

DRUTHERS

TRUTH • LOVE • FREEDOM

Issue #30 | May 2023

druthers /n. ...Informal...
one's own way, preference, or choice:
eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

FREE

Covering news
& information
that mainstream
media won't.
Please read and
then pass this
paper along to
someone else
when done. Find
more to explore
on our website:
www.druthers.net

Bye-Bye Private Ownership First, They are Coming for Your Cars

By Baxter Dmitry via thepeoplesvoice.tv

The World Economic Forum has demanded that world governments begin to phase out car ownership with a view to completely banning cars for everyone in the future except people with exceptional social credit scores. And when you read between the lines regarding what they mean by that, they are clearly referring to themselves, the elite, as the only people who will be allowed to operate cars in the future.

You might have thought the globalist elite were having the week from hell, with 9 million people and counting across France protesting and demanding the removal of World Economic Forum puppet Emmanuel Macron. (thepeoplesvoice.tv/france-protestors-rise-up-in-their-millions-against-wef-puppet-macron-and-globalist-elite)

In France they are sick to the back teeth of the former Rothschild banker and WEF Young Global Leader doing the bidding of Klaus Schwab and forcing unpopular and unconstitutional changes through parliament. But the globalist elite are completely shameless and are now operating with the total conviction that post-Covid they are now in a position to rule as an authoritarian world government.

According to the WEF, far too many people in the Western world own their own vehicles and this situation must be corrected by pricing them out of the market. The hypocrisy of the globalist elite knows no bounds. They really are completely shameless.

In Davos earlier this year, Japanese journalist Masako Ganaha spoke to a chauffeur who drives the World Economic Forum VIPs around. Despite the WEF's policies, the chauffeur told her the elites will not let him use a plug-in car to transport VIPs, demanding good old fashioned gas-guzzlers instead. Why? Because they are better and safer than electric cars. (thepeoplesvoice.tv/wef-chauffeur-admits-vip-elites-refuse-to-ride-in-electric-cars-demand-gas)

It's one rule for thee and another for me.

While the elite are allowed to own whatever cars they want, the rest of us are being priced out of the market altogether. And make no mistake, this is orchestrated and intentional. And we've got the receipts.

In a paper published by the WEF, the Davos elite claim that communal sharing of cars must become part of a "circular approach" in order to reduce global demands for precious metals and fossil fuels, before cars are eventually banned altogether. You might ask what kind of world the elites have planned for us if there won't be any cars. We have the answer for that, including all the details, on the other side of this break. And it's disturbing as all hell.

The pronouncements of Klaus Schwab and his min-

ions are becoming more out of touch with reality by the day. The situation would almost be funny if the WEF hadn't penetrated the cabinets of governments all over the world with double agents doing their bidding.

attention.

"A design process that focuses on fulfilling the underlying need instead of designing for product purchasing is fundamental to this transition," the WEF sets out. "This is the mindset needed to redesign cities to reduce private vehicles and other usages." (weforum.org/agenda/2022/07/green-energy-transition-democracy-economy)

Part of the WEF's "circular approach" appears to be forcing us to live in so-called 15 minute cities, which should be called "open air prisons" from which we will not only have no transportation, but also no means of escape.

All of this talk of phasing out oil and gas production in favor of "clean energy" is interesting considering Bill Gates was caught admitting to his inner circle that the whole thing is a scam.

According to Gates, who was speaking to a private audience, wind, solar, battery technology and other renewable energy sources might be fashionable, and they might be valuable sources of revenue for the filthy rich elite, but they are not capable of solving climate change.

And apparently the fact that renewable energy is not actually capable of solving climate change, despite being sold to us as the cure for so-called man made global warming, is hysterically funny for Bill Gates.

Gates has a history of being - suspiciously - one step ahead of major world disasters. And capitalizing on them when they arrive.

Just recently it was revealed that Gates' new oral vaccine has caused an outbreak of polio in Africa, paralyzing numerous children. Was there any apology from Gates? Of course not. Did the mainstream media even mention this news? Of course not. (thepeoplesvoice.tv/bill-gates-oral-vaccine-triggers-polio-outbreak-in-africa-national-emergency-declared)

They really think they have it all worked out and that we won't notice and hold them to account.

But we are keeping score and the elite are going to be held to account for all of their crimes.

We are determined to continue reporting on the agenda of the globalist elite but we need your help. Subscribe to the channel and join the People's Voice Locals community to do your part to spread the word far and wide. The people are waking up and the elite are starting to panic. Don't forget what is happening in France as we speak. The globalist elite's worst nightmares are coming true.

And this is just the beginning.

Watch the video here:
rumble.com/v2f8q80-cars-to-be-banned-by-2030-under-wef-15-minute-city-plans-cars-are-for-a-sel.html

Originally published at thepeoplesvoice.tv



These so-called Young Global Leaders received instructions from Davos that far too many people own private vehicles and they must be priced out of the market with massive gas price hikes.

And look what happened. Sky-high prices in the US and developed world. But Schwab isn't finished yet. As though ordinary people aren't suffering enough pain at the gas pump, Schwab is claiming the current prices are still severely "underpriced."

Via the WEF: (weforum.org/agenda/2022/07/green-energy-transition-democracy-economy)

"First, leading democracies should agree to end the underpricing of fossil fuels, which is the principal factor preventing a clean energy transition. The underpricing associated with producing and burning coal, oil and gas amounted to \$5.9 trillion in economic costs in 2020. Nearly a quarter of these losses - \$1.45 trillion - occurred in 48 major and smaller democracies."

"The leading democracies of the G20 should collectively commit to phasing out cost and tax breaks for the production and consumption of fossil fuels. They should also phase in more efficient pricing of fossil fuels through taxes or tradable permits to cover the costs of local air pollution, global warming, and other economic damages."

So what kind of world are the elites planning for us in the not so distant future?

Here's a quick hint. Did you know that Klaus Schwab keeps a bust of Vladimir Lenin, the architect of Soviet Russian communism, on his personal bookshelf?

That's right, Schwab wants to emulate his hero and do away with personal property, human rights and private ownership.

The end of private ownership is essential, according to the WEF, and must be applied to everything from cars to private homes and enabled by 15 minute city design principles.

The WEF has been laying the groundwork for 15 minute cities for years now. We just weren't paying

DRUTHERS is written, funded, promoted & distributed freely by concerned Canadians like you.
If you appreciate this paper, please help us print more @ www.druthers.net/donate

facebook.com/druthers.net | instagram.com/druthersnews | twitter.com/druthersnews
youtube.com/druthers | bitchute.com/druthers | telegram.me/druthers | rumble.com/druthers | tiktok.com/@druthersnews

An Open Letter to the Senators and Parliamentarians of Canada

Regarding Bill-C 11 and the Siracusa Principles (revised edition)

By Nicole Lebrasseur

OPEN LETTER REQUEST
Ohsweken, April 05, 2023

TO: SENATORS and PARLIAMENTARIANS BY REGISTERED LETTER, EMAIL or FAX
Senate and Parliament of Canada Ottawa, Ontario Canada, K1A 0A4

Dear Senators and Parliamentarians,
We, the Canadian Peoples' Union NFP (C.P.U.) – represent Canadian citizens and Indigenous Peoples throughout Canada. We are requesting your help to ensure that our civil and political rights above our government, as well as yours, are properly respected. With the imminent approval of Bill C-11, the Notwithstanding Clause (article 33) as well as Province of Ontario Bill-3, these rights can, once more, go unaddressed by our governments.

With your dutiful collaboration as our representatives, we are asking you to declare Bill C-11 unconstitutional in its entirety. The government cannot deny us any of our rights as specified in the *Siracusa Principles*, which include **non-derogation** of “**freedom of expression**” as stated by the United Nations subcommittee of which the Canadian government and the Quebec Government are very aware of. The subcommittee further commented on the Notwithstanding Clause (article 33):

Fact: Québec Premier Bourassa passed Bill 178, which included the notwithstanding clause to restrict the use of commercial signs in languages other than French. After the new law was criticized by the United Nations Human Rights Committee, the Premier had the National Assembly rewrite the law so that it conforms to the Charter. The notwithstanding clause was removed.

See CCPR/C/47 /D/359/1989 and 385/1989/Rev. 1 - 5 May 1993 <http://hrlibrary.umn.edu/undocs/html/v359385.htm>

To continue to ignore these facts and the RULE OF LAW indicates that those who write our laws, including those who approve them without the knowledge of the *Siracusa Principles*, or instill them even though they are aware of them, create an injustice to all Canadians and Indigenous Peoples of Canada, especially to those worldwide who fought for these rights during and after WWII.

These are the very principles our laws and human, civil and political rights were created for. They also complete the foundation of the Rule of Law. Anything else is a rule *by* law, which is contradictory to the very cornerstone of this country.

The responsibility to respect International Laws and universal rights, such as the *United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights* as well as the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* 1966 which Canada and the U.K. have signed in 1976, falls upon both the U.K. and the Canadian Parliaments. In addition, the Courts *must* uphold both the Constitution and the International Laws under the Rule of Law Principles (principles that protect the people from governments that create seemingly arbitrary laws that are neither based on the Rule of Law, nor on protecting the people). Instead, by not honoring the citizens' collective rights, Canada has been ruling BY law since 1982.

A request for an official constitutional correction placing the collective people in our rightful place was addressed to the United Kingdom by the C.P.U. in March 2022; unfortunately, we received no response. On July 30, 2022, in Ottawa, the C.P.U. and its supporters stood up and activated our collective civil and political rights, which was another step in initiating a dialogue with the U.K. and the Canadian governments. Prior to 2022, the C.P.U. had also written to Minister of Justice David Lametti and various other officials hoping to shed light on the neglected state of democracy in Canada, including the disregarded non-derogation of the rights of our peoples during the pandemic; no response was received.

The deliberate malfeasance advice given by Minister of Justice Mr. Lametti, and the legal stance of the Canadian government reflects a gross injustice perpetually committed against Canadian citizens and Indigenous Peoples, and to yourselves “our Senators and Parliamentarians”.

It does not matter which province you are from or rep-

resent; all Canadians are equal across the provinces and territories. No provincial or municipal government has the right to have different rules under these legislatures ignoring equality rights of all its peoples. The last 3 years could have been very different if our rights had not been omitted; the World Health Organization cannot override these rights any more than the Canadian Governance. These are serious breaches of international treaties and a complete disrespect of the peoples of our country.

It is crucial that these issues be addressed by all Parliamentarians, all Canadians, and all Indigenous Peoples of Canada. Furthermore, utilising “controlled” surveys or inviting favoured people to speak at inquiries without getting input from all sides of the situation does not and cannot show that Canadians want what the gov-



erning bodies do. More needs to be done before making such claims or applying unconstitutional laws.

You will find attached in PDF or paper format the *Siracusa Principles* which has been successfully used in courts of law and in Canada by our Justices when in conflict with the Canadian Government. These key principles are not included as part of the curriculum in law education in Canada. All lawyers and Canadians need to know about these principles as court challenge outcomes could have been different than what we have witnessed in the last 3 years and before; this includes the prevention of the use of the Emergency Measures by the Canadian Government, as they did, thus making the inquiry null and void.

The irony in all of this, is that Canada claims to be excellent in protecting the rights of Canadians, and our human rights agencies are there to make sure that Canada implements its obligations. From our research their statements are hypocritical.

Please refer to sections 30, 31 and 32 of the *Siracusa Principles* below:

30. National security cannot be invoked as a reason for imposing limitations to prevent merely local or relatively isolated threats to law and order.

31. National security cannot be used as a pretext for imposing vague or arbitrary limitations and may only be invoked when there exists adequate safeguards and effective remedies against abuse.

32. The systematic violation of human rights undermines true national security and may jeopardize international peace and security. A state responsible for such violation shall not invoke national security as a justification for measures aimed at suppressing opposition to such violation or at perpetrating repressive practices against its population.

States parties should not derogate from Covenant rights or rely on a derogation made when they are able to attain their public health or other public policy objectives by invoking the possibility to restrict certain rights, such as article 12 (freedom of movement), article 19 (freedom of expression) or article 21 (right to peaceful assembly), in conformity with the provisions for such restrictions set out in the Covenant, or by invoking the possibility of introducing reasonable limitations on certain rights, such as article 9 (right to personal liberty) and article 17 (right to privacy), in accordance with their provisions;

(d) States parties may not resort to emergency powers

or implement derogating measures in a manner that is discriminatory, or that violates other obligations that they have undertaken under international law, including under other international human rights treaties from which no derogation is allowed. Nor can States parties deviate from the non-derogable provisions of the Covenant – article 6 (right to life), article 7 (prohibition of torture or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, or of medical or scientific experimentation without consent), article 8, paragraphs 1 and 2 (prohibition of slavery, the slave trade and servitude), article 11 (prohibition of imprisonment because of inability to fulfil a contractual obligation), article 15 (principle of legality in the field of criminal law), article 16 (recognition of everyone as a person before the law) and article 18 (freedom of thought, conscience and religion) – or from other rights that are essential for upholding the non derogable rights found in the aforementioned provisions and for ensuring respect for the rule of law and the principle of legality even in times of public emergency, including the right of access to court, due process guarantees and the right of victims to obtain an effective remedy; see the attached pdf below Read more here: <https://www.ohchr.org/en/press-releases/2020/03/covid-19-states-should-not-abuse-emergency-measures-suppress-human-rights-un?LangID=E&NewsID=25722>

Furthermore, as stated by the Human Rights Committee, our rights are also protected online. No government can use laws to regulate our online access under freedom of expression. Nothing in law states that the government has the right to rule *over* the people. The government does not have this right, even if it thinks it does through the election process.

The Canadian Governments and Parliamentarians need their votes to reflect the **conscience of the people** when laws being passed affect them directly. This is why parliamentarians should not be allowed to

vote as partisans on statutes and Acts but rather should vote their conscience in consideration of their fiduciary obligations to the people. It is obvious that during parliamentary votes, parliamentarians do not reflect taking into consideration the **right of conscience** of all Canadians since they must act according to their party's orders on how to vote. Bill C-11 and other bills like it, should not get drafted in the first place.

Our livelihood is in peril due to our governing bodies' decisions. Where is the justice in all of this? If we can't depend on our Senators and Parliamentarians to provide a “Sobering Second Thought” with the ability to veto what is unconstitutional, who can we depend on when so many breaches are being orchestrated?

Looking at the big picture of what Canada has become since 1982 given the RULE OF LAW and the concealment of the *Siracusa Principles* from lawyers and observing the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal along with the provincial agencies fraught with abuse and negligence of all of our rights; it is never too late to take positive action. You can all help make a huge difference by eliminating Bill C-11 and to further assist us in disallowing unconstitutional laws that derogate from our rights, and yours.

Appended is an excerpt of the forgotten *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* which are automatically embedded in our constitution under international Instruments that Pierre Elliot Trudeau and all Prime Ministers and Premiers since, have hidden from public knowledge. As well, the *Siracusa Principles* are attached for your perusal so that the Canadian Government, Parliament, Provincial and Municipal legislatures can no longer ignore it.

We would be honored if you would schedule a meeting with us at your convenience. If you would like more information, please do not hesitate to contact us as time is of the essence before Canada is irreparably ruptured by those who want to destroy our country and its peoples. Without Prejudice,

Respectfully and warmest regards,
Nicole Lebrasseur

The Canadian Peoples' Union NFP
Activating our Collective Civil and Political rights

nicole@canadianpeoplesunion.com
Tel: (226) 777-5580
thepowershift.ca

The Covid Trojan Horse

It’s Time to Move Beyond this Diabolical Deception to Understand the Real Agenda

By Howard Bertram

On April 19, 2023, Robert Kennedy Jr. announced his candidacy for Democratic nomination for President of the United States. Kennedy said after taking the stage. “My mission over the next 18 months of this campaign, and throughout my presidency, will be to end the corrupt merger of state and corporate power that is threatening now to impose a new kind of corporate feudalism on our country.”

To begin to understand this Covid Trojan Horse, Kennedy’s speech in Berlin, on August 29, 2020 to over one million people becomes a critical starting point.

“I must say one more thing ... They have not done a very good job about protecting public health but they have done a very good job of using the quarantine to bring 5G into all of our communities and beginning the process of a digital currency which is the beginning of slavery ...” “The pandemic is a crisis of convenience for the elites who are dictating these policies.”

Robert Kennedy Jr. gave an important clue to the world in Berlin, linking the unprecedented rollout of 5G with the so-called Covid Pandemic agenda.

The pretext of a pandemic has enabled a technology (5G) with no safety studies to be put into place around the world. 5G, along with the nanotechnology now being discovered within the vaxx bioweapon, becomes the foundational step for a transhuman agenda.

Bill Gate’s (Microsoft) Patent WO 060606 that was issued in March of 2020 delineates the madness of what Kennedy alluded to when he talked about, “the corrupt merger of state and corporate power that is threatening now to impose a new kind of corporate feudalism on our country”.

It is difficult to say when this transhuman agenda to control humanity began in earnest.

The beginning of mind control experiments through programs such as MK Ultra that took place in the United States and Canada from the 1940s to the 1960s could indeed be a starting point. MK Ultra and other programs led to the use of what has been termed synthetic telepathy — using technology to monitor the thoughts of individuals, as well as transmit messaging to the minds of its victims. For a select group of people who have reported hearing voices, they were unwitting test subjects.

The technological advancements as revealed by Dennis M Bushnell of NASA and all the nefarious agendas that were moved forward after 9/11 beginning with the Patriot Act to strip away the rights and liberties of We The People will be my starting point.

In **July of 2001**, a very important document was presented by Dennis M Bushnell of NASA. “Future Strategic Issues/Future Warfare [Circa 2025]”. Bushnell coined the term: “The IT/BIO/NANO ERA”

Dennis M. Bushnell, “Future Strategic Issues/Future Warfare [Circa 2025]” (sic), NASA Langley Research Center (National Aeronautics and Space Administration), July 2001, 113 pp.; PDF, 1400357 bytes, MD5: c833f3fbc55d07fe891f5f4df5fb2f57.

The aforesaid PDF was found on the US Department of Defense’s Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) website, as archived by the following Internet Archive URL: wayback.archive.org/web/20031224161719/http://www.dtic.mil/ndia/2001testing/bushnell.pdf


Remember, July of 2001 was only two months ahead of 9/11

On **September 10, 2001**, then U.S. Defense Secretary **Donald Rumsfeld** disclosed that his department was unable to account for roughly **\$2.3 trillion** worth of transactions.

On **September 11, 2001** not only did the two Trade Towers come down but there was a third building: WTC Building 7. At one point over 50% of Americans did not know there was a third building that came

down on 9/11.

In March of 2005, I was at Ground Zero in New York City to pay my respect to the lives lost in 9/11. I used this occasion to ask those Americans around me who were also paying respect, to point me to the location of building seven. Not one person I asked was aware of a



third building going down on 9/11.

In **2002**, just a year later, a document from the US National Science Foundation and Department of Commerce on “Convergent Technologies” spoke of convergent evolutions in “nanotechnology, biotechnology and information technology”.

Klaus Schwab has envisioned, “a fusion of our physical, our biological and our digital identities.”

Perhaps the RAND Corporation summed it up best when it talked about, “the internet of bodies”.

It has been estimated that Black Technology (Black Technology comes from research from private sources and unregistered government sources that are off the books) is 5 to 10 times greater than the technology that finds its way to libraries. According to Catherine Austin Fitts and others — that \$2.3 trillion missing alluded to by Donald Rumsfeld on September 10, 2001 is now somewhere between \$20 to \$50 trillion dollars.

The amount of money that has been spent in “a fusion of our physical, our biological and our digital identities” probably is greater proportionately than the amount of money spent on the Manhattan Project that helped to create the first atomic bomb.

The current parallel with the Manhattan Project is the brilliant compartmentalization of all aspects of the Covid Trojan Agenda.

There are so many dedicated individuals who are trying to piece together this compartmentalization. Be it the virus that came from a lab in Wuhan, there is no virus because it has never been isolated to the arguments around Terrain Theory versus Germ Theory and more. The confusion caused by this compartmentalization has been effective.

At the heart of this compartmentalization is that nanotechnology and other advanced technologies are years ahead of the present level of knowledge of current ‘experts’ in all things Covid. It is reminiscent of the indigenous looking out to sea and not being able to actually see a sailing ship because it was not part of their reality or understanding.

Divide and conquer has always been the modus operandi of those who conspire against humanity.

One of the most informative and honest interviews that I have recently viewed that may help you to begin to piece this deception together is with Ana Maria Mihalcea, MD, PhD interviewing Dr. David Hughes,

PhD ([rumble.com/v1sm3bm-what-is-in-the-so-called-covid-19-vaccines-evidence-of-a-global-crime-again.html](https://www.rumble.com/v1sm3bm-what-is-in-the-so-called-covid-19-vaccines-evidence-of-a-global-crime-again.html))

This interview will also help to validate the remarkable insights of Robert Kennedy Jr. when he linked 5G with the Covid Pandemic in Berlin, in August of 2020.

People at the deepest levels know there is something very wrong but have had great difficulty pinpointing cause and effect. Those pulling the levers of power have been shielded up until now but their invincibility is now giving way.

The criminal charge was laid by Pascal Najadi of Switzerland against the Swiss President, Alain Berset as well as, the first Litigation against Pfizer Inc. NY by Ana McCarthy and Pascal Najadi in the New York State Supreme Court is a case in point.

Having someone like Robert Kennedy Jr. who is willing and brave enough to speak of the various so-called Covid Vaccines in terms of Bioweapons and *crimes against humanity* and is running for candidacy for Democratic nomination for President of the United States has just become a game changer.

The Covid Trojan Horse has tried to keep us away from the evil that is behind a transhuman agenda. An agenda that wants to reduce world population by any means and control our minds and souls. “A fusion of our physical, our biological and our digital identities”, as stated by Klaus Schwab.

This is the time for revelation and acceleration of our collective response to the darkness that has been foisted on humanity.

Darkness always gives way to light and the God Spark that is within each of us can never be extinguished.

Biography of Howard Bertram

Howard Bertram was born in Toronto, Canada and is a graduate of the University of Guelph. He is currently a Tribunal Judge on the Natural and Common Law Tribunal For Health And Justice. He is also active with a number of groups, including Ontario for Safe Technology, as well as research.

SUPPORT INDEPENDENT
MEDIA WITH A

POSTAL

SUBSCRIPTION

STRAIGHT TO
YOUR MAILBOX

\$119.40

**FOR 1-YEAR SUBSCRIPTION OF 3
COPIES A MONTH.**

**DRUTHERS.net/
subscribe**

OR MAIL TO:
P.O. BOX 40531
SIX POINTS PLAZA
TORONTO, ONTARIO
M9B 6K8

CHEQUES PAYABLE TO:
SHAWN JASON LAPLANTE

Myocarditis in Kids Under 18, Cases Up by Over 100 Times in Canada

Large Canadian hospital: before COVID, they’d see 2 cases per year. Now they see 27 in just 6 weeks. That’s a 117 Times increase. But the CDC says it’s only “slightly elevated.”

By [Steve Kirsch](#)

COVID-19 could lead to heart damage in children. The IWK Health Centre is a major pediatric hospital and trauma center in Halifax, Nova Scotia that provides care to maritime youth, children and women from Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island and beyond.

They’ve seen 27 myocarditis cases in a 6 week period for kids under 18. Normally, they see 2 cases a year. So they are seeing an increase of 117 times the normal rate.

I heard this from a hospital insider. Don’t expect them to publicly say anything. That’s just not the way it works nowadays.

Since the baseline rate of myocarditis in kids is 1 per 100,000, this suggests that we are seeing 1 per 1,000 vaccinated kids getting myocarditis and it’s probably even more than that especially since a Thailand study showed almost 30% of kids sustain cardiac injury of some sort from the vaccines. ([stevekirsch.substack.com/p/thailand-study-of-young-adults-post](#))

Since there are nearly 80 million kids under 18 in the US, if all of them got vaccinated, we’d expect to see 80,000 kids with myocarditis which is always very serious.

That’s a lot of kids. You would think this is a problem, but it isn’t.

When 2 car owners die, the car manufacturer tells people to stop using the car. I wrote about this recently... see my Stellantis article. ([stevekirsch.substack.com/i/82048592/stellantis-announcement-deaths-stop-driving-the-car](#))

The reason for this is simple: liability.

But with these vaccines, there is no liability, so there is no need to set a stopping condition.

The US government will happily injure hundreds of thousands or millions of kids and it simply just doesn’t matter because there is no liability. That’s just the way it goes.

Only a few members of Congress will pay any attention to this because they don’t want to be seen as “anti-science.”

Are you a fact checker?

Any “fact checker” who wants to fact check my source should contact me. Calling the hospital, getting a denial, and publicizing that as “the truth” is not fact checking.

TEE cases on the rise as well... I wonder why?

A reader wrote:

“This isn’t myocarditis-specific, but I want to bring another observation to your attention. I work in the outpatient procedures hospital area. I noticed an uptick in TEE procedures (trans esophageal echocardiograms) after the vaccine roll out. When a patient has a random TIA/stroke and a cause can’t be determined, this procedure can be done to rule out a congenital heart malformation (such as PFO) as the cause. Other nurses commented on the increased number of these cases after the vaccine rollout. Maybe twice as many per week for a few months? TEEs can be done by any trained cardiologist, but it is frequently done by electrophysiology cardiologist specialists. I recommend you look for nurses, mds or secretaries who work in the office of an EP cardiologist. They might be able to confirm if an increased number of TEEs were performed (presumably from an increase in TIAs or strokes).”


POLL

Have you seen an increase in the number of TEE cases post-vaccine?

- No, it’s the same - 19%
 - Up by 2X to 3X vs. baseline - 11%
 - Up by 5 to 10X vs. baseline - 9%
 - Up by 10X vs. baseline - 15%
 - Up by 100X or more - 46%
- 123 VOTES · POLL CLOSED

Summary

IWK health center is not alone in seeing massive increases in myocarditis in young people. My own surveys clearly showed at least a 10-fold increase in myocarditis rates once the vaccines were introduced. ([airtable.com/shrezOsDdYg8d5IXy](#)) That’s an increase from the rates under COVID only. My survey showed only a 10-fold increase because most people reported seeing zero cases before the vaccine so it skews the numbers.



Any doctor who tells you that the rates of myocarditis are lower with the vaccine should be reported to their state medical board for spreading misinformation.

The CDC claims that the risk of myocarditis is only “slightly elevated.” How do they explain this 100X increase? I don’t know. They block my emails now and ignore my phone calls. Fortunately, I

can buy billboards to communicate with them to let them know about serious safety signals. ([stevekirsch.substack.com/p/billboards-are-up](#))

This article is more evidence that the COVID vaccines are a disaster and should be halted immediately.

Originally published at [stevekirsch.substack.com](#)

What is Happening in the Skies Above Manitoulin Island?

By [Zak Nicholls](#)

For years now, persistent lasting contrails have marked the skies above us. What is happening?

According to Oxford University, geoengineering is the deliberate large-scale intervention in the Earth’s natural systems to counteract climate change. Scientists who support geoengineering work on the premise that global warming must be slowed. Examples of geoengineering (GE) include solar radiation management, cloud seeding, carbon dioxide removal, and ocean fertilization, among others. I would specifically like to address solar radiation management.

Solar radiation management (SRM) introduces reflective nanoparticles into the upper atmosphere to reflect some sunlight before it reaches the surface of the Earth. Sulphur dioxide, aluminum, and barium are some of the likely ingredients used in SRM. Persistent lasting contrails remain in our skies for hours, slowly dissipating and creating a false cloud ceiling. What effect will these particles have on the air we breathe, the water we drink, and the Earth we live upon?

The results of SRM are unknown. It is an experiment being conducted in our skies.

Geoengineering scientists admit they do not know how SRM will affect our environment, but they do acknowledge it will be messy. The treatment could very well be worse than the disease. They don’t know, and they hope that you are not paying attention.

Oxford University has determined the following principles as regards geoengineering:

1. GE to be regulated as a public good.
2. Public participation in GE decision making.
3. Disclosure of GE research and open publication of results.
4. Independent assessment of results.
5. Governance before deployment.

None of the above principles have been applied, yet exactly what the GE scientists propose is evidently happening in our skies. Citizens of Canada have not given their informed consent.

Geoengineering policy acknowledges the practice will be uneven. Some will benefit, others will suffer — winners and losers will be established — compensation systems will be created. Geoengineering policy suggests GE can be pressed upon and accepted by

democratic peoples in much the same way as tax collection, military conscription, and eminent domain.

Manitoulin Island just experienced its darkest winter in 80 years, according to local media. SRM will continue to remove direct sunlight, which is required for life. Solar radiation management must cease until its full implications are known, and citizens can make an informed decision about its use.



Safety Third

By Charles Eisenstein

Death and life are the same thing, like the two sides of my hand, the palm and the back. And still the palm and the back are not the same. They can be neither separated nor mixed.

— Ursula K. Le Guin

I was driving my son Cary, age 10, to a small gathering where some buddies and I do our cold plunge in a frozen pond. We were discussing safety. Our friend is a substitute teacher in the public school system. Safety is the top priority, and “safe hands” is the constant refrain of each day of behavior management. I told Cary of my favorite motto (which I’m told derives from Burning Man), “Safety third.” Of course, that motto does not mean to imply a linear ranking of life’s priorities. Its commonsense translation would be something like, “Safety is important, but not the most important thing in life.”

Cary, taking the saying literally, asked me, “If safety is third, then what comes first and second?”

I hesitated for several seconds. Then I said, “First and second are giving and receiving, not necessarily in that order. Giving is what you put into the world. It is your art and music, it is your mom’s healing work, it is the love you show to others, it is everything you do to make the world more alive and more beautiful.”

“Receiving is to fully enjoy being alive in this world. It is to behold with awestruck wonder the magnificence of creation. It is to delight in the gifts of others, and the animals and plants and the sky, the singing of the birds, the creativity of other people, to play and enjoy. As your grandmother said before she died, ‘Life has so much to offer!’”

Cary was well satisfied with this answer. I then added, “It isn’t that safety is unimportant. If you break your leg or have a fatal accident, then you won’t be able to give or receive in this world anymore. But safety is subordinate to giving and receiving. It must not come before them. We are here to be fully in the world, to live fully, not to play it safe and go to our graves having never risked anything.”

I first publicly invoked the phrase “safety third” at some point in 2021 or 2022, in the context of Covid. I was a little taken aback by the vehemence of some of the responses. People accused me of being selfish, lacking compassion, and not caring about others. I will paraphrase the criticisms: “By ignoring safety and not masking and vaccinating, by leaving your home and questioning public health measures, you are putting others at risk for your selfish ‘freedom.’ Furthermore, even if you are risking only your own safety, that still imposes a cost on society. If you fill up a hospital bed due to your risky behavior, you are denying that bed to someone else.”

Let us leave aside the fact that “freedom” is not first, second, or third on my list—not as a disembedded ideal, anyway. Freedom is intelligible only as freedom from, or

freedom to. Freedom to give and receive. Freedom to be alive. But I don’t want to make this a question of freedom, because, contrary to media narratives, freedom was never the main motivation of so-called “anti-maskers” and “anti-vaxxers.” We thought these were bad policies, founded on hysteria, captured science, and systemic ideology, then exploited by authoritarian forces as a pretext for more social control. Compassion and concern for the public welfare were the main motivations of our dissent.



Image posted to Twitter by the Ontario Ministry of Health in 2021

The argument cited above takes for granted exactly what I mean to challenge with the words “Safety third.” Now, I do not think masking, lockdowns, and the vaccines actually saved lives, but what if they did? What if we could save a thousand lives a year (that is, postpone a thousand deaths a year) by mandating a permanent lockdown society. Should we do that? What if we could save fifty deaths a year, and reduce hospital bed occupancy, by prohibiting swimming at the beach. Should we do that? No? Tell that to the grieving mothers of the children who drown and the spouses of those who get skin cancer from too much sun exposure. Early in the pandemic, Anthony Fauci and other public officials said we should never hug or shake hands again. Filthy habit, isn’t it? Well, all of these ideas make perfect sense if we install safety as our god. But we are here to live, not to survive life. We are here to play in the waves, to hug, to associate with each other, to live in materiality with all its inherent risks. I do not call on others to take risks that do not serve, giving or receiving—that is called recklessness, not courage—but neither does anyone have the right to demand that others, or society at large, curtail life to minimize risk.

Since most people today behave as if they have forgotten that the Covid pandemic ever happened, I won’t belabor Covid-era issues. I raise them mostly as a case in point, the point being the politically hazardous and spiritually deadening effects of the obsession with safety.

The political hazards are obvious. Authorities can manipulate a safety-obsessed population by conjuring up one threat after another. Safety as top priority justifies any measure that will keep you safe. Safety first, civil liberties an afterthought. We saw a foreshadowing after 9/11 of what was to come in 2020. First it was “security,” then it was “health” that justified new extensions of centralized power. Eventually though, both terrorism and pandemic lost their ability to terrorize, and thus their usefulness as pretexts for totalitarian policies (surveillance, censorship, propaganda, etc.). However, the social and psychic conditions for a new fear campaign remain in place.

It is equally obvious why safety-obsession deadens the spirit. It wraps a constriction band around life. It is always a little dangerous to probe one’s boundaries. It is always a bit dangerous to venture into the unknown. “Safety first” shrinks the horizons of possibility. What starts as caution becomes comfort, then habit, then a prison. The prisoner carries the key in his back pocket, taking comfort in his pretend helplessness. I’m not lecturing you, I’m speaking from personal experience. One feels less and less alive. What is there to live for, confined to the fortress, looking wistfully out the windows at a lush but distant landscape?

It is pointless to denounce safety-obsession without investigating its source. Under what conditions does avoiding injury and death become the point to life? Put that way, safety obsession is exposed as a form of insanity. It makes sense only if one is in denial of his or her mortality. It is no wonder then that our society performed such extreme rituals of protection during the pandemic. We are a culture that paradoxically and simultaneously denies death even as we make it the subject of the most extreme dread. We deny it by hiding it behind euphemisms, by banishing the public and private sight of it, by performing pointless heroics to extend the lives of dying

people a few more torturous weeks or days, as if to thwart something preventable. Most of all, we deny it through distance, living apart from nature’s cycles, never witnessing the rabbit’s writhe and shriek in the hawk’s talons. Yet for all the denying and distancing, death looms all the larger on our psychic landscape. Its terror comes in large part from separation from life. The separate individual of modern self-conception goes extinct on dying. The relational, interdimensional self of indigenous conception cannot be extinguished, since what dies is but a small part of the true self. For the modern mind, for the separate self, death is a final and unutterable catastrophe, too terrible to countenance. So of course we become obsessed with safety, as if to reassure ourselves that with proper care, the ultimate calamity need never happen.

There is another cause of safety obsession that is more important still. If safety rises from third to first rank, one wonders, what happened to the first two? What happened to giving and receiving? Beneath “safety first” lies a cultural forgetting, an abdication even, of the true purpose of the human being. It isn’t hard to see that we as a society have largely abandoned our devotion to life and beauty on earth, and built instead a civilization that mars and ruins creation. Less obvious but more tragic

is the narrowing and numbing of our receiving. We have become too busy, too distracted, too alienated, too hurting to fully receive the magnificence of all we can see, hear, feel, and praise. Full reception is a function of intimacy, and modern life has dulled the vividness and intimacy of our connections. Taking in only a tiny fraction of the sensory nourishment we need, we are forever hungry, craving ever more intense stimuli to meet the need to feel. Addiction, then, is not a failure of character or will. It is a sign of a real unmet hunger.

Please do not read any of this as a condemnation. It is more of a diagnosis. Recruiting shame to motivate change won’t restore our aliveness. What will? Grief. Grief is the embodied realization of loss. If we can recognize the magnitude of our loss, the profundity of our dispossession, we will turn in a new direction. We will have full information. Without feeling grief, we don’t really know what has been and will be lost. Grief integrates what is lost as precious. Grief makes death real.

We have wandered far, far, far into the territory of separation. We must admit that we are lost. We dissolve in tears. We turn then and see on the horizon the golden land. Only teary eyes can see the path back.

Originally published at charleseisenstein.substack.com

Websites of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us.
You can visit druthers.net to get in touch.

vaccinechoicecanada.com	action4canada.com
freedomrising.info	americasfrontlinedoctors.com
librti.com	constitutionalconventions.ca
worlddoctorsalliance.com	stopworldcontrol.com
thehighwire.com	evidencenotfear.com
corbettreport.com	activistpost.com
pressfortruth.ca	standupcanada.solutions
stand4thee.com	lauralynn.tv
awarriorcalls.com	libertycoalitioncanada.com
takeactioncanada.ca	awakecanada.org
brightlightnews.com	vaccineregret.net
nationalcitizensinquiry.ca	www.canadiancovidcarealliance.org
jccf.ca	www.canadahealthalliance.org
swprs.org	www.childrenshealthdefense.org
geoengineeringwatch.org	caers.info
thepowershift.ca	globalwalkout.com

WANT DRUTHERS
DELIVERED TO
YOUR NEIGHBOURS
MAILBOXES?

DRUTHERS

Now you can with
Druthers Neighbourhood
Mail service.

druthers.net/neighbourhood

It’s never been easier to make a big
difference in your neighbourhood
with Druthers.

Now you can have copies of Druthers
newspapers delivered right to mailboxes
in your neighbourhood and wake up
your community more quickly.
We make all the arrangements so all
you need to do is, decide how many
addresses you would like delivered to
and which postal code area you
would like blanketed, and that’s it...
we’ll take care of the rest.

Visit druthers.net/neighbourhood

Dissent Into Madness: Escaping the Madhouse

By James Corbett via corbettreport.com

Statist propaganda in the West tries to convince us that we live in a democracy, exemplifying Abraham Lincoln’s famous ideal of “government of the people, by the people, for the people.”

But this is gaslighting. In truth, we live in a pathocracy, which, borrowing from Lincoln, might be described as “government of the psychopaths, by the psychopaths, for the psychopaths.”

Although “pathocracy” is still a foreign concept to many, it is by now a well-established and thoroughly documented phenomenon. The term was coined by Andrew Lobaczewski — a Polish psychologist whose life’s work was shaped by his experience growing up first under the thumb of the brutal Nazi occupation and then under the equally brutal Soviet regime — in his book, *Political Ponerology*.

Lobaczewski defines pathocracy as a system of government “wherein a small pathological minority takes control over a society of normal people.” Then, in a chapter of *Political Ponerology* devoted to the subject, he describes how pathocracies develop, how they consolidate power, and how they trick, cajole, intimidate and otherwise induce non-psychopaths into participating in their madness.

How can soldiers’ natural aversion to pulling the trigger on complete strangers be overcome? How can doctors who have sworn an oath to do no harm participate in the scandemic madness of recent years? How can regular, salt-of-the-earth, working-class policemen be induced to brutally beat peaceful protesters? These are the questions that keep both the pathocrats in power and those looking to escape the pathocracy up at night, albeit for very different reasons.

Thankfully, we do not need to ponder these questions in a vacuum. In fact, the conditions for creating an environment in which the average person can be induced to participate in evil acts has been studied, catalogued and discussed by psychologists for the better part of a century. Unsurprisingly, though, this research, ostensibly intended to better understand how people can guard against such manipulation, has instead been weaponized by the pathocrats and used to fine-tune the creation of systems for generating more obedient order-followers. In fact, this was part of the point of the well-known but almost completely misunderstood Milgram experiments (more on which below).

At this point in our exploration, we are finally beginning to grasp the full extent of the problem posed by psychopaths in positions of political, corporate and financial power.

The problem isn’t just that psychology has been weaponized against those of us who would engage in political dissent. And the problem isn’t simply that this system

for suppressing and pathologizing dissent has been created by literal psychopaths and their sociopathic lackeys.

The problem is that the state itself is psychopathic and is actively warping the morals of otherwise mentally sound individuals, causing them to adopt psychopathic traits in return for material reward and positions of authority.

This is the problem of pathocracy.



Once we realize the gravity of this situation, the obvious question presents itself: how do we throw off the yoke of the political psychopaths and topple their pathocracy?

As usual, the quality of our answer to this question is directly dependent on the depth of our understanding of the underlying problem.

For example, in The Corbett Report comments section recently, Corbett Report member TruthSeeker framed the problem of toppling the pathocracy this way: *“Perhaps we can find a way to eliminate psychopaths from all positions of power.”*

At first glance, this suggestion seems like a reasonable course of action. After all, if we could find a way to “eliminate psychopaths from all positions of power,” then that would automatically solve the problem of political psychopathy, wouldn’t it?

But, as Corbett Report member G. Jinping noted in his reply to TruthSeeker:

“We’ll have to come up with a solution (for getting psychopaths out of power) that takes into account that the number two man, number three, etc. are probably just psychopaths who are at an earlier stage in their ascent to the top. Maybe we could just pick names at random from the phone book, if we still had phone books! Seriously, this is an intractable problem, that can only be addressed with the decentralization of power. I don’t expect that to happen anytime soon.”

Indeed, as G. Jinping rightly observes, the problem is more pervasive than many are willing to believe.

TruthSeeker’s proposal would be viable only if there are a few isolated psychopaths who happen to have ascended to positions of political power. But if there are in fact many psychopaths who are all vying with each other for political control, then we have to understand that eliminating the current political psychopaths would merely open the door for others to step into those vacant positions. Worse, given the psychopathic nature of the power structure as it exists, the system itself actually ensures that psychopaths and sociopaths who, by definition, show no remorse or moral qualms about hurting others will end up winning the vicious battle to fill the top spots in the political hierarchy.

Only when we step back and interrogate the political

system as a whole can we appreciate that the very existence of those seats of power from which a handful of individuals can rule over the masses is itself a construct of the pathocracy. Unless and until those seats of power are eliminated altogether, we will never rid ourselves of the struggle for dominance that rewards the psychopaths with control over others.

The elimination of those seats of power, however, will not happen until we overturn the underlying

assumption that centralization of power is necessary in the first place. And sadly, as G. Jinping correctly observes, given the relatively infantile state of humanity’s political development, we should not expect the Ring of Power to be cast into the fires of Mount Doom anytime soon.

So, for those of us morally sound individuals currently living under the rule of the psychopaths, the question remains: what can we possibly do to overthrow the pathocracy?

As it turns out, the answer to that question may be much simpler than we think.

Circuit Breaker

In the 1960s, psychologist Stanley Milgram set out to study the extent to which people’s blind obedience to

perceived authority influences their behaviour. It was with this goal in mind that Milgram began his infamous study of obedience on August 7, 1961.

The results of those experiments, well-known to the public by now, ostensibly demonstrate that average, everyday people can be induced to deliver what they believe to be potentially lethal electric shocks to complete strangers based solely on the say-so of an authority figure. This finding is most commonly summarized with the factoid that a whopping 65% of participants in the original 40-person study were willing to deliver a 450 Volt shock — what they were led to believe could be a potentially lethal shock — to an audibly distressed person based on nothing more than a prompt from a person in a lab coat wielding a clipboard.

As one of the most famous psychological studies of the 20th century, the Milgram experiments have generated no end of debate, controversy and scrutiny. The NPR-promoted critics of the experiments, who contend that most of the study’s participants knew that the entire situation was phoney and that they disobeyed even more often than was reported, are often pitted against the establishment psychologist defenders of the experiment, who correctly note that the experiments’ shocking (pun intended) conclusions have been independently reproduced time and time again in country after country around the world. (In one particularly twisted reproduction, the researchers even sought to ensure that no subject would suspect the experiment was fake by delivering real electric shocks to cute puppies).

What almost everyone misses about the Milgram experiments, however, is that the study was not one experiment that was conducted on one set of 40 participants one time to yield one final result. In fact, Milgram conducted the experiment a total of 17 times with 17 separate cohorts of 40 to 60 test subjects, with each iteration of the study employing a number of experimental variations.

In one variation, he changed the site of the study from the Yale University campus to a rundown office building. In another variation, the test subjects were allowed to instruct an assistant to deliver the shocks instead of pressing the switch themselves. In still another variant, the lab coat-wearing actor playing the “experimenter” was called away on business and replaced by an ordinary man wearing a suit. And in yet another variation, the test subject was obliged to wait and watch other actors become the “teacher” and go through the experiment before assuming the role himself.

Each variation produced markedly different results. When the test subject could instruct someone else to deliver the shocks instead of doing it himself, for instance, the percentage of participants willing to deliver the maximum (supposedly potentially lethal) shock rose to an incredible 92.5%. When the experiment took place in an office building instead of on the Yale campus, the number willing to administer the maximum shock

See, ‘Are We Ruled...’ p. 9

Advertisement

NEVER AGAIN IS NOW GLOBAL

PREMIERE SCREENING

JUNE 16-17 HAMILTON, ON

JOIN DIRECTOR AND HOLOCAUST SURVIVOR, VERA SHARAV FOR DINNER AND A MOVIE!

GET TICKETS AT **TRINITYPRODUCTIONS.CA**

TRINITY PRODUCTIONS

AMHRP

Children's Health Defense Canada

Advertisement

Generous supporters like you enable the Justice Centre to defend Canadians' rights and freedoms with legal defence and education.

Become a supporter today.

jccf.ca/donate

Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms

The Evidence: Lockdowns Killed People Sweden Was Right All Along

By Tea Lynn Moore

Sweden: The Control Group

Remember the early days of the pandemic, when we first locked down and everyone was exhorting us to “follow the science”? The injunction made little sense because: what science? Never in human history have all healthy people around the globe been confined to their homes for months without an end in sight. What about the unintended deaths that would occur as a result of a lack of health care, sunlight, and exercise, and an increase in poverty and depression? Where was the cost-benefit analysis? What research was done? The answer, at the time, was none. Today, however, the results are in. We have our trial group (the world) and our control group — the one country that held out — Sweden.

Remember Sweden back in 2020? The country was relentlessly attacked by mainstream media for daring to approach the pandemic with the same weapon that’s been used since the dawn of humanity: herd immunity. They dared to allow their citizens to live freely! There were no lockdowns, school closures, or mask mandates in Sweden.

It’s true that at one point in May 2020, Sweden did have the highest COVID-19 death rate in the world. But today, the number of COVID-19 deaths and all-cause mortality deaths they’ve experienced since the start of the pandemic pales in comparison to other European nations.

Eurostat Data

Recent data by Statistics Sweden/Eurostat published in Svenska Dagbladet (by Therese Bergstedt and Swedish state epidemiologist Anders Tegnell at www.svd.se) shows that in the pandemic years 2020-2022, Sweden’s excess mortality was the lowest, not only in the European

Union but of all the Nordic countries, beating even global COVID-19 success stories, such as Norway, Denmark and Finland.

The data, collected from Statistics Sweden in collaboration with Eurostat, calculated the cumulative number of deaths in 2020, 2021, and 2022, and compared the figures with an average of expected deaths. The expected average value is based on death statistics from three

and age, what the figure should be for any given year. The excess mortality figure, the percentage above the expected baseline, can be calculated with the same methodology throughout the world. It is, in short, the one statistic that there is no getting away from.

Judged by this metric, the one real outlier was Sweden, which had the lowest excess mortality in Europe, and one of the lowest in the world, throughout 2020 and 2021.

In 2020, many assumed that Sweden would emerge with a higher death rate, but a much stronger economy. But according to Our World in Data, Sweden had a perfectly average COVID-19 death rate compared to other Western nations. What is astonishing is that Sweden’s pandemic response (or lack thereof) led to far fewer people dying overall during the pandemic. It seems the lethal impact of the lockdowns themselves was vastly underestimated (or vastly undermined) by officials. And officials are not loving the fact that they’ve been proven wrong.


Officials’ Response

Leaked WhatsApp messages by Matt Hancock (UK’s Health Secretary of State during COVID) referred in his messages to the “f***ing Sweden argument”, and asked officials to “supply three or four bullet [points] of why Sweden is wrong”.

But Sweden was not wrong, and no amount of desperate deflection can disguise it. The horrible truth is that lockdowns killed people. Sweden had lots of coronavirus cases but relatively few excess deaths.

Will politicians ever admit that the challenges we experienced and are still experiencing — undiagnosed diseases, isolation, obesity, debt, poverty, lost education, inflation, mental health problems — are unnecessary government-inflicted harms.

Let’s share this information widely, or we will go into the next manufactured crisis with the same skewed incentives.



years before the outbreak of the pandemic, i.e. the years 2017-2019.

That finding blows the case for lockdowns out of the water. In the early days of the pandemic, when the Swedish Government was being criticized for what looked like a high death rate (largely because sick patients were sent to care homes), Swedish medical advisers urged us to wait until all the evidence was in.

They had a point. COVID was a new disease, and countries had wildly differing approaches to measuring it. There was debate about whether people had died “of COVID” or “with COVID” and questions about if there was adequate testing (or too much).

But one thing that no one can fake is the overall number of deaths. We know how many people die annually in each country. We can predict, based on population size

WEF & 15-Minute Cities

By Michelle Zeh

The World Economic Forum (WEF) once infamously said that in the future “*You’ll Own Nothing and You’ll Be Happy.*” Of course, what this really means is: they will own everything and you will be poor. Recently, the WEF has been pushing the “15-minute city” concept — with a plan to bring us all a whole lot closer to a dystopian future.

If you’ve never heard of the WEF, it’s an international lobbying organization for multinational companies. They are made up of some of the most powerful institutions in the world, including former and current presidents of nations, big tech CEOs, asset managers, banks, and non-governmental organizations. The end of private ownership is one of the main goals of the WEF, and they apply this to

everything from cars to private homes, enabled by 15-minute city design principles.

If you’ve read the mainstream news, you may see that 15-minute cities are being advertised as something *we* want, rather than what *they* (the international lobbying organizations for multinational corporations) want. As the Ontario newspaper *The Peterborough Examiner* put it: “*Imagine being able to walk your kids to school or daycare, commute to work, enjoy recreational opportunities, and be able to pick up what you need from the store. Imagine being able to do all that while always being within a 15-minute walk or bike ride from your residence!*”

Funnily enough, the WEF is known for its annual meeting in Davos, Switzerland, where politicians, business leaders, celebrities, and journalists fly annually in droves of private jets, spewing more carbon in a single trip than the average car does in its lifetime. An analysis commissioned by Greenpeace International found that last year’s WEF gathering in Davos saw over 500 private jets arrive with a single meeting attendee. 53% were short-haul flights under 750 km, while 38% were flights under 500 km. The shortest flight recorded was only 21 km (about a 23-minute car ride, or one-hour bike ride). Yes, that’s right, the “climate friendly” 15-minute city concept is being promoted by the same elite group of people polluting our planet.



While this concept first started in Paris, England’s City of Oxford is the furthest along in their 15-minute city implementation. The pilot project involves dividing the city into six districts and the roads between districts are off-limits to cars without permits between 7 am and 7 pm, 7 days a week. This means setting up cameras everywhere to keep track of where everyone is driving to ensure that they do not leave their designated district too frequently. Starting in January 2024, boundaries will be enforced through traffic filters that will automatically issue fines to violating vehicles.

Here at home, Edmonton, Alberta is set to be Canada’s first pilot city. Phase 4 implementation of

See, ‘Restricting’ p.8

Advertisement

IT'S TIME FOR SOME SERIOUS CLEANING!

TO GET RID OF DIRTY CORRUPTION AND INJUSTICE, SEE:

THEPOWERSHIFT.CA

Australian Government Document Shows; the Public was Deliberately Misled About Pfizer Vaccine

By C. Stuart

Thanks to UK nurse practitioner and online commentator, Dr. (Ph.D) John Campbell, we were alerted to the late March 2023 release of a study completed by Australia’s Department of Health in January 2021. The redacted study, released as a result of an Australian Access to Information request — is entitled “Nonclinical Evaluation Report: BNT162b2 [mRNA] COVID-19 vaccine (COMIRNATY™) and was created in reply to Pfizer Australia’s “application for provisional registration of a new biological entity, the Covid-19 (COMIRNATY) vaccine”.

It can be found here: tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/foi-2389-06.pdf

The document is an evaluation of the claims made by Pfizer as it sought government approval to make its product available to the Australian public. It is a key document because it sheds shocking light on what the Australian government knew about Pfizer’s product and when precisely they knew it. For anyone with a long enough memory, this information can be evaluated against the public health messaging at the time “vaccines” were being rolled out by Western governments. The data contained in this report is thought to have been available to the US, UK, Canadian and European governments at the same time it was available to the Australian government.

Both the two-year delay in getting the document released and the substantially redacted parts of it suggest that its findings contain information that the Australian (and other Western governments) would prefer not ever see the light of day. As we will explore below, the Evaluation makes it plain that Western governments were lying to their citizens about several key facts related to Pfizer’s product, while simultaneously and strenuously — (even irrationally) — pushing it on their trusting populations.

Site of Injection

Who can forget the government narrative that stated unequivocally — that after injection, “vaccine” contents remained at the point of injection? The Australian report proves that at the same time, governments were promoting this as an indisputable fact (and labeling any criticism a conspiracy theory), they were aware it was demonstrably untrue.

The Australian study shows that the lipid nanoparticles contained in the shots did not remain at the injection site. These lipids (which carried the mRNA payload) were systematically distributed throughout the body of test animals (monkeys, rats), via the plasma in the blood. The study included a useful if disconcerting table (Table of Organ distribution — Table 4.2) proving the lipids gathered in dozens of places outside the injection site, but mainly in the liver, spleen, ovaries and adrenal glands. After discovering that the lipids traveled throughout the test animal’s systems — to the bladder, bone, bone marrow (where blood cells are produced by the body), brain, eyes, heart, kidneys, pancreas, lungs, lymph nodes, prostate, spinal cord, testes, and uterus — the Australian Health authorities decided not to investigate or inquire as to whether the spike proteins manufactured by the mRNA, might be distributed similarly.

The report noted...“ a slow but significant distribution of lipid nanoparticles from the site of injection with major uptake into the liver”. Remember when even suggesting the jabs might travel was labeled tinfoil-hat theory by government officials, captured scientists and mainstream media across the Western world?

Equally disturbing for a health agency whose job it is to evaluate such matters; upon noting this worrisome lipid distribution in the first 48 hours after injection, Australian health authorities stopped looking. One might have expected that upon confirming the lipid’s travels over 2 days, they might continue looking for results after say, 3 days and 4 days. They did not.

The report clearly shows that the fact of systemic distribution was known in January 2021. Consequently, any Western health authority or politician who repeated that false claim after January of 2021 was either uninformed or lying. If they were uninformed, they were grossly negligent since it was their job to be informed, with vast resources available to them for this purpose. If they were

lying, one might consider it reasonable that they are held criminally liable.

Infection

At Page 4, the report notes very similar lung inflammation results in test animals whether the infected (with Covid-19) animals received the Pfizer shot or not. In plain terms, the virus was given to unvaccinated animals and they ended up with the same levels of lung inflammation (infection) as the ones who were administered



the “vaccine”. Based on that finding, Australian Health concluded that the jab was efficacious enough to push out to everyone.

On page 4, the report acknowledged that there was no data in January 2021, to determine where the S-antigen encoding mRNA went after it was injected or how long it stayed in the animal’s system. The report noted, “there was no distribution or degradation data”. Strangely, Australian Health did not ask Pfizer to test for the systemic distribution of the spike protein produced by mRNA. Health authorities appear to have assessed this lack as irrelevant to the overall calculus when deciding to tell everyone it was safe to inject into their bodies. Lipids went absolutely everywhere in the body. It should have been determined whether the mRNA and the spike protein it produced, similarly traveled (it was, after all, using the lipid nanoparticle as a means of conveyance). The Evaluation also showed that there was “No data” on how long the spike protein persisted (in the body). Based on that lack of data, the vaccines were authorized to go into everyone’s arms.

At Page 5, the Evaluation notes that Antibodies and T-cells declined quickly 5 weeks after injection, after the 2nd booster. For Australian Health, this discovery raised “concerns about long-term immunity”. Having raised these important concerns about the limits of the so-called vaccine’s durability, the governments rolled it out to all. Australian Health seemed content with Pfizer’s statement on long-term immunity that...“The animal studies were of short term; long term immunity was not assessed. The sponsor indicated that long term immunity would be addressed by human data.”

Whether Pfizer meant this important element would be determined by later human clinical trials, or the upcoming vaccine rollout to a global population, is unclear.

Interestingly, the Report noted there was one study showing natural immunity produced a good Helper T-cells response in precisely 100 percent of people who had Covid. In plain speak that meant that antibodies to Covid-19 were naturally produced in 100 percent of test subjects! Recall that natural immunity was roundly poo-pooed at the time, with irrational emphasis placed on “vaccines” as the only solution. Why were authorities silent on the natural immunity noted in the Evaluation? Claiming they were merely silent is generous. In fact, in their single-minded quest to get a jab in every human within their grasp, western governments went out of their way to destroy the long-since-proven scientific fact that infection resulted in natural immunity in the human body.

The Evaluation acknowledges several other important unknowns.

- A lack of short-term protection studies;
- Lack of pharmacokinetic data, which is a lack of data

about the way the body affects the drug/vaccine. i.e. how mRNA is broken down by the body. Health authorities had no idea;

- Lack of knowledge about how the mRNA vaccines affected genotoxicity (i.e. how it affects one’s genes). There was no data examined about whether these vaccines were having a toxic effect on genes. The paper acknowledges — they did not know;

- Lack of studies investigating the potential for autoimmune diseases to be triggered by the vaccines. The report acknowledges the potential that this could be an undesirable outcome of the shots but then requests absolutely no further study to explore that possibility.

- Long-term immunity was unknown. The report put it this way: “Long-term immunity, vaccine-induced autoimmune diseases were not studied in the nonclinical program and should be addressed by clinical data post provisional registration”.

As Dr. John Campbell rightly notes, we took senior public health authorities scientists at their word because they said everything had been done normally when the vaccines were rolled out. There was nothing to worry about, they told us. This Evaluation that they fought to keep out of the public eye, confirms that many things the public was told were untrue — and known to be untrue at the time they were conveyed to a trusting public. Judging by this report, it is clear there was plenty to be concerned about. If we had been told the truth, our calculation would quite possibly be different.

Anyone can access this report and all are encouraged to download it and read it for themselves. It is not an easy read at over 50 pages, but worth the effort, for posterity’s sake.

Restricting Movement Just Part of the Plan

Continued from p.7

the 15-minute model across 15 districts, blanketing the entire city is already in place. Phase 5 will finalize the plans and is expected to happen between July and January of 2024. Among the goals outlined in the Edmonton City Plan include: reduced reliance on single-occupancy vehicle use and increasing the collection and sharing of data between government institutions and nonprofit groups. While they don’t elaborate on how this data will be collected, the increased connectivity through technology like SMART streetlights will help to facilitate this data capture.

While Edmonton is in the most advanced stage of the plan, Ottawa, North Vancouver, and other Canadian cities have also been making plans for 15-minute cities.

So how are residents of these cities reacting to the plans? They are not loving it. When the residents of Oxford were asked by city planners, 93% were against the 15-minute city plan. There were a lot of concerns, with one area of issue being segregation. Dividing cities into districts will guarantee that the poor stay poor and the rich stay rich. Some would say that is the entire purpose of the plan because a WEF panelist discussion revealed that different cities will have different rules for different districts. In other words, the rich will remain free.

But probably the biggest concern is economic inequality as a result of giving more power to governments and big businesses. Instead of saving our planet, 15-Minute Cities are a one-way path to a society that favours both big-government control and the corporate interests that will benefit from their big-government contracts used to help implement the plan, and the agendas being pushed forward.

While it’s unclear how the plans will evolve and to what degree restrictions will be put on us, what we do know is that the 15-minute city is one step closer to “You’ll Own Nothing and You’ll Be Happy.”

Who Do You Think Runs the World? Girls?!!

By Toni Bologna

Who do you think runs the world? Girls?!!
No, not even close. Since the 1970s, two corporations have gobbled up most of the earth’s companies, Vanguard and BlackRock. And you might be like, *“Toni, that’s ridiculous! I go to stores all the time and I see competing brands everywhere. The market has genuine competition, because I can buy this or that or this or that!”*

But, all publicly traded companies have boards where the largest shareholders make the decisions. So when we investigate who are the largest shareholders of all these companies, the same two companies keep coming up: Vanguard and BlackRock. These two mega corporations own all the smaller corporations, so we have a monopoly inside of a monopoly!

Vanguard and BlackRock own Coke and they own Pepsi. They own Apple and they own Android. They own American Airlines, they own Delta. They own oil and they own solar. They own eBay and they own Amazon and they own the car companies and the pharmaceutical companies, and the tobacco companies, the food companies, the clothing companies, the insurance companies, the banks, the banks, the banks, the banks, the banks, the banks, the banks, the banks, THE BANKS!

Are We Ruled by Psychopaths?

Continued from p.6

dropped to 48%. And when the test subject watched other people take the “teacher” role before them and observed them refusing to obey the experimenter’s command to deliver the shocks, that subject’s willingness to deliver the maximum shock plummeted to 10%.
Let me rephrase that. When the test subject saw someone disobey the experimenter, they themselves refused to proceed with the experiment 90% of the time.
This is the surprising conclusion that has been scrubbed from most accounts of the Milgram experiments: Disobedience, once modeled, becomes an option in the mind of the public.

This point is crucial to understand because, exactly as Étienne de La Boétie pointed out nearly 500 years ago, a small cadre of tyrants, no matter how psychopathically menacing, are incapable of administering a tyranny all by themselves. They require the active participation of a much larger number of obedient order-followers.
Indeed, it is important to become conscious of the fact that none of the worst excesses of the pathocracy in recent times would have been possible without the active participation of vast swaths of the population. So-called vaccine “mandates” were not achieved by one psychopath in a position of political authority, or even by a gaggle of such pathocrats. They were enabled by the doctors who participated in the vaccination drives against their own experience, judgment and training, the employers who imposed vaccine requirements on their employees, the business owners who implemented vaccine certificate checks on their premises, the police officers who threw the unvaccinated in quarantine facilities, the workers who kept those quarantine centers functioning, the judges and lawyers who rubber-stamped all these actions, etc.

The same goes for any number of pathocratic abuses that we have been subjected to in recent years. These programs can only be implemented when most of the people comply with their orders and thus fulfill their role in the operation.

Just as in the time of La Boétie, our enslavement to the pathocracy is, by and large, a voluntary servitude born of obedience.
Combining La Boétie’s insight with Milgram’s lesser-known experimental results, then, we find a template for toppling the pathocracy: highly visible acts of disobedience.

But is this true? Can a single act of disobedience really bring down a pathocracy?

Once again, we don’t have to speculate about this possibility in a vacuum. Thanks to the wonders of modern technology, we can actually watch a recording of such an event happening in real time. (youtu.be/wWlBctz_Xwk)
On December 21, 1989, Romanian dictator Nicolae Ceaușescu took to Palace Square to address the Romanian people. At first, it proceeded like any number of such speeches he had delivered over the years. He talked about the successes of Romania’s socialist revolution and sung the praises of the “multi-laterally developed Socialist society” that had arisen under his brutal reign.

No matter what industry, the top shareholders are the ones making the decisions. Call me a Dingleberry but if you own all the competing companies in the free market, then I don’t think the market is... umm... all that free.
But who are they? The CEO and founder of BlackRock is Larry Fink, but BlackRock has a board, the largest shareholder is Vanguard. And who’s in Vanguard you might wonder?
Nobody knows! Yeah, I guess it’s just private information. Probably the richest families on the planet, like the DuPonts, the Rockefellers, the Rothschilds, the Bushes. Bush. And P.S., if the division of companies is an illusion, then what does that say about the division of nations? Rich people don’t need passports when they travel on their private jets. Borders are meaningless to the elite, except as a tool of nationalism to pit us against each other. And we all know corporate dollars go into politics, and if all those corporations are owned by the same people, that means both political parties are definitely owned by the same people.

Toni Bologna is a comedian who uses comedy to raise awareness of important issues.
This article was first published as a video. You can watch the video this article was transcribed from at [tonibologna.com](https://www.tonibologna.com).



Screenshot from Toni’s video at [tiktok.com/toni.nagy](https://www.tiktok.com/toni.nagy)

trust. A new phenomenon occurs: separation between the pathocrats and the society of normal people. The latter have an advantage of talent, professional skills, and healthy common sense.
Next, the even better news: if it is true that psychopaths can fashion a psychopathic society that twists people into sociopaths, then the opposite is true, too. Healthy, non-pathological humans with love, empathy and compassion can fashion a society that brings out the better side of human nature.
This is the real goal of the erstwhile victims of the pathocrats. Not to eliminate the political psychopaths and assume their positions of power in the psychopathic political system that they created, nor even to abolish that system altogether, but to envision a world in which compassion, cooperation, love and empathy are not just encouraged but actively rewarded. A world in which every person is allowed to become their best possible self.
It is up to each one of us to model that which we wish to see in the world. Just like the brave dissenter who can break the circuit of tyranny by voicing opposition to the tyrant, we can also become the models of love, understanding and compassion that will motivate others to become the same.
After all, if the psychopaths have spent centuries weaponizing psychology to more effectively control us, can’t we wield our understanding of human nature for something good? And isn’t that what healthy, non-psychopathic individuals forming a healthy, non-psychopathic society would spend their time and resources doing?

This was the 4th of a 4 part series called Dissent Into Madness, by James Corbett. Find this article with reference links and more of James’ work at [corbettreport.com](https://www.corbettreport.com)

Advertisement

RECLAIMING CANADA CONFERENCE



MAY 26 - 28
WEUNIFY.CA

In recognition of the 2022 freedom convoy, a second national Reclaiming Canada Conference will be held in Victoria, British Columbia to assemble a coalition of leaders including Brian Peckford, B.C. Conservative Leader John Rustad and many others. This historic gathering will take place over three days in 2023 from May 26th to May 28th to discuss an alternative vision for the future of Canada.

You are invited to join Canadians from across the country to help us form a national strategy in order to advance our freedom and to repair the damage stemming from political and societal dissonance. In order to safeguard our democracy in these rapidly changing times, we are advocating for a new Congress of Citizens to reimagine our nation and to bring forward solutions that will empower all Canadians.

Get your tickets here: <https://www.weunify.ca>

Compelling Reality TV: The National Citizens Inquiry

By Michelle Leduc Catlin

“We’re not the same afterwards.”
~ Shawn Buckley, NCI lead council on listening to days of shocking testimony
I’m trying to find the magic words that will have everyone replace their TV viewing with National Citizens Inquiry testimony. (nationalcitizensinquiry.ca)

“I laughed, I cried.”
“It was a tour de force.”
“Truth is stranger than fiction!”

If clichés worked, I’d use them all.

To be clear, there’s a lot more crying than laughing, but on occasion, we confront absurdities that we just have to find relief from.

Here are just a few...

A paramedic walks over to a dead man lying splattered on the sidewalk, having clearly jumped 8 stories — where a colleague is administering a PCR test to determine if cause of death was C-19. [Day 3, Scarlett Martyn @ 6:09:22]

A woman goes to a hospital because the C-19 injection is causing her fingers to peel, giving her blurry vision, head-to-toe bruising, and head tremors — and she’s put on antidepressants for anxiety. [Day 3, Dianne Spalding @ 9:48:20]

A lawyer and a judge (okay, wait, this should be good) are in court because a mother, quoting Pfizer’s own documents on massive C-19 injection side effects, wants to prevent the father of her children from giving them the jab against their will.

Her case is dismissed because she’s not an “expert” and, says the judge, “the government should always be given the benefit of the doubt.” [Day 2, Michael Alexander @ 5:59:49]

(Um, thalidomide, anyone?)

Clown world has become a cliché, too, but when we hear these testimonies of example after example of logic and reflection going out the window, one has to wonder.

What has happened to our discernment, our capacity to think for ourselves, our humanity?

In even darker examples, we heard testimony of a man in extreme pain and in need of an appendectomy being abandoned by a doctor after being told that if he didn’t take the C-19 jab immediately he’d be dead in 2 years. [Day 3, Maureen Somers @ 9:41:45]

Thankfully, a doctor who still adheres to the Hippocratic oath rescued him in time to perform emergency surgery.

(He’s just fine, now.)

We heard of the appalling treatment of a mask-exempt woman who was knocked to the floor of a Walmart by 3 police officers on Christmas Eve, while people stood around watching and doing nothing — even as she was taken out of the store in handcuffs, bruised and screaming for help.

All for not wearing a mask that she cannot, medically, wear. [Day 2, Natasha Petite @ 5:21:10]

There was the testimony of a woman whose 18-year-old daughter tried to commit suicide when she found the 2nd lockdown in Ontario too much to bear.

The mother was denied access to her daughter because of unprecedented hospital C-19 policies.

She had no support from the hospital and no assessment of her daughter’s condition.

Her daughter eventually succeeded. [Day 1,

Elizabeth Garver @ 5:38:20]

There’s more heartbreak.
So much more.

And there’s also the explosive expert testimony, which covered legal issues, education, policing, pilots, media, dealing with the dead, societal shaming, and, from Dr. Robert Malone, 5th generation warfare.



As a partial list of additional must-watch expert testimony, I’m narrowing it down to these:

Irvin Studin, education expert and policy advisor — on the catastrophic impact of closing schools and the bleak future faced by tens of thousands of young Canadians.

Bruce Pardy, law professor — on the limitations of our Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the human fallibility of judges. A necessary civics lesson for all Canadians.

Tom Marazzo, veteran, teacher, activist — on societal virtue signalling, the Freedom Convoy, the beating of decorated veterans and how his bank accounts were frozen. (He later told me that if he hadn’t had cash on hand, he wouldn’t have been able to buy his son’s heart medicine.)

Laura Jeffery, embalmer — on huge anomalies in people’s deaths and in their blood. Since the injection rolled out, people started dropping dead in front of loved ones during the day, “dirty blood” started appearing, and then “white fibre masses.”

Rodney Palmer, award-winning former journalist — on the complete lack of news gathering practiced by the CBC (and others), with the replacement of actual news with propaganda. This testimony needs to be watched and shared by all Canadians.

Dr. Mark Trozzi, ER physician — on the global impact of medical crimes against humanity, and how “Injections paid phenomenally.”

Vincent Gircys, decorated retired OPP officer — on a forensic investigation into the truth and the clear and present danger of the authoritarian state here in Canada.

I know I’m missing major pieces here, which is why I encourage everyone to watch all the testimony. Think of it like a TV series, with each set of hearings being a season. Break it down into episodes and let me know if this isn’t some of the most compelling real reality TV you’ve ever watched. And then ask yourself, how can I support getting the truth out? What actions can I take and who can I talk to? What can I do to stop this? Because it is still happening. Health mandates have only been repealed, not eliminated. The media is still driving the narrative and not reporting the news. The government is still promoting a known hazardous medical product as a “safe and effective vaccine.”

And as Dr. Mark Trozzi testified, “all 3 words are a lie” — they are not safe, effective, or a vaccine.

Many employers are demanding “vaccination” as a job qualification, though the evidence clearly shows

that those who are vaxxed are just as likely to transmit the virus as those who aren’t. Many hospitals are still mandating masks, though this has been proven to be ineffective. Nursing homes are apparently still injecting people against their will. And there is virtually no compensation or even acknowledgement of the vax-injured. They have been abandoned by both “sides” of a tactical division. Traitors to the “vaccinated” for the crime of getting sick. Dismissed by some of the “unvaccinated” for getting the shot in the first place.

We have lost our collective way, and we are in danger of becoming so caught up in having the right opinions that we’ve lost sight of having compassion.

As policy expert Irvin Studin said, the children who have been abandoned by the education system due to government C-19 mandates are entering a “post-COVID cruel world.”

“What awaits is huge destabilization.”

~ Irvin Studin, PhD

We need to re-align with our values, our commonality, our humanity.

We need, each of us, to demand that those responsible for the policies that led to so much suffering explain their decisions, and be held to account for the damage done.

To forward this accountability, political representatives, particularly those responsible for health policies, have been summoned to testify.

So far, no response. No response from the mainstream media, either. No coverage whatsoever.

And as Vincent Gircys said, “Absence of evidence is also evidence.”

To watch the testimony, please visit:
rumble.com/user/NationalCitizensInquiryCA

Originally published at gatheryourwits.com

See also, nationalcitizensinquiry.ca



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
May 2023 circulation: 200,000 copies Canada-wide
+50,000 more copies last month via Neighbourhood Mail
Original content **DRUTHERS 2023**®
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Assistant editors: Christie Lynn & Michelle
Production Manager & Layout: David Bolton
Big thanks to: Kristy, Mitchell, Bob, Jessica, Tim, Geraldine, Thunder & so many more...
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

*“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you.
Keep on passing it on.”*

- Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of **DRUTHERS**.

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute **DRUTHERS**.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

Please give what you can: druthers.net/donate
Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza,
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

New 2023 Documentary Premiere: COVIDISM – Contagious Deception

By Brian Shilhavy

Health Impact News has just published the premiere of the 2023 documentary film, *Covidism: Contagious Deception*.

“*Covidism: Contagious Deception*” is the most comprehensive documentary on COVID-19 I have had the pleasure to watch, as it thoroughly analyzes both the scientific and political aspects of the COVID-19 mass deception launched in 2020.

The documentary was written and produced by a Health Impact News subscriber, Bonum Vincit (pseudonym), a Bulgarian independent film producer who would like to remain anonymous.

This is an amazing film that features interviews and footage of many of the leading dissenting scientists and doctors who tried to warn the public as this mass deception unfolded. These voices were censored from the corporate media and the major social media sites.

We have published this film in four parts.

Part 1 carefully examines how authorities worldwide have been gaming the numbers regarding cases, hospitalizations and deaths from the alleged coronavirus. Part 1 also explains how health officials actively suppressed safe and effective treatments for Covid-19, while employ-

ing deadly protocols for hospital patients.

Part 2 focuses on the fascinating timeline of events, which led to the global Covid-19 response, and investigates whether or not the science on the lethality and infectivity of Sars-Cov-2 justified countermeasures such as lockdowns and mask-wearing.

exposing the premeditated sinister political motivations behind the global Covid-19 response, and how it is intricately tied to a much larger agenda – The Great Reset.


The film producer is not accepting any donations for this amazing work, and he wants everyone to freely copy and distribute the film. If you appreciate his work, please let him know. You can reach him at this email address: covid19_documentary@proton.me

This work was a labor of love, and he began it back in 2020, which means it took him almost 3 years to produce this film. Since he is offering it to the public for FREE, it means that he does NOT work for you and is not obligated to respond to attacks and criticisms if you see something you do not like in this film. Therefore, please only email him with positive encouragement, and keep any negative comments you have to yourself, because he owes nobody anything.

Watch all four parts on our video channels:
odysee.com/@HealthImpactNews
bitchute.com/channel/

HlyeDvlqcVJm
rumble.com/user/HealthImpactNews
https://t.me/healthimpact

Originally published at healthimpactnews.com



Part 3 is a deep dive into the topic of Covid-19 “vaccines,” detailing the plethora of scientific evidence for their unsafe and ineffective nature, while exposing the deceptive tactics of manipulating the statistics.

Part 4 puts all the pieces of the puzzle together,

Letting Men Play Women’s Sport Puts Women in Danger – as I Discovered

By Hockey Coach

One of the joys of hockey (field hockey if you are in North America) is that young and old can play together. This is not just knocking a ball about; you will often see fathers and sons and mums and daughters playing in the same teams in competitive matches, particularly in the lower leagues. You even see parents taking the game up just so they can play against their kids.

The youngsters tend to be not quite as strong as the oldies, but can outrun them, and are often more technically skilled, having learned the game on synthetic rather than grass pitches. However, they can usefully absorb tactics and gameplay from the more experienced players around them for a couple of years, before moving on to try their luck against players closer to their prime.

This works because hockey is a non-contact sport. Essentially, if you make body contact with someone when you are tackling, you commit a foul. Not every tackle is clean, of course, but the differences in size, strength and speed are small enough to make it a reasonably fair contest, and everyone has fun.

The non-contact nature of the game means that juniors get a chance to play with the adults at quite young ages. Rules vary league by league, but where I play, boys are allowed in from 14. It’s quite common to see talented girls given a run out at age 12, if they’ve been through their growth spurt.

My coaching role is to help girls through this transition from kids’ hockey to the adult game. Mostly, we’re playing friendlies with teams made up of juniors, with a few adults thrown in to provide guidance. However, occasionally, we are called on to send a few extra players up to the ladies’ section to make up numbers. I pick the biggest and most skilled girls – the ones I think can cope.

However, when this happened a few weeks ago, we got a nasty shock and I think came quite close to disaster. That’s because the opposition turned up with a transgender player, in physical prime, built like something out of the backs division of a rugby team, and who played like it too – faster, stronger and

much more aggressive than anything the girls are used to.

Some of them were clearly frightened, and stood off tackles. Some tried to get stuck in but were so completely outmuscled that it was mostly fruitless. Then, about a third of the way through the game it got very unpleasant.

Our striker, a girl of 15, is something of a rocket ship. Tactically, we get a lot of joy feeding her balls to run on to because there are so few in the league who can catch her. But not this time. Despite a 10-yard head start, and running at full speed, she was closed down by the rugby player over an astonishingly short distance, and was tackled. And as the stick landed,



she crumpled, going to ground in a heap. The impression was of watching a wildlife documentary; a leopard taking down a gazelle, perhaps.

She was down a long time, but eventually was able to get to her feet and step off the pitch. She had been on the end of a tackle that crossed the line from non-contact to contact. It was a slight touch, nothing more, but the difference in weight and speed between the two players was enough for her to be wiped out. And it was enough to mean that she ended up with injuries to hip, wrist and – more worryingly – to her neck. Her match ended there and then.

While it was open to the umpires to issue a yellow card (or another colour) to the offending player, neither felt it appropriate. They agreed that it was an unfortunate touch, and nothing more; there was no malicious intent. And that’s the nub of the problem. Even in the absence of malice, and in a non-contact sport, there is a serious risk of injury to players if there is a major imbalance in size, weight, speed and aggression. Make no mistake, this is a disaster waiting to happen.

But we are dealing with a transgender player, so we are walking on eggshells. What should we do?

Some of the parents think we should speak to the authorities, but it’s fair to say that most people within the club are fearful of the consequences if we do. Nobody wants to be labelled a bigot. “Don’t say the word ‘transgender’, and don’t say ‘juniors’,” is a common theme of our soundings on the issue. So we are forced to go along with the pretence that neither of these characteristics had anything to do with what happened. We are forced to live a lie.

Some of the parents of the girls in the team have also told us they do not want their children facing such risks. They are right to do so – they could see the danger, as could I. As the responsible adult, I know that fingers will be pointed at me if we do get another injury, so I have undertaken to tell them if I think a fixture will feature a transgender player, so that they can be absent themselves. In reality, in a team in which more than half the players are juniors, that will mean

conceding matches. That’s grossly unfair to the children, some of whom don’t get to play competitive fixtures often anyway. Worse, if a transgender player appears unexpectedly with an opposition team, we may have to refuse to play on the spot. That’s unfair to everyone, but is an inevitable consequence of the pretence that the girls are simply playing against another woman. And it’s better than a child being seriously injured.

Originally published at dailysceptic.org

Absurdity Observer

Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- After months of denial, the **FDA confirms Graphene Oxide is, in fact, found in the mRNA COVID-19 vaccines**. This information comes from Pfizer Documents the FDA attempted to keep confidential and sealed for 75 years. The documents were ordered released by the US Federal Court after a group of scientists and medical researchers sued the FDA under FOIA. The study, released by the FDA as “125742_S1_M4_4.2.1 vr vtr 10741.pd,” noted that Graphene Oxide is indeed used in the manufacturing process as it is the vital component needed to stabilize the vaccine’s lipid nanoparticles.
- **Canada gives Volkswagen over \$13 billion**. In the biggest corporate welfare payout in modern Canadian history, the federal government has pledged \$13B in grants and subsidies for Volkswagen to build Canada’s Largest EV battery plant in St. Thomas, Ontario. The government claims that 3000 direct jobs and 30,000 indirect jobs will be created as a result.
- **CBC tweeted that they are “less than 70% government-funded” after social media giant, Twitter, labeled their account “government-funded media”**. CBC reported a measly **\$1.24 billion** dollars in government funding in its 2021-2022 annual report.
- **France raises the age of retirement by 2 years**, leading to hundreds of thousands of French citizens revolting in protest. Signs are showing that other countries are considering similar pension reforms.
- According to the Ontario Mathematics Coordinators Association (OMCA), the phrase “**2+2=4**” is a form of “**covert white supremacy**”. This comes from OMCA president Jason To who also serves as the coordinator of secondary mathematics at Toronto District School Board, the largest and most influential public education body in the country. To has publicly expressed a desire to transform kids into “Co-Conspirators” capable of fighting “White Supremacy” and “colonialism.”
- **A terminally-ill woman in Alberta has been denied a place on an organ transplant list because she is not vaccinated against COVID-19**. As reported by the Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms, Sheila Annette Lewis, who is terminally ill with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, has been denied the life-saving surgery even though she provided an independent medical report showing that she has natural immunity.
- Despite the enormous amount of adverse effects associated with the vaccine, **California bill AB659 aims to require public college students under 26 to receive the HPV vaccine in order to attend college**. Besides the temporary requirement of COVID-19 vaccines, this would be the first time an adult would be required to take a vaccine in order to gain entry to a North American college.
- **A new facial biometrics payment system** by Yahoo and e-payment firm PayPay makes its debut at a

- convenience store in Tokyo.
- **World’s first bee vaccine has been approved in the US** to help tackle the problem of bees now being highly susceptible to the bacterium *Paenibacillus larvae*. A variety of chemical fungicides and pesticides being sprayed on crops have been discovered to be the trigger of the bee’s susceptibility, but instead of removing these toxic chemicals, why not make both the pesticide and the vaccine manufacturers rich, right?



- **Bud Light VP defends the brand’s decision in making trans influencer and feminization plastic surgery advocate, Dylan Mulvaney, a spokesperson for the brand by insulting their own customers**. In an interview, VP of Marketing, Alissa Heinerscheid, stated that the brand is trying to pivot away from being “fratty” and “out of touch.”
- Washington State’s senate passed a bill (SB5599) that **gives the state the power to take children away from their parents if the parents do not consent to the child’s gender transition surgery**.
- **It’s official: NIH did indeed fund “gain-of-function” coronavirus research at Wuhan Lab — officials no longer have plausible deniability**. On April 26th, Judicial Watch announced it received 552 pages of records from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services through a FOIA request. The documents included the initial grant application and annual reports to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) from EcoHealth Alliance, describing the aim of its work with the Wuhan Institute of Virology in China to create mutant viruses “to better predict the capacity of our CoVs [coronaviruses] to infect people.”
- The City of Toronto announces that as of April 1, 2023, “**feeding wildlife will be prohibited across Toronto and on private properties**” noting that “feeding wildlife can lead to public safety issues.” In addition, the city is also limiting the permitted number of guinea pig and rabbit ownership to four per household and is “allowing” dog owners 24 hours to remove dog waste from their personal property.

- As reported by Dr William Makis, MD, on his substack, we’ve reached the milestone of **150 Canadian doctors jabbed and dead since COVID-19 vaccines first rolled out**. Meanwhile, the Canadian Medical Association, which continues to push the toxic Pfizer and Moderna mRNA jabs on its own members and encourage the mandates for medical professionals, marked the occasion with a full-page Pfizer ad on the back cover of its April 2023 issue of the Canadian Medical Association Journal.
- **Oxfam erases “mother” and “father” in its new language guide**. British charity Oxfam released its Inclusive Language Guide, which, among other things, discourages staff from using the words “mother” and “father” across websites, media, and other forms of content.
- Members of the Ontario Legislative Assembly are pushing a bill titled “*The Protecting 2SLGBTQI+ Communities Act*” where “offensive remarks” would be prohibited within 100 meters of a drag show and could lead to a fine of up to \$25,000 for “offenders”.
- The US Department of Justice announced that the FBI have arrested two people on charges of operating a secret police station in New York City on behalf of China’s regime — not unlike the **Chinese police stations that have operated across Canada**, and still are in some cases.
- Atlantic Council has announced that

114 countries, representing over 95 percent of global GDP, are now exploring a CBDC (Central Bank Digital Currency). A new high of 60 countries are currently in an advanced phase of exploration (development, pilot, or launch) and 11 countries have fully launched a digital currency.

- **Two-thirds of occupations across America could be partially automated by artificial intelligence**, Goldman Sachs economists have warned. In a report published on April 5, economists Joseph Briggs and Devesh Kodnani said that a new wave of AI systems, such as ChatGPT, could have a “major impact” on employment markets across the globe, while advances in such technology could trigger shifts in workflows that could “expose the equivalent of 300 million full-time jobs to automation” across 900+ different occupations.
- Home improvement products retailer **Lowe’s has introduced surveillance robots that monitor license plates, mobile devices, to detect repeat offenders**. The 5-foot-tall, 400 pound, eggshaped robots, that go by the name “K5”, have been released in a handful of stores throughout North America.
- If you use the terms “**red-pilled,**” “**based,**” “**looks-maxxing,**” and even names like “**Chad**” and “**Stacey,**” you may be a violent extremist, according to the FBI. As revealed in documents obtained by The Heritage Foundation’s Oversight Project, the FBI has a secret list of flagged terms that it uses internally to indicate an individual’s involvement in “violent extremism.”

VOLUNTEER

Will you **help** give out these free papers in your community?



Or maybe you can help in other ways?
We’d love to hear from you.
Visit us at: druthers.net/volunteer

DONATE

Your **support** is vital to the continued success of this paper.



E-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Or visit: druthers.net/donate

SUBSCRIBE

Have your Druthers **delivered** right to your door each month.



Never miss an issue with a postal subscription.
Just cover the cost of s/h.
Sign up at: druthers.net/subscribe

DRUTHERS is entirely funded, written, distributed & promoted by the people, for the people.

Note: Please do not leave Druthers inside the newspaper boxes of other newspapers. As well, any inserts found in this paper are not endorsed by Druthers.