway as we could still use traditional use evidence to show a NHP worked (as opposed to expensive clinical trials). We also did not have to pay the licencing fees the chemical drug companies have to pay.

Under the SCF, Health Canada moves us entirely into the chemical drug model, intending to harmonize regulation for NHPs and over-the-counter chemical drugs. Harmonization highlights include losing the right to use traditional use evidence to prove efficacy, licencing fees, no licencing for conditions for which you would seek the advice of a health care practitioner, \$5,000,000 a day fines (up from \$5,000 per offence), increased regulatory burden (to match those of the chemical drugs), and increased inspections, with the censoring of truthful health information.

The effect of these changes will be to remove most of our NHPs. This will also remove the health practitioners who rely on NHPs to treat us. There will be low-dose vitamins, minerals and single-ingredient products. Our multi-ingredient life-saving products will be gone. Innovation will end. We will find ourselves with only one treatment modality: chemical drugs.

This is a freedom issue. This speaks to who controls your body. Is it you? Is it Health Canada?

This is also a propaganda issue. Health Canada
See 'Bill C-47' p 5



be deaths if Truehope stopped selling. These pleas for mercy fell on deaf ears. Health Canada raided the company with armed police. Shipments from the U.S. were seized at the border. And then there were deaths. Ron LaJeunesse, the former President of the Alberta Branch of the Canadian Mental Health Association (the "CMHA"), held a press conference every time a CMHA member died. The deaths and suffering Health Canada was causing became public. So they backed down and allowed shipments to cross the border again.

But Health Canada was not done. They charged the company for selling without a licence. This also failed. The Court acquitted Truehope on the defence of necessity. In other words, the Court agreed that it was legally necessary for Truehope to continue selling EMPowerplus to avoid harm (*R. v. Synergy Group of Canada Inc.*, 2006 ABPC 196). This is an exceptional case. We cannot expect the Courts to go against the law which effectively makes it illegal to treat serious health conditions with anything but chemical drugs.

This brings us to a legal-philosophical question. Our law is paternalistic. The citizen has no right to decide to take a treatment that Health Canada does not approve. And, Health Canada drives unapproved treatments off of the market so the citizen has no choice. Health Canada decides what treatments you are allowed to take. They have complete control. In effect, our legal-philosophical position in Canada is:

Health Canada has the sole right to decide what treatments are available to you. If the "approved" treatments do not work, you have no right to try anything else. All non-approved treatments have been driven off the market.

I believe that people like Sabine, for whom the approved treatments were a death sentence, have the

DRUTHERS is written, funded, promoted & distributed freely by concerned Canadians like you.
If you appreciate this paper, please help us print more @ www.druthers.net/donate

youtube.com/druthers | bitchute.com/druthers | telegram.me/druthers | rumble.com/druthers | tiktok.com/@druthersnews
facebook.com/druthers.net | instagram.com/druthersnews | twitter.com/druthersnews

The Covid Protesters – Where Are They Now?

By James Corbett

If you participated in the recent COVID-911 Watch Along then you'll have the trauma and tyranny of the darkest depths of the scandemic fresh in your mind.

Remember when they were arresting people for the "crime" of shopping while maskless?

Remember when they were arresting pregnant women in their pajamas for the "crime" of posting information about public protest events on Facebook?

Remember when police were violently arresting teenagers for the "crime" of skating at an outdoor rink during lockdown?

Remember when they were debanking truckers and their supporters in Canada for the "crime" of assembling in Ottawa?

Remember when they were arresting pastors in front of their crying children for the "crime" of holding Sunday services during lockdown?

Remember when they were firing teachers for refusing to take the genetic slurry clot shot jabs?

Of course you do. But why do you remember all this so vividly? Because the establishment media was happy to air these scenes of trauma and abuse, that's why. The message of such images is clear: if you resist, you will be arrested, constitutional rights be damned. After all, this is an emergency.

This is The Big Lie of the biosecurity state. And, like every other aspect of the biosecurity state, it is all kabuki theatre. The reason the same establishment media that plastered these dramatic arrests all over the evening news never told you about the follow-up to these stories is because, time and again, these unconstitutional mandates and the violent arrests of the mandate resisters were found to have been illegal and the cases were thrown out of court. In fact, in many instances, the charges were dropped before the case even made it to court.

The people who are looking to traumatize and scare you, to keep you compliant and complacent, will never tell you what ended up happening to these people. So, allow me to do exactly that.

Here's the story of what happened after the cameras stopped rolling on these COVID resisters.

Shawn Jason

As the frost of COVID-1984 tyranny began to settle on the frigid climes of Canada, Shawn Jason — a long-time activist whose pre-COVID campaign had been titled "I Love You — Pass it on!" — knew he had to do something. That "something" turned out to be *Druthers*, a self-published alternative monthly newspaper run by a handful of activists on a shoestring budget that has so far managed to distribute 8 million copies across Canada.

And when the truckers began descending on Ottawa for the Freedom Convoy in early 2022, he knew he had to do something more. That "something more" came in the form of an innovative idea: offer the truckers a \$10 contract to deliver a copy of *Druthers* to Trudeau's office. The public could then "adopt a trucker" and support the convoy by donating funds to pay for these contracts. The theory behind this campaign was that if the truckers were in Ottawa working a commercial contract, then it would be more difficult for government agents to interfere with them.

The problem with the plan was that it was too successful. Rather than raising a few hundred dollars to support a few dozen truckers, as Jason had expected, the campaign, fueled by an energized Canadian public that rallied around the convoy, ended up raising \$150,000 in donations. Nervous about the amount of money pouring in from across the country and realizing the government was about to begin a crackdown, Jason went to the bank to withdraw \$100,000 of the funds in the form of forty \$2,500 bank drafts, which he could then distribute directly to the truckers.

You've probably guessed by now exactly how that

bank transaction unfolded. Rather than hand him the bank drafts, the bank instead informed Jason that his account had just been seized and they couldn't allow him to access any of the funds.

As he discussed with Dan Dicks (www.bitchute.com/video/3AJQbJZQgTt) in the immediate aftermath of that incident (and as I showed in my Give Send Gone podcast on the Canadian account freezing fiasco - www.corbettreport.com/givesendgone),



Shawn Jason, creator of *Druthers*

this was particularly devastating because, not having a corporate account, everything — Shawn's personal expenses, the *Druthers* expenses, the cost of the new house that he had just rented to work on *Druthers* with his team, and the donations for the truckers — were all suddenly inaccessible.

So, what happened to Shawn, anyway? And what about the other people who had their accounts frozen?



Trucker Csaba Vizi giving *Druthers* an interview about the 18 months preceding the Freedom Convoy (watch video at youtube.com/druthers)

Well, just ask *The New York Times*. Or better yet, DON'T ask *The New York Times*.

You see, on February 22, 2022, they published a Canadian government press release masquerading as a news article under the headline "Canada Ends Its Freeze on Hundreds of Accounts Tied to Protests." In it, establishment stenographer Ian Austen "reports" that "Isabelle Jacques, an assistant deputy minister in Canada's department of finance, told a House of Commons committee that the banks had begun unlocking accounts on Monday and that no more finances would be locked up."

Of course, that's not what Jacques actually said. As

Austen dutifully notes in the very next paragraph, her specific words were, "[t]he vast majority of assets are in the process of being unfrozen."

The "vast majority" of assets does not mean all assets, now does it? Just ask Shawn Jason. As he explained in a November 2022 update video (www.bitchute.com/video/WM3181bWKTvH), his bank (BMO) still has the \$150,000 that was donated to the truckers. He cannot access that money to either give it back to the donors or to pass it on to the truckers to whom those funds were promised.

So here's the real question: has all of this government-induced, banker-enabled financial harassment stopped Shawn from continuing his work? Of course it hasn't.

In fact, *Druthers* is going stronger than ever, with 250,000 copies of each issue being delivered each month and the next issue due out shortly. Strangely, though, the CBC doesn't seem too keen on highlighting this Canadian success story.

Csaba Vizi

Like many Canadian truckers, Csaba Vizi's life was devastated by the Canadian governments' COVID mandates. Having lost his job, he was unable to provide for his family. With absolutely nothing left to lose, he headed to Ottawa in late January 2022 with the Freedom Convoy and vowed to stay there as long as was required to attain freedom for himself and for all Canadians.

"I'm going home glorious, like Caesar, or in a body bag," Vizi told one interviewer, vowing that he would be the very last trucker to leave Ottawa.

Then all hell broke loose.

Vizi's website (www.csabavizi.com) describes the harrowing ordeal that followed when the police began to move in to clear out the truckers.

On February 19, 2022 at 9:30 am, Csaba quietly exited his truck, dropped to his knees and placed his hands behind his head. He was jumped and beaten by a group of officers in unmarked uniforms. This pivotal moment in Canadian history went viral around the world. Along with other truck drivers, Csaba was arrested and taken to a warehouse far from Parliament. One by one, they entered the warehouse to be searched, questioned and booked. Hours later, with his charges in hand, he was released into the bitter cold, it was -35 degree Celsius. He had no idea where he was nor were there any signs of life. Without a cellphone, he could only guess which direction he should walk in. After 1.5 hrs he crossed paths with the first stranger, and he eagerly offered his assistance.

The video (bitchute.com/video/zV8UD-BtxDiv5) of the incident did indeed go viral, causing consternation around the world.

Why did the police begin violently attacking this unarmed, peaceful protester, who was already demonstrably complying with his own arrest?

Remarkably enough, that incident was not the end of the story. When Vizi vowed not to leave Ottawa until freedom had been restored for all Canadians, he wasn't joking. As *Druthers* discovered (bitchute.com/video/GYcGoGHWe097) when they went to the Canadian capital last month, Csaba is still there, fighting for liberty.

Incredibly, Vizi is still facing charges for his participation in the convoy, including charges of resisting arrest, criminal mischief and failing to obey a court order by committing the grievous sin of honking his horn during the protest. He was offered a plea deal by prosecutors last year — plead guilty to the charges and get off with 30 days in jail — but (as you might have guessed by now) he didn't take it. Instead, he's fighting the charges in an Ottawa courtroom, where a five-day trial is slated to begin this month.

Those who are interested can contribute to Csaba's legal defense fund: (givesendgo.com/G2Z5M).

This is a portion of a longer article with more people and plenty of reference links originally published by James Corbett on his website at corbettreport.com

The State of Law in Canada

By Ted Kuntz

We are living in a time of lawlessness. Our Justices, whether in Family, Provincial, Superior, Appellate, or the Supreme Court of Canada, seem intent on violating the fundamentals of law and the body of decisions rendered over centuries of legal precedents. While the choice of medical treatment, and or, refusal has been recognized by the Supreme Court of Canada as a Charter right, this fundamental right is being ignored and consciously violated in these times of Covid-19.

Over the last three years, this has become evident in the various government's responses to COVID mandates. The Supreme Court of Canada did not view it important enough to grant permission to appeal a case where a person would die from being refused a vital organ transplant because they exercised their constitutional right to refuse the Covid-19 injection. Never before have justices presiding in a court of law systematically deferred to government dictates without the need to provide evidence that the violations of fundamental rights and freedoms of Canadians are demonstrably justified. This rote response has been to declare 'judicial notice'. Facts judicially noticed are not proven by evidence under oath; nor are they tested by cross-examination. This is at odds with Section 1 of the Charter, which puts the burden of proof on the government, meaning our courts are accepting, without proof, whatever the government deems necessary to address COVID, notwithstanding adequate evidence.

Our Canadian courts are no longer impartial. Our appointed judges have forgotten that they exist to protect, not deny, our rights, which is a challenge for those of us who have initiated legal action against government overreach. Vaccine Choice Canada initiated two legal actions in response to government overreach and violations of fundamental Charter rights and freedoms. In 2019, we launched an action against the Ontario government for their threat of removing a self-declared right to exempt children from vaccines in the public school system. This action was in response to years of govern-

ment threats, intimidation, coercion and fraud against parents who exercised their right to informed consent about childhood vaccinations. *Vaccine Choice Canada (VCC), et. Al. (and others) v. Her Majesty the Queen, et al. (and others)* Ontario Superior Court # CV-00629810-0000 <https://vaccinechoiccanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-soc-ontario-redacted-october-24-2019.pdf>

In July 2020, we launched legal action against the governments of Canada and Ontario for their egregious violations concerning their Covid-19 measures. VCC was the first organization to call out the global effort to deprive individual rights and sovereignty and



to impose unsupported, unscientific and harmful measures upon Canadians. *Vaccine Choice Canada (VCC), et. Al. (and others) v. Justin Trudeau, et al. (and others)* Ontario Superior Court # CV-20-00643451-0000 <https://www.constitutionalrightscentre.ca/20CRC16/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

Some were critical of the length of the Statement of Claim in this matter. I assure you that this was a deliberate decision by VCC to put on the public record the coordinated effort to violate the rights and freedoms of citizens globally by global organizations, and implemented

by governments in Canada. What was dismissed and deemed a conspiracy theory has proven to be accurate and well-founded. There has also been criticism of the lengthy delay in having these matters brought before the courts. There are several reasons for the delay. The first reason was institutional delay - the time to set down such a motion in Ontario is 18 months or more). Secondly, the state of law in Canada today, the fact that our courts are not respecting established law and precedents.

Our courts are not making impartial decisions, nor decisions based on evidence, but rather on opinion, ideology, and politicking. What we have witnessed during the last three years is the demise of our legal system of oversight and accountability of government action. Those, like Vaccine Choice Canada, who have initiated legal proceedings have a difficult decision to make. Do we proceed as expeditiously as possible, knowing that our courts are captured and our likelihood of success remote? The risk is that we not only lose our opportunity to right the wrongs, but we potentially increase the harm by having unjustified defeat set precedents. It is said that politics follows culture. The same is true for our judges.

There is substantive evidence that our judges, most especially our Supreme Court of Canada judges, have violated their independence from government and instead actively participated with government in determining government mandates in response to COVID. This means the recent court proceedings are a mockery of the independence of the courts.

But all is not lost. There is a growing awareness amongst Canadians of the deception and fraud committed by our governments and public health agencies under the guise of COVID-19. This awareness is shifting the culture such that our trust in government and regulatory institutions has declined dramatically. Blind trust in the benevolence of government is waning. More and more Canadians are asking the hard questions and expecting answers and accountability.

Hopefully, this culture shift will also shift our justices. We are scheduled to appear in court in January 2024.

Ted Kuntz is the President of vaccinechoiccanada.com

Exploiting Climate Change Fears for Global Financial Mastery

The globalist agenda seeks to consolidate control over the world, using climate concerns as a strategic tool

By Lily

In June, an international Summit for a New Global Financing Pact took place in Paris, drawing government leaders and influential figures from various think tanks worldwide. Notable participants included António Guterres, the Secretary-General of the United Nations, Janet Yellen, the US Treasury Secretary, Kristalina Georgieva, the Managing Director of the IMF, and Ajay Banga, the President of the World Bank.


The primary objective of the summit was to explore financial solutions aimed at addressing poverty while also addressing the pressing issue of "planet-heating emissions." As is customary in climate change-related gatherings, discussions in Paris inevitably gravitated toward the idea of centralizing power at an international level and establishing a global consortium to tackle challenges that some believe individual sovereign nations might be unable or unwilling to address.

In recent years, there appears to be a noticeable convergence of narratives. Central banks and international financial institutions are showing a sudden and heightened interest in carbon taxation and global warming, seemingly overshadowing their concerns about stagflation and economic collapse. This shift in focus raises suspicions that their true objective all along was to incorporate the climate change agenda into their broader plan, including the so-called "Great Reset."

Globalists are no longer concealing their intentions, as they openly link the climate change issue with international finance and monetary authority. The climate change agenda is now openly acknowledged as an integral part of the Great Reset agenda. Moreover, there are suggestions that the perceived threat of climate change might be utilized as a catalyst to grant global banks greater power in shaping wealth distribution and to dismantle the existing system, paving the way for its replacement with a new framework.

During the Paris summit, French President Emmanuel Macron emphasized the necessity for a significant

"public finance shock" to combat global warming and simultaneously promote "equity" for less affluent nations. He expressed concerns that the existing system was ill-equipped to effectively address the world's pressing challenges.



Speakers at the event acknowledged that the international economic framework had endured numerous crises, such as the pandemic and the conflict in Ukraine. However, their primary focus was on highlighting the escalating financial repercussions of weather-related disasters exacerbated by global warming, which continue to destabilize financial systems worldwide.

It is evident that the claims made in linking economic decline to climate change are nonsensical, but they seem to align with the narrative globalists are trying to propagate. However, it is important to note that there is absolutely no empirical evidence to support the idea that global weather events are worse now than they were before the rise of carbon-producing industries over a century ago. There is no established link between carbon emissions and specific weather phenomena, making such claims fraudulent. As previously discussed in other articles, there is no verifiable evidence supporting the existence of a man-made climate crisis.

Despite the lack of concrete evidence, the fear sur-

rounding the notion of a climate crisis may still deceive a considerable number of people. The question remains, how can this exaggerated fear be exploited?

Barbados Prime Minister Mia Mottley, much like other globalists, advocates for a complete reimagining of the role played by the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund in light of perceived climate risks. She contends that mere reform of these institutions is insufficient; instead, a fundamental transformation is necessary to address the perceived dangers posed by climate change.

According to UN leader António Guterres, the current global financial system, which originated after World War II through the Bretton Woods Agreement, is failing to address modern challenges and is perpetuating and exacerbating inequalities. Consequently, he advocates for a new version of the Bretton Woods framework that reflects contemporary values and priorities.

Guterres believes that immediate action can pave the way for substantial progress towards achieving global justice. To this end, he proposes a stimulus of \$500 billion annually to be directed towards investments in sustainable development and climate action. Additionally, there is a proposal to utilize the IMF's Special Drawing Rights basket to enhance global liquidity.

It is essential to keep in mind that the current economic crisis is not a result of external factors like COVID-19, the war in Ukraine, or climate change. Instead, it is attributed to the fiat stimulus measures adopted by central banks and the interest rate policies employed by global bankers. These monetary manipulations have led to a 40-year-high inflation rate and have compelled central banks to raise interest rates amid economic fragility. Unfortunately, such strategies have historically resulted in debt crises and stock market collapses. Consequently, placing the responsibility of fixing the crisis on bankers and globalists, who are considered to be the source of the problem, might not be the most prudent approach.

However, despite their role in causing the calamity,

See, 'Globalists' p.4

15-Minute City Speech to Aurora City Council Sept 20, 2023

By Joel Sussmann

Good evening,
Restructuring of Canadian mayors and municipalities under the auspices of the United Nations began in 1992. PM Mulroney signed Canada onto UN Agenda 21. Canada thus became a UN member nation-state. 178 countries signed on, lured by the promise of big money to go green. By 2000, countries, including Canada, were being governed by directions of the UN, G7, G20, World Economic Forum, and World Health Organization, to name some.

Every organization named is a foreign-based NGO (non governmental organization), and every member of all these organizations is unelected. Parliamentary procedures for law changes weren't followed. In 1994, a municipal primer was issued to all the rural towns outlining how they were to restructure their governments. Though the municipal primer was a nonbinding agreement, all towns adopted it.

Our public officials, the mayor and councillors of that day were partnered with the private corporation — the Corporation of the Town of Aurora — who appointed a chief administrative officer who helped implement the global agenda instead of a local one. The International Council on Local and Environmental Issues (ICLEI) became the main source of consultation to push and fund the global agenda.

We remind you that the World Economic Forum and the United Nations signed a strategic partnership framework in 2019 to jointly accelerate the implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. This is the same World Economic Forum whose chairman, Klaus Schwab, famously declared "You will own nothing and be happy." This is the same Klaus Schwab who, referring to Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, boasted "we have penetrated more than half of his cabinet."

We would ask Mayor Mrakas and the councillors, why should the citizens of Aurora bow down to the intrusive dictates of an unelected foreign entity? The fact is, we should not and we will not.

What, you ask, does any of this have to do with 15-Minute SMART Cities? Absolutely everything. SMART: S for surveillance, M for monitoring, A for analysis, R for reporting, and T for technology. *Technocracy News* editor, Patrick Wood, with 15 years of experience and expertise in technocracy, wrote: "The 15-Minute City is a cover

for a data collection bonanza for Technocrats who would design and operate them. Cities designed for maximum "efficiency" always reveal Technocrat thinking that efficiency itself is the goal, and that maximum surveillance allows for maximum control to achieve even more efficiency. At its very root, this mechanistic thinking is anti-human."

The 15-Minute City narrative seeks to fool you in the



guise of saving the planet, keeping you safe, and delivering convenience. It's actually the gateway to digital IDs and CBDCs (central bank digital currencies). CBDCs allow bankers and or governments to freeze your bank account because you happen to peacefully and lawfully protest and express your disagreement with government policy. Does anyone remember the truckers convoy in Ottawa in February '22, when the government of Canada invoked the *Emergencies Act* and froze private bank accounts of law-abiding citizens? 15-Minute Cities are wolves in sheep's clothing. Don't believe the countless stories spewing forth from the 24/7 faces from the elitist-captured mainstream media, all claiming to have your best interests at heart. We have been burned too many times.

In reality, 24/7 surveillance through the Internet of

Things (IoT) inside your home, 5G, and LED street lights outside are monitoring, tracking, and recording everything. Implementation of exclusion zones and geofencing to restrict movement and travel. Ability to control behaviours through military-directed energy technologies. Property and car ownership to be outlawed. Evictions from farms and rural areas in order to gather people into cities. Digital passports being promulgated by the UN, World Economic Forum, and the World Health Organization are in the final stages of planning and implementation. They are tied to a Social Credit Score, which is determined by compliance to government directives. These passports control all access to all aspects of life. Digital currency is being implemented to end cash and monitor all your spending. Your digital currency will be turned off or on depending on your compliance score. UBI (Universal Basic Income) is a state-controlled allowance, forcing compliance by restricting access to food, money, services, and education. All of the above will enable climate lockdowns to be implemented easily, arbitrarily, and indefinitely. The real agenda of 15-Minute SMART Cities is to monitor and control everyone and everything.

In summary, in the coming days, council will receive an electronic info packet which will contain the text of this delegation and other items. We, the citizens of Aurora, wish to enter into a meaningful, respectful dialogue with our elected members of council on this complex, important issue. A key framework of that dialogue is a list of questions posed to council. Can council explicitly guarantee that citizens will remain free to travel as is their right under the Charter of Rights and Freedoms? Can council guarantee not to restrict access to essential services, medical care, bank accounts, government pensions, and utilities? Most importantly, we, the citizens of Aurora, need to have the conversation with council about exiting their nonbinding agreement with the private for-profit entity known as the Corporation of the Town of Aurora. We need to turn back the page to a simpler time when open, transparent municipal government, serving its citizens and working in their best interests ruled the day. We are your equal partners on this journey.

Thank you.

Transcribed from our original video at:
youtu.be/GqziGyR6lEA

Globalists Use Fear to Control Masses

Continued from p.3

these individuals are now attempting to seize control and implement a sweeping Reset plan. The question arises, what is the ultimate destination of this endeavor?

Last year, the UN proposed that developed and emerging economies, such as the US and China, contribute to a wealth/emissions tax, amounting to at least \$2.4 trillion annually, which would fund climate change initiatives and be redistributed to less affluent nations. The process of redistribution would be orchestrated by the globalists themselves.

Other proposals being considered involve imposing taxes on fossil fuel profits and financial transactions to generate climate funds. In essence, this implies taxing oil and gas to the extent that prices soar, eventually becoming unaffordable for the public.

Macron, in particular, has lent his support to the idea of an international tax on carbon emissions from shipping, ostensibly aimed at raising the costs of overseas freight and reducing manufacturing demand. This complements the stringent carbon regulations already being applied to European agriculture.

These measures might appear to be disjointed plans focused on inflating prices through various forms of taxation and encouraging reduced consumption of goods. However, there is a more significant underlying scheme at work here. Climate change is being utilized as a means to establish a fully centralized global economic system, with organizations such as the IMF, BIS, World Bank, and the UN potentially assuming control.

The annual payments made by wealthier nations into global institutional funds can be seen as acts of tribute, demonstrating loyalty to this system. It also serves to create a stronger interdependency among various countries and globalist institutions. By having vast sums of money flow through these organizations and giving them the authority to redistribute wealth, they gain the ability to wield rewards and punishments. They can penalize coun-

tries that fail to comply with their directives and provide advantages to those that align with their agenda.

There are indications that the push for a new Bretton Woods system may be leading towards a currency crisis, which globalists could exploit as an opportunity to introduce their Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) model. Once CBDCs are implemented, it could grant them complete control over the populace. A cashless system lacking transaction privacy would enable authorities to exercise control over individuals and groups, curtailing their buying power at their discretion, resembling a totalitarian's dream scenario.

The continuous bombardment of global warming propaganda may not be coincidental. Those in power might be seeking an existential crisis to generate fear among the public. Fearful people tend to react irrationally and may look to leaders offering seemingly immediate relief, even if not the best choices. Addressing a global threat like climate change could be presented as requiring a global response, further consolidating their authority.

Should the public embrace climate change disaster narratives propagated by the media, it could facilitate numerous systemic changes. Some of these changes may have little to do with the environment, but instead serve the purpose of strengthening financial dominance over the populace.

The proposals of national wealth taxation and redistribution, the involvement of the IMF and World Bank as mediators for global funds, the potential use of the IMF's SDR basket as a global currency umbrella, and the introduction of CBDCs and a cashless society might not have any direct impact on climate change, even if it were a legitimate threat.

However, there is a concerning aspect known as the "scorched earth" model. Under this scenario, the ultimate intent may be to damage the economy deliberately to the extent that most industries collapse, trade shrinks, and the population declines due to unbearable living conditions. Some might argue that globalists are justifying such

actions as "saving the planet" by reducing the human population. If keeping the population in perpetual poverty is believed to mitigate global warming, then some individuals might support such an agenda.

Regardless of the specific goal, whether it involves economic micromanagement or the forced liquidation of production, the end result would be increased power for internationalists and a decrease in freedom and prosperity for the general population.

However, it's packaged, the elites' calls for a "financial shock" are nothing short of a drastic throttling of the system, intended to destabilize it to the point where it can no longer support the current population. Their pleas for global taxation and tribute in the name of "equality" are not aimed at elevating everyone's wealth; rather, they seek to bring about a state of equal impoverishment for all. And when they advocate for centralized oversight of nations to supposedly save the planet, it's a mere guise for their true agenda of establishing global governance.

These powerful forces are orchestrating a grand scheme, one that seeks to consolidate control over the masses and concentrate power in the hands of the few. By manipulating financial systems, promoting global taxation, and advocating for centralized governance, they aim to reshape the world according to their own vision, disregarding the well-being and prosperity of ordinary people.

The consequences of such actions would be catastrophic, leading to a loss of personal freedoms, a decline in living standards, and the erosion of national sovereignty. It is crucial for people to remain vigilant and informed, questioning the narratives put forth by those in power and safeguarding the principles of individual liberties. The future hangs in the balance, and only by recognizing the true intentions behind these proposals can we hope to resist the encroachment of a world dominated by a select few.

The true power to shape this world has always lain in your hands. Choose well!

Originally published at: www.alilybit.com

Severe Health Care Restrictions Coming to a Province Near You

Unless we do something to stop this

By Ingrid Pincott
(in collaboration with BC Rising & CSSEM)

On November 24th 2022 the Province of BC passed one of its largest bills ever, into law: Bill 36 now known as The Health Professions and Occupations ACT /HPOA. John Rustad, MLA for Vanderhoof, was the ONLY MLA who voted against the Bill and who was responsible for bringing this to the attention of those concerned citizens. The BC Rising and Canadian Society for Science and Ethics in Medicine (CSSEM) groups have since then devised a public campaign to educate not only the citizens of BC but also the professionals. NO ONE seems to know about this ACT and its severe implications until this campaign was launched in April of this year.

The HPOA is comprised of 645 sections that when printed is 3 inches thick! Only 232 clauses were read in the BC Legislature and the remaining 412 sections were not discussed or debated before the ACT received royal assent. This violated the BC government's own Standing Orders 84 (1) and (2) of the BC Constitution Act 1996, s45. Premier David Eby invoked this early closure denying politicians, health-care providers and concerned constituents the ability to voice their concerns.

The new Act compresses 15 Health Professional Colleges into more or less 6 College boards, with all board members being directly or indirectly appointed by the minister. When challenged, Health Minister Adrian Dix replied: "The Minister appoints because the Minister appoints". Previously approximately 50% of these College boards were health professionals elected by their membership and now 100% will be appointed. This makes the board ripe for political interference.

The Act allows the government to suddenly seize a patient's records, close a doctor's office without investigation, and persecute a doctor based on an anonymous complaint. This will affect doctors, naturopaths, nurses, chiropractors, dentists, optometrists, and all other health-care professionals in BC.

The BC Health Minister Adrian Dix says that 4000 Health Care professionals were consulted throughout BC over those past years through the Harry Cayton Report. For example Doctors of BC were given a time frame for stakeholders submission of two weeks during a holiday period. They got 56 responses which are not representative of the membership.

Why be concerned?

Under the guise of "modernization" and "streamlining", the new Act made sweeping changes, which ultimately violates the doctor-patient relationship threatening an already disintegrating healthcare system in BC.

We need to remember that Governments are in place to only provide funding for health care. Governments have no education or background to ban or mandate medical treatments or procedures.

Healthcare workers and colleges need to be regulated but not to be interfered with by politicians, bureaucrats and lobbyists. Health care needs to be provided by health care professionals who are trained in their science of medicine to provide informed consent and personalized health care for their patients and their families for the best outcome of the patient. This is the definition of personalized medicine.

Once enacted fully, Bill 36 will be the final nail in the BC healthcare coffin. Already practitioners are taking stress leave, forced retirement and leaving the province to be able to practice autonomously. BC will not be able to attract health care professionals due to these penalties.


Offices and walk-in clinics are closing, while the long wait times in BC Emergency Departments, struggling to remain open, are causing patients to leave without being seen by a physician. In Dec 2022 (a CBC report) claimed that rural Emergency Rooms around BC were closed for an equivalent of 4 months.

Despite irrefutable evidence that Covid shots do not prevent transmission, it is estimated that 5000 fewer nurses and care aids have not returned to practice due to sick leave, disability or vaccine status, while every other province, except Nova Scotia, has welcomed back their unvaxxed workers. In May 2023, ER doctors at the Surrey Memorial Hospital wrote an open letter warning of the dire hospital conditions and advised patients not to come to their ER for treatment. In a show of progressive action, Adrian Dix, the BC health Minister, arranged signage and a ground-breaking ceremony for new Surrey facilities. All equipment and signs were quietly removed 2 days later.

While the BC government continues to deceive the province with promises of cash infusions, the fast track-

ing of foreign workers, and shipping cancer patients to the US for costly treatment because of understaffing, there is pushback by BC Rising and the Canadian Society for Science and Ethics in Medicine (CSSEM). These two organizations, comprised of lay persons and doctors respectively, have created something effective: The Bill 36 Postcard Campaign.

So far over 3000 postcards have been signed and mailed by BC citizens to their MLA, demanding that Bill 36 be repealed or, at a minimum, allowed to be debated in the Legislature. More cards are being sent in daily as people realize that Bill 36 will prevent them from seeing a doctor



or any healthcare practitioner to receive high-quality, private, personalized advice. We need thousands more.

The term Bill 36 has continued to be used because it was also part of the recall Premier David Eby campaign that took place earlier this year so the public is familiar with the term. However the Bill has now been passed but NOT yet enacted, to form the HPOA. It is felt that with huge public pressure that the Government of BC can be forced to bring HPOA back to the table or better yet scrap it entirely and garner the support and input from all of the Health Care Professionals in BC to draft a new one.

Campbell River based Aaron Gunn in his documentary "Waiting to Die" states that in Canada we already have a 50- tiered Health Care system and explains the reasons why patients are dying while waiting for Health Care. It expertly explains how 27 other countries with Universal Health Care have highly effective hybrid systems combining public and private pay, which is NOT the US based system. These are some of the solutions that are being provided to the politicians.

Patients are Less Safe:

Here are some of the concerns: (see references to clauses in the Bill 36 in Cover Letter www.cssem.org/Bill36)

The entire Bill is open to interpretation and does not define the terms "misinformation, misleading or false information" and who decides? The government is allowed to define and influence "false or misleading information". The minister may unilaterally make regulations regarding what information must be provided by health care providers to both patients and the public.

The Health Minister dictates the construction of health care colleges and appoints directly or indirectly all board

members of these colleges, as well as the director of discipline. At no point is the minister obliged to consult with the front line health care workers in the making of these regulations, appointments and construction of these colleges. If front line workers are not consulted this will ultimately make patients less safe.

This same partisan-appointed director of discipline can independently appoint discipline members, consultants, experts and specialists. Remember, when questioned about this dubious appointment Dix answered: "The minister appoints because the minister appoints."

A complaint can be filed against the Health Care Provider based on a media or a public source and a formal investigation can be initiated even before a complaint has been made against a registrant.

A regulatory complaint with personal and protected information can be disclosed to the public.

Speaking out against policies which lack scientific basis would hold a health care provider liable on conviction of a \$25,000 fine, six month imprisonment, or both.

Bill 36 is destroying personalized health care and informed consent making it so that patients and their families are ultimately less safe.

Freedom of Speech in Medicine is Gone

Dr. Chris Milburn MD runs a yearly conference in Nova Scotia www.FreeSpeechinMedicine.com. He along with Jordan Peterson state that free speech in medicine is gone in Canada. See the video of Dr. Ben Turner MD, President of the Canadian Society for Science and Ethics in Medicine on Bill 36. www.cssem.org/bill36/media. Information about Bill 36 is censored from the Legacy Media and many organizations are refusing to help with dispensing of this important information.

You Can Make a Difference

Go to www.cssem.org/bill36 and print, sign and send in a postcard to your own MLA. Share with 7 or more friends including your own health care professionals. Volunteer at a Bill 36 table event. www.bcrising.ca/committee/Bill-36 Meet with your MLA and express your concern.

HPOA takes censorship to an unprecedented level of control. The government will decide what is "misinformation". The Ministry of Health will unilaterally make regulations regarding which therapeutic options can be provided to patients and will become the sole arbitrator of what is "false or misleading".

There is little doubt that BC will be left with government-controlled potentially pharma-based disease-care medicine on steroids. Where is patient centered care in all this? That is if you can find a family doctor without driving to Alberta. BC is being used to implement a system that can gradually spread to all provinces resulting in severe health restrictions coming to a province near you.

PLEASE DO ONE SMALL THING and become an activist and help get rid of Bill 36/HPOA and bring back "safety" to Health Care. Share this two minute concise message **604-659-9476**.

Ingrid Pincott is a retired Naturopathic Physician. She penned a regular health care column in the Campbell River Mirror for over 20 years and now volunteers for BC Rising and Canadian Society for Science and Ethics in Medicine

Source information: cssem.org/bill36/coverletter

Bill C-47 Attacks Natural Health Care

Continued from p.1

consistently cites the "risk" of natural products to justify ever-stricter regulations. The truth is you are 14 times more likely to be struck by lightning than to be killed by a NHP. Peanut butter is dramatically more dangerous than the entire NHP industry. Would you accept any loss of freedom to be protected from lightning or peanut butter?

And what is the risk of restricting NHPs? For Sabine and the thousands of others relying on EMPowerplus for their lives, the risk is certain death. Health Canada never takes the risk of removing NHPs into consideration. But any risk analysis must be balanced if the goal is to protect health. A one-sided risk analysis by Health Canada is the sure signal that the SCF is meant to protect intellectual property rights rather than to protect health.

We can stop this. The Natural Health Product Protection Association (the "NHPPA") is campaigning to end the SCF and to bring in the *Charter of Health Freedom*. Over 70% of Canadians regularly take NHPs.

We can create a citizens' movement to protect our access to NHPs. But we need your help. Go to nhppa.org and sign up for our newsletters. We use them to let you know about actions you can take. Support us financially. We need funding to run a successful campaign.

The SFC is part of an international movement to harmonize drug regulations. This is why the World Health Organization is now looking into the regulation of natural health products. If there is any issue we can use to inform the Canadian Public that our health regulations are being dictated to us from outside of Canada this is it. We have an opportunity to broaden awareness just as the campaign to peacefully re-take control of our institutions is being organized. Become a part, join the NHPPA.

Shawn Buckley is a lawyer with expertise in the regulation of drugs and natural health products and is also lead counsel for the NCI.

The Unvaccinated Will be Vindicated

By Vigilant Fox

When you reflect on where we were then and where we are now, you can't help but think, "Wow. I am so thankful for the progress we've made." Because the unvaccinated were on the verge of being "othered" to the point of being sent straight to the gulags.

Let's take a trip back to September 9, 2021, when Joe Biden announced sweeping vaccine mandates. "Over 200 million Americans have gotten at least one shot. We've been patient, and our patience is wearing thin, and your refusal has cost all of us."

This was the moment that sent a deep chill down my spine and raised all alarm bells that "We are on a very dangerous path," and I decided there and then, "I can no longer be a spectator." The President of the United States was directly threatening his own citizens and blaming a subset of people for the ongoing COVID crisis. I was thinking, "Haven't we learned from mistakes of the past that you don't single out one group of people and blame them for the woes of the country?" But this took a global scale.

And then came the two-tiered society... (October 2021)

Reporter: "So you've basically said this is gonna be like ... two different classes of people if you're vaccinated or unvaccinated. You have all these rights if you are vaccinated."

Jacinda Ardern (former prime minister of New Zealand): *With a grin* "That is what it is. So, yep. Yep."

So those God-given rights you were born with? "We're taking those away unless you comply with our demands."

These policies were overt human rights violations, and it saddens me how so many people were okay with them. But it goes to show the power of propaganda, mind control, and group think.

And as if things weren't getting absurd enough

If you dared to speak out against the mandates, even if you are vaccinated, you're just as much "anti-

vax" as the unvaccinated.

Not my words. Former NT Chief Minister Michael Gunner's. (November 2021)

"If you are anti-mandate, you are absolutely anti-vax. I don't care what your personal vaccination status is. If you support, champion, give a green light,

manhandled and appeared to choke a young woman for mask noncompliance outdoors.

"He's f*cking choking me! You're choking me! What the F*ck!"

Quarantine camps popped up in Australia

With fines of up to 5,000 Australian dollars for breaking the rules on their grounds.

But in the face of coercive measures and medical tyranny, a 'small fringe minority' took a stand.

(January 2021)

The Canadian truckers were the tip of the spear

Students started walking out of schools with mask mandates. And protests to end the mandates became larger, more frequent, and more fierce across the globe.

In Wellington, New Zealand, the Maori people demonstrated outside of parliament with the famous "Haka," a ceremonial dance with a history of intimidating its opponents before times of battle.

Thanks to these demonstrations and dissenting

voices speaking out, 'The Science' was exposed, public opinion shifted, and vaccine mandates went down one by one. What appeared to be a certainty just a year ago, vaccine mandates for school children, except for a few outliers, are NOT HAPPENING in the United States.

Thanks to the unvaccinated, their resolve, their courage to say NO in the face of tyranny, there is still a control group for the greatest experiment ever conducted on humanity.

The unvaccinated will be vindicated.

It was non compliance that ended domination by big pharma companies, Bill Gates and his many organizations, and the World Economic Forum; it was thanks to the people we tried to embarrass, ridicule, mock, and tear down.

We should all try to find some inner gratitude for the unvaccinated as we took the bait by hating them because their perseverance and courage bought us the time to see we were wrong.

So if mandates ever return for COVID, or any other disease or virus, hopefully, more of us will be awake and see the rising authoritarianism that has no concern for our well-being and is more about power and control.

The war on the unvaccinated was lost, and we should all be very thankful for that

Thank you for making it all the way to the end. If you had the courage to resist vaccination or speak out against the mandates, I want to offer you my deepest gratitude. Thanks to your bravery and the millions alike, we've been spared from the gulags and have the powers that be on the ropes.

There's much more progress to be made, and we will get there in time, but when you look back at where we were then and where we are now, there is no doubt that WE ARE WINNING!

Originally published at: vigilantfox.substack.com



give comfort to, support anybody who argues against the vaccine, you are an anti-vaxxer. Absolutely! Your personal vaccination status is utterly irrelevant."

In other words, "If you dare to question the authority of the state, we will also place you into the 'other' category."

And then came discriminatory lockdowns of the unvaccinated

Most notably in Austria. (November and December of 2021) The unvaccinated were barred from leaving their homes for all "non-essential" purposes. You couldn't even take your family for a humble meal at McDonald's without the threat of police barging in to check your papers.

Keep in mind that it was well known that the COVID jab did not stop transmission. But governments worldwide continued to punish the unvaccinated, stripping away their jobs, their ability to travel, and the ability to feed their families.

Neighbors turned on neighbors. The propaganda became more ridiculous. They went as far as to divide us with fences.

And Austria even intended to criminalize being unvaccinated

"An Austrian newspaper published the rules the government wants to introduce on the first of [February, 2022] already here in Austria. Those rules say that if you're not vaccinated, you can get fined up to 2000 euros each time you're caught unvaccinated. This can mean, and this is explicitly being said so that you can also get fined more than once a day, or three times, four times, five times if you're unvaccinated. If you refuse to pay this, the government wants to put us into a separate prison which has to be created for unvaccinated people for up to one year."

On a global scale, the unvaccinated were treated like animals, "others." So were the people who didn't want to wear a mask. An Australian police officer

Advertisement

Say NO to all forms of government overreach!



action4canada.com/we-will-not-comply

Advertisement



Manitoba Employees Class Action
 HELP US hold the Manitoba Government
 ACCOUNTABLE for Job Terminations
 due to the 2021 COVID mandates
 For information or to make a donation visit:
freedomtowork.ca

Advertisement



Unjabbed.net
 The Website For Meeting Unvaccinated
 Adults For Dating, Friendship & Connections

DRUTHERS: Social Media Accounts **BLOCKED!**

But They Can't Censor Print

By Shawn Jason

Well friends, as of September 22, we lost connection with 187,000 of you on Facebook and 27,000 more of you on Instagram. This is where the majority of our online reach came from, so this is a pretty big deal to *Druthers*. This is also a really big deal for all Canadians, yet most don't seem to see or care that this is a very serious issue.

content nor post anything new. The pages are essentially useless now. We cannot keep you informed of what's going on through those platforms any more.

Who Is In The Wrong?

Facebook says the government is in the wrong for demanding money be paid to their dying legacy media outlets, and the government says Facebook is wrong for blocking Canadian news content.

I say, this is all wrong!! I also say, it's all by design.

determine to be true will be served up as such, even when it is clearly not actually true.

They Can't Censor Print

It is now even more important than ever to **support *Druthers*** and help this newspaper grow in reach & impact. 250,000 newspapers per month is our usual circulation, which is fabulous, but with 15 million dwellings in this country and a population of 40 MILLION people, we can do so much more, and they can't censor print!

This project is entirely people powered. We receive no government funding and have no corporate sponsors. This truly is the people's paper and we really do need your ongoing support in order to keep printing.

Did you know? We have printed nearly 9 million papers so far and given them to people coast to coast across Canada and into numerous other countries too.

Let's keep it going!!

Invest In The Future Of Canada

Your contribution to *Druthers* is so much more than a donation. It is an investment into the future and freedom of this country; for ourselves, for our children and for our grandchildren. These papers plant seeds of thought that are helping more people rapidly wake up to the bigger picture and the harms being inflicted upon us and on all our loved ones.

We can make it stop. We can still change course. Together we are strong. I believe that when enough of us recognize the source of our problems, we WILL come together and create the solutions and find better ways forward for all of humanity. This is why *Druthers* is so important. We bring to light the things that mainstream media won't. We provide another perspective to the story. We share censored experts and silenced voices. This is all tremendously important in a healthy society.

For every \$1,000 we raise, we print and distribute 10,000 newspapers across Canada with the help of thousands of volunteers!! That's how we can do it for just 10 cents per paper. How many people can you afford to help wake up?

Easiest is **ETRANSFER**: Use the email admin@druthers.net to send a donation to help print more papers next month. Please consider doing this monthly if you are able.

Credit, Debit or Paypal: You can use the official fundraising page: donorbox.org/druthers

Cash, Cheques, Gift Cards: Make cheques payable to and mail to:

Shawn Jason Laplante


P.O. Box 40531

Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

Keep going everyone!! We are winning :) Remember to stay focused on what we do want to see in this world... TRUTH, LOVE & FREEDOM!

THE BEST WAYS TO ENSURE WE KEEP IN TOUCH ARE TO:

1. Add your email to our contact list on the front page of our website at druthers.net
2. Get our actual newspaper sent to you by mail each month at druthers.net/subscribe
3. Or just send us an email directly to admin@druthers.net



How Did This Happen?

Earlier this year, Bill C-18 passed, which basically means the government told online tech companies that if Canadians are going to share Canadian news links on their social platforms, that the platforms had to start paying the news agencies. Big tech said no way, and now all Canadian news is blocked on Facebook & Instagram, including everything we have ever posted on the *Druthers* social pages.

Go see for yourself:

facebook.com/druthers.net

or: instagram.com/druthersnews

You will find the accounts are still there but no content can be seen, but only if you are in Canada. People in other countries can still see our content, though we the admins of those social pages cannot see our own

With legacy media fading away into oblivion, brought about by their own greed, lies & lack of integrity for the people, this is a superb way to temporarily push Canadians back to buying their mainstream newspapers, watching /listening to their news stations, hence increasing advertising revenues and making the corporate media more money. But also, and more importantly, it gives them better control over the narrative on whatever the political hot topic of the week is. State sponsored propaganda is about all we receive in Canada these days through the big media giants.

If no news can be found online, where will people get their news fix? Yep, legacy media, which we all know by now is not honest and does not care for the best interests of the people. And now there will be no dissenting voices or room for debate. Whatever the government suits and unelected regulatory bodies

FREEDOM WINS!

- **Charges against an elderly man who was arrested for honking his horn during the Freedom Convoy have been dropped.** Gerald Charlebois, 80, was arrested by police for "allegedly honking his horn" in a video that went viral during the Freedom Convoy protest in Ottawa. As a result of his arrest, Mr. Charlebois sustained several bruises and cuts to his hands, wrists, and knee area. Charlebois' attorney believes that "Mr. Charlebois may have a civil remedy available to him against the police."
- After years of doctors losing their jobs for prescribing Ivermectin, and pharmacies refusing to fulfill prescriptions for the drug, in a Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, *Apter et al v. Department of Health & Human Services et al.* (a trial focused on whether the FDA interfered with doctors' ability to prescribe ivermectin for COVID) the **FDA announced that doctors now have the authority to prescribe ivermectin "off-label" to treat COVID-19.**
- **The Ontario Superior Court of Justice has ruled in favor of an Ontario high school student who was arrested for coming to class after declaring that**

there are only 2 genders. 16-year-old Josh Alexander was suspended last November over comments made about gender in a class discussion and was told he couldn't return to class until he recanted. When he returned to class without recanting he was promptly arrested by police and charged with trespassing. His high school is now required to hear his appeals regarding multiple suspensions, exclusions, and the trespassing notice.

- **Disciplinary proceedings against a doctor who spoke out against the COVID lockdowns and mandates have been withdrawn by her regulator, the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario.** Dr. Kulvinder Kaur Gill, a specialist physician practicing in the Greater Toronto Area, with a background in pediatrics and clinical immunology, has a large following on Twitter/X where she has shared scientific studies and opinions about various government Covid policies since the start of the pandemic. Until this abrupt about-face by the CPSO, as a result of her online advocacy, Dr. Gill had faced constant investigations and disciplinary actions since August of 2020, when she was the target of an online campaign by the corporate media.

Nobel Prize Winner Dr. John F. Clauser Signs the Clintel.org World Climate Declaration

By Clintel.org

John F. Clauser, winner of the 2022 Nobel Prize in Physics for his work on quantum mechanics, has decided to sign the World Climate Declaration of Clintel with its central message “there is no climate emergency”. Clauser is the second Nobel Laureate to sign the declaration, Dr. Ivar Giaever was the first. The number of scientists and experts signing the World Climate Declaration is growing rapidly and now approaching 1600 people.


Clauser has publicly distanced himself from climate alarmism and this year he also joined the Board of Directors of the CO2 Coalition. In the announcement by the CO2 Coalition, Clauser was quoted in the following way:

“The popular narrative about climate change reflects a dangerous corruption of science that threatens the world’s economy and the well-being of billions of people. Misguided climate science has metastasized into massive shock-journalistic pseudoscience. In turn, pseudoscience has become a scapegoat for a wide variety of other unrelated ills. It has been promoted and extended by similarly misguided business marketing agents, politicians, journalists, government agencies, and environmentalists. In my opinion, there is no real climate crisis. There is, however, a very real problem with providing a decent standard of living to

the world’s large population and an associated energy crisis. The latter is being unnecessarily exacerbated by what, in my opinion, is incorrect climate science.”

IPCC is spreading dangerous misinformation

In July Clauser gave a talk at the event Quantum



Korea 2023. He warned the audience about the growing amount of pseudoscience and misinformation.

“Now I am not alone in observing the dangerous proliferation of pseudoscience. Recently, The Nobel Foundation has formed a new panel to address the issue called the International Panel on Information Environment. They plan to model it after the UN’s International Panel on Climate Change, the IPCC.

I think personally that they are making a big mistake in that effort because in my opinion the IPCC is one of the worst sources of dangerous misinformation. What I’m about to recommend is in furtherance of that, of the aims of that panel. [...]

I have a second elephant in the room that I have recently discovered regarding climate change. I believe that climate change is not a crisis. [...]

Beware. If you’re doing good science, it may lead you into politically incorrect areas. If you’re a good scientist, you will follow them. I have several I won’t have time to discuss, but I can confidently say there is no real climate crisis and that climate change does not cause extreme weather events.”

As Clintel demonstrated in its recent book *The Frozen Climate Views of the IPCC*, the IPCC indeed made serious errors in its latest report. Shortly after his talk in Korea the International Monetary Fund (IMF) cancelled a scheduled talk by Clauser about climate models. In an

interview with the *Epoch Times*, Clauser said with respect to climate science: “We are totally awash in pseudoscience”.

Guus Berkhout, emeritus professor of geophysics at the TU Delft and president of Clintel, very much welcomed Professor Clauser to the Clintel Community.

“It’s very encouraging when high profile scientists such as Dr Clauser are willing to speak out about the glaring corruption of science by the climate establishment. We aim to make Clintel a full-fledged counterpart of the IPCC. The more excellent scientists in the Clintel network, the stronger our position in the debate with the IPCC as well as the leaders of supranational policy organisations.”

The Clintel World Climate Declaration was published in 2019, the year Clintel was founded. The strength of the declaration is its accessibility and its powerful message: there is no climate crisis. This holds true regardless of whether you believe in a large or small contribution of CO2 to the warming in the past 150 years. Scientists and experts who want to sign the declaration can submit their request here.

A great way to learn more about the life and work of Dr Clauser is to watch this Nobel Prize interview with him: youtu.be/fYprnW1wEjM

Originally published at clintel.org

Transgender Medication Harms Kids

By Elise Roberts

Concerned parents have every right to an equal voice in their children’s sex ed curriculum and have good reason to protest against gender ideology in schools. The political indoctrination begins in the primary grades and has the potential to confuse children about their sexual identity, possibly leading to gender transition in youth. This is especially concerning given the harmful medications used in the process of gender transition and the absence of long-term studies to demonstrate safety.

The original intent of the Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity (SOGI) curriculum was well-intended, to empower and educate children and youth against bullying. The curriculum evolved to a stronger focus on gender ideology that uses graphic books, strict rules about using non-gender pronouns and gender neutral washrooms in secondary schools. The indoctrination can be coercive, potentially leading to gender transitioning becoming a trend, whereas youth may not have otherwise made that choice.

Lupron (*Leuprolide acetate*), a puberty blocker, is one of the medications used for gender transitioning youth. This year the US Food and Drug Act issued a warning that it can cause brain swelling and blindness. Other negative side effects are bone density loss, cognitive impairment, heart failure, strokes, blood clots, depression and suicidal thoughts. Yet advocates for gender transition claim the process is essential to prevent suicide.

What’s more, there have been no clinical trials or studies on the negative effects of puberty blockers. An article in the *American College of Pediatricians* stated: “*This means that youth transition is experimental, and therefore, parents cannot provide informed consent, nor can minors provide assent for these interventions. Moreover, the best long-term evidence we have among adults shows*

that medical intervention fails to reduce suicide.”

The BC Provincial Health Service Authority Trans Care website states “*Puberty blockers are considered to be very safe overall. We are not sure if puberty blockers have negative side effects on bone development and height. Research so far shows that the effects are minimal. However, we won’t know the long-term effects until the first people to take puberty-blockers get older.*” The next page states, “*You have the right to accurate, evidence-based information about gender health and care options.*” These 2 statements are completely contradictory. How can the Provincial Health Authority claim a product is safe when long term negative side effects remain unknown?


In this case, the standard of medical care for youth has diminished to an all time low, when it should be the highest possible standard with precautionary principles at the forefront.

And should elected government leaders and health-care professionals be investigating whether there are profit incentives by pharmaceutical corporations to promote puberty blockers before policies are established? It’s time to take a second look!

In 2008, BAYER withdrew their Leuprolide acetate implant, used for prostate cancer, from the market based on diminished market demand. In 2018, Lupron manufacturer AbbVie made \$726 million on this drug alone. Do these massive profits indicate slick marketing strategies that prey on vulnerable, impressionable, gender confused youth? That is sinister.

BAYER is now a corporate sponsor of EGALE, a global organization that supports transgender youth through education in schools, funded by Health Canada. Transgender clinics are increasing rapidly on a global scale and so, therefore, are sales of harmful medications. Could transgender youth soon be a target market for BAYER to sell another form of *Leuprolide acetate*?

It is truly alarming that our elected politicians support sex educational policy on the ideology of just one special interest group while excluding all others. Instead of school boards, teachers and their unions inviting concerned parents to have civil discussion, to give them an equal voice, they incite the LGBTQ community to name-call and shout accusations of hate and racism. Their behaviour at the 1MillionMarch4Children was unprofessional, irrational and bizarre. Parents have reasonable and legitimate concerns about the well-being of their kids, especially in a climate where big pharma profit takes priority over people and where big pharma influences government health policy to such a huge extent. This is Trudeau’s divided Canada, our once great and democratic nation.



**WANT DRUTHERS
DELIVERED TO
YOUR NEIGHBOURS
MAILBOXES?**

DRUTHERS

Now you can with
Druthers Neighbourhood
Mail service.

druthers.net/neighbourhood

It’s never been easier to make a big
difference in your neighbourhood
with Druthers.

Now you can have copies of Druthers
newspapers delivered right to mailboxes
in your neighbourhood and wake up
your community more quickly.

We make all the arrangements so all
you need to do is, decide how many
addresses you would like delivered to
and which postal code area you
would like blanketed, and that’s it...
we’ll take care of the rest.

Visit druthers.net/neighbourhood

How Blackrock Investment Fund Triggered the Global Energy Crisis

By F. William Engdahl

Most people are bewildered by what is a global energy crisis, with prices for oil, gas and coal simultaneously soaring and even forcing closure of major industrial plants such as chemicals or aluminum or steel. The Biden Administration and EU have insisted that all is because of Putin and Russia's military actions in Ukraine. This is not the case. The energy crisis is a long-planned strategy of western corporate and political circles to dismantle industrial economies in the name of a dystopian Green Agenda. That has its roots in the period years well before February 2022, when Russia launched its military action in Ukraine.

Blackrock pushes ESG

In January, 2020 on the eve of the economically and socially devastating covid lockdowns, the CEO of the world's largest investment fund, Larry Fink of Blackrock, issued a letter to Wall Street colleagues and corporate CEOs on the future of investment flows. In the document, modestly titled "A Fundamental Reshaping of Finance", Fink, who manages the world's largest investment fund with some \$7 trillion then under management, announced a radical departure for corporate investment. Money would "go green." In his closely-followed 2020 letter Fink declared,

"In the near future - and sooner than most anticipate - there will be a significant re-allocation of capital...Climate risk is investment risk." Further he stated, "Every government, company, and shareholder must confront climate change."

In a separate letter to Blackrock investor clients, Fink delivered the new agenda for capital investing. He declared that Blackrock will exit certain high-carbon investments such as coal, the largest source of electricity for the USA and many other countries. He added that Blackrock would screen new investment in oil, gas and coal to determine their adherence to the UN Agenda 2030 "sustainability."

Fink made clear the world's largest fund would begin to disinvest in oil, gas and coal. "Over time," Fink wrote, "companies and governments that do not respond to stakeholders and address sustainability risks will encounter growing skepticism from the markets, and in turn, a higher cost of capital." He added that, "Climate change has become a defining factor in companies' long-term prospects... we are on the edge of a fundamental reshaping of finance."

From that point on the so-called ESG investing, penalizing CO2 emitting companies like ExxonMobil, has become all the fashion among hedge funds and Wall Street banks and investment funds including State Street and Vanguard. Such is the power of Blackrock. Fink was also able to get four new board members in ExxonMobil committed to end the company's oil and gas business.

The January 2020 Fink letter was a declaration of war by big finance against the conventional energy industry. BlackRock was a founding member of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (the TCFD) and is a signatory of the UN PRI—Principles for Responsible Investing, a UN-supported network of investors pushing zero carbon investing using the highly-corrupt ESG criteria—Environmental, Social and Governance factors into investment decisions. There is no objective control over fake data for a company's ESG. As well, Blackrock signed the Vatican's 2019 statement advocating carbon pricing regimes. BlackRock in 2020 also joined Climate Action 100, a coalition of almost 400 investment managers managing US\$40 trillion.

With that fateful January 2020 CEO letter, Larry Fink set in motion a colossal disinvestment in the trillion-dollar global oil and gas sector. Notably, that same year BlackRock's Fink was named to the Board of

Trustees of Klaus Schwab's dystopian World Economic Forum, the corporate and political nexus of the Zero Carbon UN Agenda 2030. In June 2019, the World Economic Forum and the United Nations signed a strategic partnership framework to accelerate the imple-



mentation of the 2030 Agenda. WEF has a Strategic Intelligence platform which includes Agenda 2030's 17 Sustainable Development Goals.

In his 2021 CEO letter, Fink doubled down on the attack on oil, gas and coal. "Given how central the energy transition will be to every company's growth prospects, we are asking companies to disclose a plan for how their business model will be compatible with a

"Maybe if more of us did this, people inside the government would be educated and wake up to what is really going on!"

net zero economy," Fink wrote. Another BlackRock officer told a recent energy conference, "where BlackRock goes, others will follow."

In just two years, by 2022 an estimated \$1 trillion has exited investment in oil and gas exploration and development globally. Oil extraction is an expensive business and cut-off of external investment by BlackRock and other Wall Street investors spells the slow death of the industry.

Video: BlackRock, the Company that Owns the World
Link: youtube.com/watch?v=A4foal20UTA

Biden - A BlackRock President?

Early in his then-lackluster Presidential bid, Biden had a closed door meeting in late 2019 with Fink who reportedly told the candidate that, "I'm here to help." After his fateful meeting with BlackRock's Fink, candidate Biden announced, "We are going to get rid of fossil fuels..." In December 2020, even before Biden was inaugurated in January 2021, he named BlackRock Global Head of Sustainable Investing, Brian Deese, to be Assistant to the President and Director of the National Economic Council. Here, Deese, who played a key role for Obama in drafting the Paris Climate Agreement in 2015, has quietly shaped the Biden war on energy.

This has been catastrophic for the oil and gas industry. Fink's man Deese was active in giving the new President Biden a list of anti-oil measures to sign by Executive Order beginning day one in January 2021. That included closing the huge Keystone XL oil pipeline that would bring 830,000 barrels per day from Canada as far as Texas refineries, and halting any new leases in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR). Biden also rejoined the Paris Climate Accord that Deese had negotiated for Obama in 2015 and Trump cancelled.

The same day, Biden set in motion a change of the so-called "Social Cost of Carbon" that imposes a punitive \$51 a ton of CO2 on the oil and gas industry. That one move, established under purely executive-branch authority without the consent of Congress, is dealing a devastating cost to investment in oil and gas in the US, a country only two years before that was the world's largest oil producer.[iv]

Killing refinery capacity

Even worse, Biden's aggressive environmental rules and BlackRock ESG investing mandates are killing the US refinery capacity. Without refineries it doesn't matter how many barrels of oil you take from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve. In the first two years of Biden's Presidency the US has shut down some 1 million barrels a day of gasoline and diesel refining capacity, some due to covid demand collapse, the fastest decline in US history. The shutdowns are permanent. In 2023 an added 1.7 million bpd of capacity is set to close as a result of BlackRock and Wall Street ESG disinvesting and Biden regulations. [v]

Citing the heavy Wall Street disinvestment in oil and the Biden anti-oil policies, the CEO of Chevron in June 2022 declared that he doesn't believe the US will ever build another new refinery.[vi]

Larry Fink, Board member of Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum, is joined by the EU whose President of the EU Commission, the notoriously corrupt Ursula von der Leyen left the WEF Board in 2019 to become EU Commission head. Her first major act in Brussels was to push through the EU Zero Carbon Fit for 55 agenda. That has imposed major carbon taxes and other constraints on oil, gas and coal in the EU well before the February 2022 Russian actions in Ukraine. The combined impact of the Fink fraudulent ESG agenda in the Biden administration and the EU Zero Carbon madness is creating the worst energy and inflation crisis in history.

F. William Engdahl is strategic risk consultant and lecturer, he holds a degree in politics from Princeton University and is a best-selling author on oil and geopolitics. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization.

Originally published at globalresearch.ca

Websites of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us. You can visit druthers.net to get in touch.

vaccinechoicecanada.com	action4canada.com
freedomrising.info	americasfrontlinedoctors.com
librti.com	constitutionalconventions.ca
worlddoctorsalliance.com	stopworldcontrol.com
thehighwire.com	evidencenotfear.com
corbettreport.com	activistpost.com
pressfortruth.ca	standupcanada.solutions
stand4thee.com	lauralynn.tv
awarriorcalls.com	libertycoalitioncanada.com
takeactioncanada.ca	awakecanada.org
brightlightnews.com	vaccineregret.net
nationalcitizensinquiry.ca	www.canadiancovidcarealliance.org
jccf.ca	www.canadahealthalliance.org
swprs.org	www.childrenshealthdefense.org
geoengineeringwatch.org	caers.info
thepowershift.ca	globalwalkout.com
www.globalresearch.ca	realnotrare.com

Those Who Chose Shaming Over Science

By Gabrielle Bauer

For the first 62 years of my life, I don't recall anyone calling me a selfish idiot, much less a sociopath or a mouth-breathing Trumptard. All that changed when Covid rolled in and I expressed, ever so gingerly, a few concerns about the lockdown policies. Here's a sampling of what the keyboard warriors threw back at me:

- Enjoy your sociopathy
- Go lick a pole and catch the virus
- Have fun choking on your own fluids in the ICU
- Name three loved ones that you're ready to sacrifice to Covid. Do it now, coward.
- You went to Harvard? Yeah, right, and I'm God. Last I checked, Harvard doesn't accept troglodytes.

From the earliest days of the pandemic, something deep inside me — in my soul, if you will — recoiled from the political and public response to the virus. Nothing about it felt right or strong or true. This was not just an epidemiological crisis, but a societal one, so why were we listening exclusively to some select epidemiologists? Where were the mental health experts? The child development specialists? The historians? The economists? And why were our political leaders encouraging fear rather than calm?

The questions that troubled me the most had less to do with epidemiology than with ethics: Was it fair to require the greatest sacrifice from the youngest members of society, who stood to suffer the most from the restrictions? Should civil liberties simply disappear during a pandemic, or did we need to balance public safety with human rights? Unschooled in the ways of online warriors, I assumed the Internet would allow me to engage in "productive discussions" about these issues. So I hopped online, and the rest was hysteria.

- Village idiot
- Flat earther
- Inbred trash
- Negative IQ

Let's just say that my thin skin got the test of a lifetime.

And it wasn't just me: anyone who questioned the orthodoxy, whether expert or ordinary citizen, got a similar skinburn. In the words of one community physician, who for obvious reasons shall remain anonymous: "Many doctors including myself, along with virologists, epidemiologists and other scientists, advocated a targeted approach and a focus on the most vulnerable cohorts of patients, only to be dismissed as anti-science, tin foil hat kooks, conspiracy theorists, antivax and other equally colorful disparaging labels."

Early in the game I decided I wouldn't respond to such insults with more insults — not because I'm especially high-minded, but because mudslinging contests just leave me angry and it's not fun to walk around angry all day. Instead, I took the shaming on the chin (and still walked around angry).

The Shame Game

The shaming impulse asserted itself right from the start of the pandemic. On Twitter, #covidiot began trending on the evening of March 22, 2020, and by the time the night was over, 3,000 tweets had co-opted the hashtag to denounce poor public health practices. When *CBS News* posted a video of spring breakers partying in Miami, outraged citizens shared the students' names in their social media networks, accompanied by

such missives as "do not give these selfish dumbf*cks beds and/or respirators."

In the early days of the pandemic, when panic and confusion reigned, such indignation could perhaps be forgiven. But the shaming gained momentum and wove itself into the zeitgeist. Also: it didn't work.

As noted by Harvard Medical School epidemiologist Julia Marcus, "shaming and blaming people is not the best way to get them to change their behavior and actually can be counterproductive because it makes

dence that anything less than a China-style door-welding lockdown wouldn't do much good. In a 2006 paper, the WHO Writing Group affirmed that "mandatory case reporting and isolating patients during the influenza pandemic of 1918 did not stop virus transmission and were impractical."

You told me that social interaction is a want, not a need. Well, yes. So is good food. In truth, social isolation kills. As reported in a September 2020 review article published in *Cell*, loneliness "may be the most potent threat to survival and longevity." The article explains how social isolation lowers cognitive development, weakens the immune system, and puts people at risk of substance use disorders. And it's not like we didn't know this before Covid: in 2017, research by Brigham Young University professor Julianne Holt-Lunstad determined that social isolation accelerates mortality as much as smoking 15 cigarettes per day. Her findings splashed the pages of news outlets around the world.

You told me we need not worry about the effects of Covid restrictions on children because kids are resilient — and besides, they had it much worse in the great wars. Meanwhile, the UK saw a 77% increase in pediatric referrals for such issues as self-harm and suicidal thoughts during a 6-month period in 2021, in relation to a similar stretch in 2019. And if that doesn't shake you up, a World Bank analysis estimated that, in low-income countries, the economic contraction ensuing from lockdown policies led 1.76 children to lose their lives for every Covid fatality averted.

You told me that vaccinated people don't carry the virus, taking your cue from CDC director Rachel Walensky's proclamation in early 2021, and we all know how well that aged.


You told me I had no business questioning what infectious disease experts were telling us to do. (I'm paraphrasing here. What you actually said was: "How about staying in your lane and shutting the eff up?") I got my vindication from Dr. Stefanos Kales, another from Harvard Medical School, who warned of the "dangers of turning over public policy and public health recommendations to people who have had their careers exclusively focused on infectious disease" in a recent CNBC interview. "Public health is a balance," he said. Indeed it is. In a 2001 book called *Public Health Law: Power, Duty and Restraint*, Lawrence Gostin argued for more systematic assessments of the risks and benefits of public health interventions and more robust protection of civil liberties.

So yeah. I'm upset and your finger-wagging posse left me alienated enough that I had to go looking for new tribes, and in this quest I've been rather successful. I have found more kindred spirits than I could ever have imagined, in my city of Toronto and all over the world: doctors, nurses, scientists, farmers, musicians, and homemakers who share my distaste for your grandstanding. Epidemiologists, too. These fine folks have kept me from losing my mind.

So thank you. And get off my lawn.

Gabrielle Bauer is a Toronto health and medical writer who has won six national awards for her magazine journalism. She has written three books: Tokyo, My Everest, co-winner of the Canada-Japan Book Prize, Waltzing The Tango, finalist in the Edna Staebler creative nonfiction award, and most recently, the pandemic book BLINDSIGHT IS 2020, published by the Brownstone Institute in 2023

Originally published at: brownstone.org



The front page of the *Toronto Star* on Aug 26, 2021 certainly helped stimulate more shaming of the unvaccinated. They received a lot of heat from readers and later pulled back with a statement claiming they "stumbled badly" with this front page.

people want to hide their behavior." Along similar lines, Jan Balkus, an infectious disease specialist at the University of Washington, maintains that shaming can make it harder for people to "acknowledge situations where they may have encountered risk."

If shaming "covidiot" for their behavior doesn't accomplish much, you can be sure that shaming people for Wrongthink won't change any minds. Instead, we heretics simply stop telling the shamers what we're thinking. We nod and smile. We give them the match point and continue the debate in our own heads.

Gloves Off

For two years I've been that person. I've smiled politely while dodging insults. To put my interlocutors at ease, I've prefaced my heterodox opinions with disclaimers like "I dislike Trump as much as you do" or "For the record, I'm triple-vaxxed myself."

Just today, I'll allow myself to drop the pandering and call it as I see it.

To everyone who dumped on me for questioning the shutdown of civilization and calling out the damage it inflicted on the young and the poor: you can take your shaming, your scientific posturing, your insufferable moralizing, and stuff it. Every day, new research knocks more air out of your smug pronouncements.

You told me that without lockdowns, Covid would have wiped out a third of the world, much as the Black Death decimated Europe in the 14th century. Instead, a Johns Hopkins meta-analysis concluded that lockdowns in Europe and the US reduced Covid-19 mortality by an average of 0.2%.

What's more, long before this study we had good evi-

DRUTHERS

Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
October 2023 circulation: 200,000 copies Canada-wide
+50,000 more copies last month via Neighbourhood Mail
Original content DRUTHERS 2023®

Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Assistant editors: Christie Lynn & Michelle
Production Manager & Layout: David Bolton
Big thanks to: Kristy, Mitchell, Bob, Jessica, Tim, Geraldine, Thunder & so many more...
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

"Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you.
Keep on passing it on." - Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS.

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

Please give what you can: druthers.net/donate
Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza,
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

Unions Have Issued Vicious Smear on Concerned Parents

By Linda Slobodian

Union officials grossly miscalculated the iron will of fed-up parents who mobilized and marched to fight the sexualization of their children in Canada's classrooms.

Jumping into the fray to intimidate, wrongly accuse and silence parents was a tactical error by the unions that only strengthened the resolve to protect their children.

In fact, it resulted in a redrawing of the battle line to take on the unions and "fight a bunch of hyenas."

The coast-to-coast 1 Million March 4 Children Wednesday was only the beginning, said Calgary march organizer Mahmoud Mourra.

"Our battle now has shifted in a different direction. The battle has shifted from fighting corrupted politicians and the board of education to fight the unions publicly," said Mourra who also organized 'Leave Our Kids Alone Calgary.'

"The leader of the Alberta Teachers' Association (ATA) yesterday said parents are exaggerating, a bunch of liars about stuff that takes place in schools. This put us in a different position now of raising our voice louder and choosing our steps."

ATA President Jason Schilling said Wednesday in a statement, the march — in which tens of thousands took part — was "part of a coordinated strike across North America to promote misinformation, intolerance and hate toward the (sexual minority) community, as well as toward teachers who work to protect the safety and well-being of all students."

"Efforts in schools to support sexual orientation and gender identity (SOGI) education are not what the protesters are portraying it is."

"SOGI education is intended to ensure that sexual and diverse students, and families, feel safe in school by ensuring that all students gain a better understanding of how different students identify."

Hold on. Who's telling the truth?

In a statement on social media Wednesday, Education Minister Demetrios Nicolaides said SOGI is not a part of Alberta's curriculum. He said parents must be notified about courses or materials that deal with "primarily and explicitly with religion or human sexuality." And, that they can opt out.

Is he sure that all teachers, including the very radical, are following the rules on all subject matter? What about lesson content and many books in libraries with graphic images that age-inappropriate sexual instruction and promote sexual minority doctrine, anal sex, and masturbation?

Schilling told a big fib about the mission of the

million march protesters. They did not spew hate or attack sexual minority members.

"We're not motivated by hate. The union was not fair. Liberals, NDP, all the devils are united to defeat the parents' right to raise their children. This is something we cannot accept," said Mourra.

"Some of the teachers are angels. Some of them are devils in academic clothes because they are pushing

turning this country into a communist society."

Mourra said there hasn't been an official count on how many marched.

"All our numbers were amazing except in BC, we didn't do very good. The union played a big role, especially with the NDP government there. Maybe we weren't prepared enough."

Many parents kept their children out of school for the day to show support. And that will happen again, he vowed.

"We will be shutting down schools. This is something we brought to the table. We'll take out kids and go to the parking lot and have a family day party ... I want to see where the government's going to go after that."

The march was spearheaded by Muslims but organizers, parents, guardians, and supporters from all religions banded together in protest.

"We all worked together to make this event successful. Our Christian brothers and sisters who I love and respect, they supported us."

Mourra said parents are in it for the long haul.

"This battle is not something we can end by one day. It's a long, long battle from the amount of unity we see from the dark side coming together to fight us viciously, to be aggressive with us, to go against us."

"The only way we can defeat that is by getting united, standing together. We need to stand together. As parents there's no chance for us to lay back and ignore the fact that there's some dirty agenda taking place in our schools."

"We should leave our kids, and the counter-protesters kids, alone until they are adults and mature enough to determine what they want out of this life."

"I cannot press my Islamic ideology into someone's life. I don't want them pressing their ideology into my children's lives."

Linda Slobodian is the Senior Manitoba Columnist for the Western Standard based out of Winnipeg. She has been an investigative columnist for the Calgary Herald, Calgary Sun, Edmonton Sun, and Alberta Report.

Originally published at:
www.westernstandard.news



Photo via: Valentina Kovva / Facebook

their personal agendas onto our kids."

"I don't care if you are gay or lesbian. Whoever you are, it's not my business, I don't want to know. Just leave those kids to be themselves."

Mourra said the protest was just "an eye-opener." "We're not going to end it here for sure. It's too quick to make the determination of what's next. But I know for sure after talking to my team, the union is involved so it's time to get our voice louder," said Mourra.

"We'll tell our base to boycott every business that supports these unions, or provide a service to these unions, to let them know we are the stakeholders."

"It's up to parents to raise their children."

"We want them to be safe, secure, including (sexual minority) kids if some of them are among our families or other families."

Schilling wasn't the only union official to jump in to tackle parents.

Hamilton union leader Anthony Marco warned that "seasoned activists" would be "taking pictures" of protesters' licence plates.

"The union can fight dirty. But I can tell you one thing — as long as you have faith in God if you believe, or if you don't believe and have faith in yourself that you're doing what's right, and you're standing on the right side, there's a time to die for what you believe."

"They will fight. We will die for what we believe."

Now before anyone goes off the deep end and twists this into some sinister threat — it is simply a passionate statement by a concerned man of Islamic faith to express the importance of protecting children.

"You know, the biggest proof that those unions are lying and they're not fair is that kids in Edmonton or in Ontario that face a challenge by a teacher, that are degraded by teachers, nowhere do you hear from them about what happens to our kids or to our students."

"They don't believe in family values and principles. They're in favour of the sexualization of our children. This is something we cannot accept."

"We are working for our children, for our kids, for the future of this country. I don't think we will leave the battle without us winning."

"Even though they don't say it publicly they are

NEW DESIGNS AVAILABLE
druthers.net/shop



SUPPORT INDEPENDENT MEDIA WITH A

POSTAL

SUBSCRIPTION

STRAIGHT TO YOUR MAILBOX

\$119.40

FOR 1-YEAR SUBSCRIPTION OF 3 COPIES DELIVERED MONTHLY

DRUTHERS.net/subscribe

OR MAIL TO:
P.O. BOX 40531
SIX POINTS PLAZA
TORONTO, ONTARIO
M9B 6K8

CHEQUES PAYABLE TO:
SHAWN JASON LAPLANTE

OR ETRANSFER WITH ADDRESS TO:
ADMIN@DRUTHERS.NET

Absurdity Observer

Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- **Canada BANS all school books printed before 2008 due to non-politically correct (PC) content.** The Trudeau regime has announced a nationwide ban on all school books in Canada printed before 2008, due to the fact that they may contain “non-PC content.” According to reports, Canadian schools are being forced to destroy the books because they aren’t “inclusive” enough — only “inclusive” and “culturally responsive” books will be allowed.
- **The Ontario Divisional Court has deemed the provincial government’s standardized math test for teachers (the MPT test) as racist.** The court declared the test racist and made the decision to intervene after it was found that in 2018, the first year it was given officially, some groups of test-takers — notably candidates self-described as being of African, Caribbean and Indigenous descent — didn’t score as well as the white candidates. They are now planning to rewrite the test to make it somehow less “racist”.
- While the American Academy of Paediatrics and US government statistics had previously reported that “0-0.03% of all child COVID-19 cases resulted in death” the data says otherwise. A new study titled “Hospitalizations and Clinical Severity of COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) Among Infants Under 1-year-old” (Alshech et al.) found that **the case fatality rate in children 0-18 years old with COVID-19 was only 0.000076%.** And, of the 5 fatalities (out of 65,675 children included in the study), 2 had cancer and the other 3 had complex genetic disorders, implying that all children who died likely died with COVID instead of COVID.
- A study published in the *European Journal of Heart Failure* titled, “Sex-specific differences in myocardial injury incidence after COVID-19 mRNA-1273 booster vaccination” (Buerger et al.) concludes that a shocking **1 out of 35 people who took the vaccine experienced at least some degree of myocardial injury.**
- **Solar panels release 5 times more carbon dioxide than previously thought.** Data published by Italian researcher Enrico Mariutti that took into account the solar photovoltaic (PV) industry’s manufacturing process (which includes methane emissions, albedo, grid upgrades, storage and transport) finds that the estimate drastically increases from the previously thought 48 gCO2/kWh (which is already twice that of hydroelectricity) to 170 and 250 gCO2/kWh (which is 62.5% as much carbon dioxide emissions as natural gas electricity generation.)
- Study published in the *Open Forum Infectious Diseases* (Shrestha et al) using CDC all-cause mortality data, revealed that each year, **every vaccinated person becomes more and more likely to die at a rate of 7% per dose, per year.** The results of the study also revealed that the more doses of the original vaccine a person took, the more likely they were to be

diagnosed with COVID-19. (doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofad209)

- In a step towards the future of retail transactions, Amazon confirmed that by year’s end, it will integrate its **Amazon One palm recognition system across its entire network of over 500 Whole Foods stores.** Already present in 200 stores, a simple hand scan will conclude the transaction, marking an end to the requirement for traditional forms of payment.

safety” - to protect the big corporations using the platform from being damaged by appearing next to harmful content.

- In a press conference, the **NYPD announced their plans to trial a dystopian robotic surveillance platform in the Times Square subway station.** The robot, dubbed the Knightscope K5, monitors mobile devices and records video that can be reviewed in case of an emergency or a crime.

- Documents recently obtained through a FOIA request by The Functional Government Initiative suggest **public health officials used inaccurate information and misrepresented medical research to advance their policy objective that masks prevent COVID-19 despite strong opposing scientific evidence** received from top experts.

- A new study titled “Possible toxicity of chronic carbon dioxide exposure associated with face mask use, particularly in pregnant women, children and adolescents - A scoping review” (Kisielinski et al.) exposes the harms of chronic mask use. As the study put it, “**Fresh air has around 0.04% CO2, while wearing masks more than 5 min bears a possible chronic exposure to carbon dioxide of 1.41% to 3.2% of the inhaled air.** US Navy toxicity experts set the exposure limits for submarines carrying a female crew to 0.8% CO2 based on animal studies which indicated an increased risk for stillbirths. Additionally, mammals who were chronically exposed to 0.3% CO2 the experimental data demonstrate a

teratogenicity with irreversible neuron damage in the offspring, reduced spatial learning caused by brain-stem neuron apoptosis and reduced circulating levels of the insulin-like growth factor-1... Circumstantial evidence exists that extended mask use may be related to current observations of stillbirths and to reduced verbal motor and overall cognitive performance in children born during the pandemic. A need exists to reconsider mask mandates.” This is not the first study to find masks to be harmful. Last year, a study found plastics in masks were present in users’ lungs (Jenner et al.) Another study from earlier this year titled, “Measuring the quantity of harmful volatile organic compounds inhaled through masks” (Ryu et al.) found alarming concentrations of harmful chemicals being released from facemasks.

- In a move that benefits the giants, Amazon now accepts food stamps and **Uber Eats will begin accepting food stamps early next year.**
- **The draconian Online Safety Bill passed the UK parliament** in late September. Under the guise of “safety,” the Bill is one of the most far-reaching attempts to date to regulate how tech companies deal with users’ content on their platforms. Put simply, the Bill requires regulated services (like Facebook and Whatsapp) to perform risk assessments and to adopt mitigation measures (i.e censorship), in user feeds and private messages.



Image by Ben Garrison, grrrgraphics.com

- **The CDC has announced that the biblical disease Leprosy is making a comeback in the US.** “Coincidentally” in August of this year, a study titled, “COVID-19 vaccination and leprosy-A UK Hospital based retrospective cohort study” (Barros et al.) linked cases of leprosy to Pfizer’s COVID-19 vaccine. The study theorized that the vaccine makes people significantly more vulnerable to the disease due to its effects on the immune system (particularly T-cell immunological complications and the vaccine’s effect on Toll-Like receptor 1 genes.) A similar article also published in August to the *Journal of Infectious Diseases* titled, “Herpes Zoster reactivation after mRNA and adenovirus-vectored coronavirus disease 2019 vaccination: Analysis of National Health Insurance Database” (Yoon et al.) speculated a similar theory when they found a connection between shingles and mRNA COVID-19 vaccines.
- **Twitter/X CEO Linda Yaccarino announced their new “freedom of speech not reach” policy on harmful speech,** where they stated that “if you are going to post something that is lawful but is awful, you get labeled, you get de-amplified - which means it cannot be shared, and it is certainly de-monetized.” Yaccarino admitted that the labels help encourage “self-censoring” (whereby even if people happened across a shadow-banned post, they are much less likely to share if it has been labeled as harmful). Yaccarino claims that this change in policy was done for “brand

VOLUNTEER DONATE SUBSCRIBE

Will you **help** give out these free papers in your community?



Or maybe you can help in other ways? We'd love to hear from you. Visit us at: druthers.net/volunteer

Your **support** is vital to the continued success of this paper.



E-transfer to: admin@druthers.net Or visit: druthers.net/donate

Have your Druthers **delivered** right to your door each month.



Never miss an issue with a postal subscription. Just cover the cost of s/h. Sign up at: druthers.net/subscribe

DRUTHERS is entirely funded, written, distributed & promoted by the people, for the people.