

DRUTHERS

FREE

Issue #19 | June 2022



/n. ...Informal...

one's own way, preference, or choice:

eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

Covering news & information that mainstream media won't. Please read and then pass this paper along to someone else when done. Find more to explore on our website: www.druthers.net

6 Million Canadians Detained In The World's Largest Prison

Voices That Need to Be Heard

By Luma Catherine Malone

I am one of over 6 million Canadians who are currently forbidden to board an airplane, train, boat or long-haul bus to travel across Canada or leave Canada. The Federal Ministry of Transportation put this rule into effect in October 2021. Now it is late May 2022. The Federal Government of Canada continues to refuse to lift this travel ban. Period. No comment. No discussion. No debate. In addition to the Canadian government travel ban, the United States government forbids us to cross the border by car into the USA.

I am one of over 6 million Canadian citizens who are currently being held as political prisoners. The walls of this very large prison are the borders and shorelines of Canada. There are vast amounts of land within the walls of this prison, so it would appear to other Canadians that we are free. But the travel ban has created walls that feel as real as the Berlin Wall once was. I wake up at night, my flight response activated, heart pounding, adrenalin running, terrified. During the day I listen to friends, neighbours and colleagues talk about their recent trips to visit family in Canada or holidays abroad. Many are oblivious to the fact that I am one of the invisible prisoners. This disturbs me even more deeply than the midnight panic attacks.

What crime did 6 million Canadians commit? What laws did we break? Why must we be held captive within the borders of Canada? What danger do we pose to airplanes, trains, buses and boats? What harm might we inflict upon our fellow travellers? What havoc might we wreak upon other nations? Why have we been vilified, demonized and condemned?

I am one of over 6 million Canadians who have made health choices other than the government, big pharma and globalist agenda sanctioned drug injection program. I erroneously believed that the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* is a document that protects Canadian citizens from government overreach and tyranny. I innocently believed I lived in a free, democratic country. I received a very shocking wake up call, as did millions of Canadians. Millions have also lost much more than the ability to travel or leave the country. I wonder what it will take for more Canadians to receive their wake up calls.

When the travel ban was announced in August 2021, I was sitting with my mother and sister on the backyard deck at my mother's home in Toronto. I had flown from my home on Vancouver Island to visit my family, whom I hadn't seen in two years. No drug



injections, QR coded vaxports or tests were required to fly. The travel ban announcement pierced me like an arrow to my heart. I collapsed to the ground, weeping. Fear, anger and hurt that I had been silently harbouring since the onset of the pandemic, spilled out. I screamed. I raged. I voiced my heretical views unabashedly. My mother and sister listened.

I expressed how vulnerable and terrified I had been feeling since the Canadian government began to demonize the "unvaxed" and millions of Canadians

"Now unvaccinated Ukrainians are allowed to fly on connecting flights within Canada, but unvaccinated Canadian citizens are not"

fell inline like petty bullies, parroting hateful propaganda. I told them how upsetting it was to listen to close relatives condemn and denounce the crazy anti-vaxxers and freedom protesters while we sipped lemonade together at a family gathering. How hurt I felt when I was not welcome in the homes of other family and friends. My sister admitted she was afraid I would die if I didn't get the vax. I admitted I was afraid my entire family would die because they are all double vaxed. My sister and I laughed. Then we cried. Feeling our love, care and concern for each other, tender places in my heart opened. I let go and cried even more. My

mother looked on with slight puzzlement and hugged me.

My mother's memory and daily functioning are rapidly declining with the onset of Alzheimers. She was always a critical thinker. Even though she struggles to articulate her thoughts, she knows that something is not right. Since the lockdowns and social distancing began in 2020, services, in person programs and assessments for people living with Alzheimers all stopped. She lives alone. My siblings who live in Toronto are her primary support. I live 3,500 km away. It takes 5-6 days to drive to Toronto from Vancouver Island. It takes 5 hours to fly.

My flight back home departed the day after the travel ban announcement. Not knowing if I would be able to fly again anytime in the near future, my goodbyes were heavy with gravity and finality.

When I arrived back in British Columbia, the dreaded vaxpass was in effect. More mandates were piling on like heavy weights. The vilification, harassment of dissidents and discrimination against the "unvaxed" increased. I rarely ventured out into cold, inhospitable, public spaces where I was not welcome. The walls of the invisible prison were closing in stronger and tighter as the cold, dark winter nights grew longer and longer.

And then, utterly surprisingly, the Freedom Convoy broke through. It was stunning. A massive wake up call, honking and rumbling across Canada. Big and bold for all to see. I felt elated and euphoric. Surely this would ignite the hearts of millions more Canadians to stand and restore freedom and democracy. I was shocked and horrified when the Prime Minister essentially declared war on citizens who oppose government tyranny and many Canadians agreed with his policies. Then attention was redirected to foreign wars. Now unvaccinated Ukrainians are allowed to fly on connecting flights within Canada, but unvaccinated Canadian citizens are not.

My mother's cognitive decline continues. Her driver's licence was taken from her when she failed a recent memory assessment. Very soon she will need to move out of her beloved home and into a senior's residential care facility. She too is losing her autonomy, ability to travel, as well as many of her cherished freedoms. Every time I speak to her, she asks about my plans to come to Toronto. She emails me numerous times every week, inquiring about my flight dates. I tell her I can not book a flight because I can not board an airplane.

She can't understand why. Neither can I.

Originally published at lumacatherine.substack.com

DRUTHERS is written, funded, promoted & distributed freely by concerned Canadians like you.

Every \$1 donated = 10 more papers printed and given away |

Want a few copies of Druthers mailed to your door each month? Go to: www.druthers.net/subscribe

telegram.me/druthers | facebook.com/druthers.net | instagram.com/druthersnews

youtube.com/druthers | bitchute.com/druthers

Have you heard about the Great Reset?

A seniors point of view

By Mona Caukill

I am a senior, 85 years of age, and concerned about my children, my grandchildren, my great grandchildren (23 of them) and on down the line. I do not want them programmed, as will happen if we continue to allow those like Justin Trudeau and his ilk to carry out the wishes of Klaus Schwab and the WEF, and the WHO.

I have, over the past couple of years, asked myself what madness has descended upon our country, and indeed our world? There are those among us who want, indeed whose greatest need, is to have a greater and greater feeling of power, and will satisfy that need in any way possible! One technique is to foment fear and hate. Politicians and mainstream media use a sentence here, an idea there, making proclamations stating that those who do not believe in what they tell you and, what they demand of you, are liars. Implying they are not worth the space in which they exist. You are told this so often that you begin to believe that it is, indeed, the truth! After all, those we voted for would not lie, would they?

Have you seen on the news, those at the head of their church being handcuffed and dragged away to jail? All because they believe in, and proclaim, that it is the God given right of those who wish to, to gather together in worship of their Creator! You have heard of business owners

handcuffed and taken away to jail because they kept their business open, hoping to continue making a living. You might not have seen, but you will have heard about human beings being beaten, shot with rubber bullets, and knocked down by police on horseback!

All this, you are told, is because they were “a fringe

to force them to leave, they must be bad people. Mustn’t they? Even though the whole world is applauding them and following their lead. Where is the humanity?

You will have heard of the WEF (World Economic Forum), and a few names of members, and what the World Health Organization is planning as their next move. Have you heard of Klaus Schwab, who is at the head of the WEF, and the Great Reset agenda? Trudeau was the first political leader to admit that he is on board with the agenda “*this pandemic has provided an opportunity for a reset*” and “*maintaining our momentum on reaching the 2030 agenda of sustainable development*” (which is a part of the great reset agenda! You didn’t see that on the news did you? That speech was NOT shown on mainstream news stations.

Now, you may be thinking of me as a conspiracy theorist. I leave that up to you, but just think about how our country, our world, is changing, and of unusual happenings in the last few years, and even the last few months! And, instead of just believing what the mainstream media is telling you, take a look at the independent free press. See what they have to report, then make up your own mind as to what you believe.

I believe in freedom as set out by the *Canadian Charter Of Rights and Freedoms*. I believe that the Great Reset must not happen. What you believe is your choice, it is a free choice. So is mine.



minority” and that, because they stood together, they are terrorists. You may not go along with the idea of them being terrorists but, if an army of police were sent

Davos reveals building blocks for “green” social credit system

By Kit Knightly

This morning, on one of the WEF’s live-streamed panels, Alibaba Holdings President J. Michael Evans claimed that the company is working on an app that could track an individual users carbon footprint.

The former-Goldman Sachs vice-chairman told the audience of the “Strategic Outlook: Responsible Consumption” panel:

“We’re developing, through technology, an ability for consumers to measure their own carbon footprint. What does that mean? That’s, where are they travelling, how are they travelling, what are they eating, what are they consuming on our platform. So: An individual carbon footprint tracker.”

Now, to clarify, Evans was only talking about Alibaba’s platform... but that’s a big platform.

The Chinese company is the second-largest e-commerce company in the world after Amazon, with revenues in excess of 715 billion Yuan in 2021 (that’s over 110 billion USD).

And they’re not just an e-commerce platform. Through their financial and technological service companies, Alibaba runs the largest domain name market, email provider and cloud storage services in China, and the largest payment platform in the world.

Through Alihealth they supply online pharmacy services, as well as providing computer technology to hospitals and clinics. Since they bought AutoNavi in 2014, they own the biggest e-map navigation company in China too.

Essentially, in China if you want to pay for something on the internet, you probably use Alibaba. If you want to order something online from a small business, you probably use Alibaba. If you want to sell your stuff second hand, you probably use Alibaba.

If you want to register a domain, go to a pharmacy, check into a hospital, send an email, use a map or GPS... you get the idea.

Alibaba’s computing sector is also a market leader in AI services, being the first payment platform to start using facial recognition technology to confirm payments in 2017.

Other projects on the go include “CityBrain”, an AI designed to scan cities and provide “streamlined” traffic services. Warning of potential accidents as well as

making public transport more efficient, a clear move toward “Smart Cities”.

The company also has previous when it comes to “individual carbon footprint” apps. In 2017 their payment platform subsidiary Ant Financial Services was named 6th in Fortune’s “Change the World” list for its



Ant Forest app. According to *Fortune*, Ant Forest is “the world’s larg-

“We’re developing, through technology, an ability for consumers to measure their own carbon footprint.”

est platform for tracking individuals’ carbon footprints”, and here’s how it works:

“Users earn points toward planting virtual trees by adopting earth-friendly habits. The company plants a real tree for every 17.9 kg of carbon saved.”

They’re incredibly vague on how users “earn” these points, or what exactly these “Earth-friendly habits”

are, but it doesn’t take a genius to make some educated guesses.

And while we’ve been focusing on the individual carbon footprint tracker, something else Evans says later in the panel is just as interesting:

“The third thing, we call it “Green Travel”. So, we have within our business something called AMAP – a mapping, think Google Maps or Waze – plus travel destination business. So what we’re going to allow people to do is, first of all, calculate the best and most efficient route and also the most efficient form of transportation. And then, if they take advantage of those recommendations, we’ll give them bonus points which they can redeem elsewhere on our platform. So, they are incentivised to do the right thing, even while they are provided the opportunity to do the wrong thing.”

So let’s put these three facts together. It seems Alibaba currently has apps, either being used or in development, that:

1. Monitor travel routes and methods and “reward” users for making the “correct choice”.
2. Can track an individual’s “carbon footprint”, including what they eat and where.
3. Have users “earn points” for “earth-friendly habits”.

Even individually these functions are worrying enough, but they combine to paint a very concerning picture of the future.

Further, combine that with what we know of the company’s reach through its subsidiaries: Smart Cities, banking, healthcare records, emails, internet activity and more.

How long before Alibaba decides to “reward” other “correct choices” that have nothing to do with the environment? Like vaccination, for example.

How long after that do they start punishing incorrect choices?

They already technically have access to the data they would need to construct this system. It would be naïve in the extreme to not see where this leads.

And, of course, it won’t just be China. If Alibaba is doing this then Google, Amazon, Apple and all the rest of them won’t be far behind.

Originally published at off-guardian.org

Detained & assaulted for seeking treatment maskless

By Currie Soulliere

When I went to Windsor Regional Hospital’s Ouellette campus on April 20 with a bleeding gash from an impact to my forehead, I knew I might be hassled for my mask exemption. But I did not expect to be harassed and assaulted by staff and police.

Emergency room staff refused to allow me past the entrance without a mask. They expected me to provide “an exemption,” as if exemption is some kind of physical token rather than an inherent status. They clarified — they wanted me to get permission to defend my exemption in the form of a doctor’s note.

Masking is a treatment as described in Ontario’s Health Care Consent Act (‘preventive’). To claim that a person needs a doctor’s permission to decline unwanted treatment is backward — doctors require our permission. We don’t require theirs. That’s why the Act states, “No treatment without consent.”

I made it clear that I simply can not cover my face, and the details are between me and a doctor I trust.

Nurses called security. Citing “policy,” they responded to my exemption like incessantly hissing vipers. “You need treatment. Wear a mask and you can have treatment. Just wear a mask.” I told them a mask would harm me. “No it won’t. Wear a mask. Just wear a mask.” To my accompanying friend they said, “Tell her to wear a mask. Get her to wear a mask and then we’ll treat her.” This lasting harassment was flippant, disturbing and dehumanizing.

My friend recorded audio of some of the abuse. Security physically pushed her out of the hospital, threatening her with criminal charges for “violating patient privacy.” Yet, she wasn’t recording patients. The hospital has many security cameras near the entrance, and does not ask patient permission to record. If my friend was violating patient privacy, the hospital violates patient privacy every day.

I was left to defend myself alone as security guards

and police surrounded me to intimidate, joining with nurses to form a group of about ten. The group ordered me to either wear a covering on my face or exit the hospital and seek treatment elsewhere.



I chose not to exit the hospital because, like all Canadians, I have a right to receive medical treatment. The *Canada Health Act* states, “In order to satisfy the criterion respecting universality, the health care insurance plan of a province must entitle one hundred per cent of the insured persons of the province to the insured health services provided for by the plan on uniform terms and conditions.”

I was certain that if I left the hospital, I would be denied treatment by covidian bigots anywhere I sought treatment. My right to receive treatment was in effect at all hospitals, including this one. I stood my ground.

A blonde police officer threatened that I would be arrested, taken to the police station, booked, and then taken right back to the hospital as per police policy because I was wounded, “and then you are going to wear a mask.” The officer was clearly threatening to suffocate me by force, strategically using general phrasing in a context of force, with the intent to absolve herself of issuing the threat on a technicality.

Many times, she forcefully repeated her threat, “You are going to be arrested and charged and then you are going to wear a mask.” I informed her every time that I was not going to wear a mask, because I can not wear a mask. “Processing me will waste your time, because we will just end up in this discussion again. What is the next step?” I said.

Shortly thereafter, she aggressively handcuffed me and ordered me to exit through the doors.

I sat down on the floor. I was too tired to cooperate with this nonsense, and I would not validate attempts by police and hospital staff to violate my right to receive needed, consented medical treatment.

With blood dripping into my left eye, I was carried from the emergency room in handcuffs for insisting I receive care. Because carrying me by my arms put most of my body weight onto my wrists, this injured me very painfully. The blonde officer belittled me for “acting like a child.”

I waited quite a while, surrounded by police and security, sitting on the ground with my mouth and throat too dry from stress to talk much, and disallowed access to my water bottle.

I was then helped to my feet and introduced to a professionally-dressed man — Luke DiPaolo, the hospital’s director of Psychiatry. He asked me what had happened. I described the events up to this point.

DiPaolo acknowledged that I had a right to treatment, and was to be treated with my exemption respected. I had been in the right the entire time, and yet still I suffered all this abuse, while injured, for insisting I receive treatment for a serious head wound.

The blonde officer removed the handcuffs. I held up my bright red, indented, inflamed wrists. “So I have a right to treatment,” I said, “and yet you did this to me.”

She practically shouted in response, “We had to remove you! You were blocking the door!”

This was an absolute lie. I had been standing with my back to the wall the entire time I was inside the emergency department. If anyone was blocking the door, it was the gang of police, nurses and security. I never blocked anyone from coming or going. I had no motive whatsoever to block people from seeking treatment. It was the police and security presence that would deter patients from entering, just as they were stopping me from entering.

I responded to the officer’s lie by informing everyone present that I had not blocked anyone’s way, and I had never even been accused of blocking the entrance until now.

“We didn’t have a chance to say anything to you!” lied the officer, “You kept going on and on citing laws!”

I had cited laws while giving others plenty of time to respond. I did not in any way prevent the officer from saying anything she may have wanted to say to me. I was detained under false allegations of trespassing because I insisted upon receiving rightful medical treatment while exempt from face coverings.

I replied that what the blonde officer said was not true, and left it at that. I felt dreamy with relief that I would actually be treated.

I was left to re-enter the building unaccompanied, and when the entranceway nurses saw me, they again pushed a mask toward me and told me to wear it. Apparently, they thought that the altercation had broken me, and that I had been released and allowed treatment for agreeing to let them assault me further by covering my face. When I explained the true situation, they didn’t believe me.

I asked for tissues, because my wound was again dripping into my eye, and I was using my finger as an awning to divert the flow. The nurses refused to provide me with a tissue. I used their mask to sop up some blood and threw it in the bin beneath the small counter.

I had to escort a nurse to learn the truth from the blonde officer outside, and I had to listen to the nurses complain in hateful disgust while I completed the covid screening form.

Later in the waiting room, the emergency room’s manager, Kuljeet Kalsi, sat down to speak with me. He used the false pretense of caring about my wound as a point of entry for further attempts to badger me into covering my face, and spent ten to twenty minutes “asking” me “politely” to cover my face. He did not let up when I told him how traumatizing the recent abuse had been.

Kalsi claimed that his reason for continuing to push masking on me was because people in his position care about patients. I told him briefly about the sexual assaults (obstetric violence) I endured at Windsor Regional Hospital in 2019. I described how police had refused to acknowledge the assaults because the perpetrators were medical staff. I asked him how, when police consistently treat medical staff as though they are above the law and medical staff embrace this privilege, can he expect me to believe that people like him care about patient wellbeing? He didn’t have an answer for me.

Kalsi rushed me with phony verbal acknowledgements when I was speaking. He focused solely on “asking” me to wear a mask, repeatedly “asking politely,” when it was nothing of the sort. It was pushy, uncaring, manipulative and creepy. That he used a polite tone was a blatant attempt to manipulate me to cover my face in shame. After everything that his emergency ward had just put me through, Kuljeet Kalsi’s badgering session was particularly inappropriate.

In the end, I received zero tickets and five stitches. I never wore a mask or shield, because I can not cover my face. Sorry police, nurses, security; sorry Kalsi and crew, I’m just not going to do it. I’ve never done it, and I never will, because I can’t. Sorry. The answer is no.

What I experienced was abuse, but it ended in victory. I proved my case by standing my ground and, although I was criminally harassed and assaulted by state employees in the process, I achieved my goal.

The fight isn’t done until the fight is won. Tyrannical abuses are now a tool in my hands. I have already filed a report with the Office of the Independent Police Review Director. I have also submitted a report in writing to the patient advocate at Windsor Regional’s Ouellette Campus, Angela D’Alessandro, for this matter to be taken all the way to the top. Because crimes were committed, I expect to also press criminal charges. I will take this as far as I possibly can to achieve justice and repair the damage done by covidian hysteria to our public services.

If you’re inspired by my story, please remember it the next time you have an opportunity to just say “No.”

Learn more about Currie and her work @ [standupwindsor.ca](https://www.standupwindsor.ca)

Barely the day started and...

By Caitriona Loughrey

Barely the day started and... it’s already six in the evening.

Barely arrived on Monday and it’s already Friday.

... and the month is already over.

... and the year is almost over.

... and already 40, 50 or 60 years of our lives have passed.

... and we realize that we lost our parents, friends, and we realize it’s too late to go back...

So... Let’s try, despite everything, to enjoy the remaining time...

Let’s keep looking for activities that we like...

Let’s put some color in our grey...

Let’s smile at the little things in life that put balm in our hearts.

And despite everything, we must continue to enjoy with serenity this time we have left. Let’s try to eliminate the afters...

I’m doing it after...

I’ll say after...

I’ll think about it after...

We leave everything for later like “after” is ours.

Because what we don’t understand is that:

Afterwards, the coffee gets cold...

afterwards, priorities change...

Afterwards, the charm is broken...

afterwards, health passes...

Afterwards, the kids grow up...

Afterwards parents get old...

Afterwards, promises are forgotten...

afterwards, the day becomes the night...

afterwards life ends...

And then it’s often too late....

So... Let’s leave nothing for later...

Because still waiting see you later, we can lose the best moments,

the best experiences,

best friends,

the best family...

The day is today... The moment is now...

Digital ID: Passport to Freedom or Slavery?

By Darlene

Some Canadian provinces are considering implementing digital ID. On the Ministry of Ontario website, digital ID is presented as a benign and unobtrusive system, described as “a new, convenient way to prove who you are that will make accessing online and in-person services simpler, safer, and more secure.”

Sounds good, right? What could be wrong with such a system?

The site then goes on to define their terms:

- a.) **convenient and secure**— it lives on your mobile device and your data is protected using strong encryption. It can be turned off if your phone is lost or stolen
- b.) **privacy-preserving** — for example, if you need to show you are age of majority, the verifier will only know you are over 18, not your date of birth or actual age
- c.) **verifiable** — just like a driver’s licence, a digital ID is certified government proof that you are who you say you are
- d.) **voluntary** — signing up for digital ID will be optional and you can control who can access your data

The official government line is clearly in favour of this new form of identification. Should we trust them?

Central banks in Canada and around the world are already developing digital currencies to replace paper money. These currencies will be integrated into any digital ID. Digital ID will centralize every citizen’s financial, business, medical and social information and assign them an identification under this system, all of which threaten our civil liberties, and privacy rights, proving an opportunity for abuse of governmental authorities in terms of surveillance and compelled behaviour.

We have already witnessed that the government is capable of such abuse of power as evidenced in the freedom convoy protest in which the government seized property and froze bank accounts to counter those whose opinions differ from their leaders.

We know that the central banking system is interested in centralizing our currencies into a crypto currency much like bitcoin. However, there is a big difference between centralized digital currency and the decentralized currencies like bitcoin.

For the most part, when we use cash or decentralized currencies like bitcoin, we don’t have to reveal our identity when exchanging goods. We aren’t excluded from buying or selling if we don’t disclose our information.

In the case of a centralized crypto currency, the banks would have control over your transactions as you would be required to have an ID that would enable you to access that currency as it is no longer in your personal control. You can’t have CBDC’s without digital ID, so in reality it won’t be voluntary at all unless you decide not to participate in society.


With centralized digital currency access through digital ID, every single transaction in your life will be logged and registered on an open ledger (block chain). Your identity will be tied to your currency, and it will log all your transactions. Forever. Unlike decentralized digital currency, where your identity will not be revealed on a blockchain ledger so you won’t be tracked and traced, there will be no anonymity whatsoever.

This type of digital currency, requiring a digital ID, can be programmed by the government, who will have the power to determine what you purchase and what you sell and buy.

Digital ID can also give the government the power to trump your own individual decision making. For instance, it might stop you from driving for fear that you are using too much gas. You might need third party approval for your transactions, leading all of us dangerously to a potential social credit system like what exists in China, in which the government can determine what we can and can’t do or spend based on whatever arbitrary system they determine. For instance, I might want to take a trip, but the government decides that it will not permit me to access money for the trip because I might be punished for what is perceived to be my bad behaviour like failure to recycle or buying too much meat. Or, maybe the government doesn’t like what I say; hence, I could be financially punished for exercising what was once my right to free speech.

Furthermore, do you really think that everyone will be subject to the same system? Do you trust that those in control of this system won’t abuse it? That the elites will

set up rules for us common folk, rules that won’t apply to them? Abuse seems quite likely based on the hypocritical flaunting of covid rules by our illustrious leaders who implemented those rules.



Digital ID also lends itself to creating a Universal Basic Income, which means you won’t have control over your own money. The World Economic Forum, the organiza-

tion behind the Great Reset proposal that “You will own nothing and be happy” is in complete support of digital identity. The government will own everything and decide what you get and when you get it. Will you really be happy?

Apparently, the people of Saskatchewan were not happy with the proposal of a digital ID. A recent poll revealed that 58% of respondents were unable to state they were even “somewhat comfortable” with a digital ID that could lead to a Chinese style social credit system. The Saskatchewan government has already, for now, rejected Digital ID, citing the cost of implementing the system as being another large concern.

What about Ontario? Will implementing a digital ID in the fall of 2022 be for the betterment of Ontarians? Did you get a chance to voice your concerns? Perhaps we all need to let our current MPPs and prospective new MPP’s running the provincial election on July 2, 2022, know how we feel about the direction of our great province.

Canadians need to think long and hard about the type of society in which they want to live and the amount of government they want in their lives. Is serfdom the price we pay for digital convenience?

Cryptocurrency Maximalism – A Fools Errand or a Gold Mine

By Oliver Ross

As we inch towards 2030 and beyond, the terms blockchain and digital currencies are more commonly presented by the global elites as a means of dealing with inflation. They seem to be the tools the globalists wish to use for a new monetary paradigm as part of Bretton Woods 3. As central bank digital currencies become a reality, many people reflexively jump on the cryptocurrency bandwagon as the answer to this problem.

Many believe that because of the decentralized nature of cryptocurrency tokens, they are the key to taking back monetary power from central banks and globalists. Even though there is value to that sentiment, it is important not to become strict bitcoin (or cryptocurrency) maximalists as this creates unnecessary risks in an already tumultuous time.

Throughout the last two years (or longer) many people have reassessed where and how their wealth should be stored and preserved. Many probably had or still have stocks, mutual funds, RRSPs or any other assortment of bank or Wall Street associated investments. When the average person thinks of asset diversification, they usually think of having funds in different sectors like energy or agriculture; however, when investing in this manner, your funds are still locked up in an institution.

There is rightful fear now that these funds could get frozen by the government or banks alike which has led people to look at means of truly diversifying where their hard earned money is held. In this approach, there are many asset classes that have some degrees of separation from the current banking system including cryptocurrencies, physical metals, or even property ownership.

Bringing the focus back to cryptocurrency, it is important to first understand what both bitcoin and cryptocurrency maximalism is to determine both the upsides and downsides of being a cryptocurrency maximalist. There are many degrees to bitcoin maximalism; extreme maximalists believe that bitcoin is the one true cryptocurrency and nothing else can ever match it. These people tend to only hold and trade (if they do any trading at all) bitcoin only. While there is some merit to having a strict approach to what makes a cryptocurrency and what factors are important for a blockchain based project, it is also important to not

become too strict in this regard.

There are two primary reasons for individuals to become cryptocurrency maximalists: decoupling from the current monetary system and trying to become wealthy. When branching out of bitcoin alone, the cryptocurrency market has many opportunities to increase wealth. With the high level of volatility and the many different protocols for making passive income, cryptocurrency is a very enticing environment. For these reasons, many people may want to put all of their funds into crypto and diversify by having funds in different wallets and tokens.

There is also the added benefit of being able to transact using cryptocurrency. However, also due to the volatility of the market, it is very easy to lose money. Furthermore, if an individual does not research the wallets, exchanges, and tokens they are using, then their funds may disappear. That is not to mention the obvious implications of only having funds in a digital currency, especially now with internet security issues increasing. While cryptocurrency is an important component to anyone’s portfolio, losing sight of the potential downsides of the asset class can be damaging.

If there was ever a time to be extra careful with where and how you invest your time and money, this is it. With every passing day, the importance of having investments outside the system, like cryptocurrency and precious metals, become more important.

Always remember that placing all funds in one asset class can result in losing everything. It is important to not put all of your eggs in one basket or you may land up owning nothing (and not being happy).

Learn more about Oliver and his work @ libertylives00.com



Managing the Obstacle Course of Medical Mandates in Ontario

By Bonnie Jean

A person who has chosen not to participate in the experimental injection program has a very uncomfortable, disappointing and obstructive path to forge as they try to engage in everyday activities. Though the provincial “show your papers” mandate in Ontario was lifted at the beginning of March, many public venues and event organizers chose to continue requiring a vaccine passport for entry and turned away those without.

I was tasked to list what we jab-free folk were and were not allowed to do, but this is not a straightforward comprehensive matter. Like all the daily changing covid policies since March 2020, this restriction is highly inconsistent and changes wildly from day to day, leaving the population in a state of confusion, anxiety and unable to make decisions for themselves and their families. This, of course, was always part of the strategy used by public health officials to destabilize the critical thinking abilities of otherwise intelligent and sensible people leaving them vulnerable to cling to repetitive covid propaganda in the media in order to find direction.

Starting in September of 2021, those without the proof of so-called “vaccination” pass could forget about attempting to enter restaurants, museums, art galleries, movie theaters, community centres, gyms, yoga studios and churches. Luckily there were one or two businesses in each town that decided to honor their integrity and open up to the public. They suffered a heartbreaking backlash, thousands of dollars in fines, and harassment from local officials and community members. It was definitely enough vitriol to deter less brave and rebellious business owners from standing up to the tyranny despite the detriment of their own financial books, and customer relations. It was a no-win situation for independent community businesses.

As we sit presently, the only steadfast government regulated exclusions are:

- a proof of experimental injection, which includes one booster for aged 12-59 and 2 boosters for 60+, is required to travel on any airplane departing a federal airport, train or cruise ship
- a proof of experimental injection which includes one booster for aged 12-59 and 2 boosters for 60+ is required to enter a provincially regulated long term care facility, respite care centre & retirement

- home
- a proof of experimental injection, which includes one booster for aged 12-59 and 2 boosters for 60+, is required to maintain employment with the federal government, provincial health or education employees or engage in contractual work for any



- of these agencies
- a proof of experimental injection, which includes one booster for aged 12-59 and 2 boosters for 60+, is required to enter the premises of a hospital or health care clinic in Ontario, (leaving children, elders and beloved family members without an adult advocate and trusted companionship when they require emergency care, treatments, surgery or if they are dying)

“A person who has chosen not to participate in the experimental injection program has a very uncomfortable, disappointing and obstructive path to forge as they try to engage in everyday activities.”

- anyone without a proof of experiment injection, which includes one booster for aged 12-59 and 2 boosters for 60+, has their eligibility automatically disqualified for life saving organ transplants. After the provincial mandate was dropped on March 1, many businesses, galleries and museums, churches and performing arts venues decided to continue the requirement for varying lengths of time. The only way to know for sure is by calling ahead. There is no Ontario Human Rights protection for citizens experiencing discrimination based on medical status if a business decides to require the health pass for entry or participation. Thus,

some restaurants, community centres, child care programs, and healthcare clinics have a policy of excluding those without the proof of experimental injection.

It is strongly suggested that if you are in the population who chose to decline participation in this mass experiment, which isn’t being prudently monitored, phone ahead if you are unsure; and don’t promise your children and teenagers any class, day-camp, recreational activity, concert or membership before you confirm whether they are going to be discriminated against and made to feel embarrassed. Remember when phoning ahead that a community centre, venue or sports facility may not require a pass but individual teachers and organizers may.

Another unsavory situation that non-jabbed people are subject to is social pressure and coercion. The general public, including family members, trusted health care providers and support people, have undergone a media driven psychological operation which compels them to push, promote and plead you to submit to this unwanted invasive medical procedure.

Furthermore, health care professionals have undergone enormous pressure including financial incentive to compel any patient who has not yet injected. The following word for word excerpt, obtained and disseminated by *Rebel News*, is from a letter sent to medical doctors by Ontario Health which offers them:

- “Technical support to identify unvaccinated patients using Ontario Health data.
- Patient outreach support and training for your staff so you can delegate and automate the process (e.g., templates and scripts for phone calls, emails, text messages).
- Trained medical student volunteers to help you contact your patients.
- Resources for responding to vaccine-related questions.
- Connecting patients with community ambassadors (trained public health advisors in your community).
- Arranging an online session with you and a group of your unvaccinated patients.

Our facilitators can invite patients, prepare materials, and co-host the meeting so you can focus on the discussion with your patients. We are offering a stipend of \$150 for your time with this session, and you can also bill OHIP \$134 with code K082 (group therapy).”

All of what has been outlined in this article amounts to coercion which used to be illegal, is immoral and can be seen as a good indication of the lack of integrity behind this so-called “vaccine” drive. Stand 4 Canada cites the following harms inflicted: Loss of privacy, Lack of informed consent, Uttering threats, Extortion and Attempted assault. Please recognise these measures and mandates for what they are: unscrupulous pharmaceutical marketing.

Courage to Face the Truth

By Anonymous

People around you will accuse you of focusing on negativity or being in a bad mood because you are trying to convey to them some ugly truths of this world. I always replied that I consider myself exceptionally positive, because despite the awareness of darkness, I still shine more than ever.

You are not a positive person if you ignore the negative, but if you remain positive even if you are aware of the negative.

In fact, when a person realizes the depth of the darkness that surrounds us, he also realizes the power of light inside us. This is a reward for having the courage to face the truth.

Unfortunately, we live in a world of lazy cowards, and most people would rather bury their heads in the sand than take the time and do the necessary work to

confront the truth, both the truth of the world and their personal truth.

I admit that this is not an easy path, but it is absolutely necessary. The good thing is that a lot of rewards are waiting for you along the way:

First, we make the best decisions based on knowledge, and this gives us a sense of strength and pride that we may have never experienced before.

Secondly, it helps us to choose the best people around us, and we will experience a more sincere and genuine friendship and relationship.

Last but not least, by discovering the truth in what surrounds us, we also discover the truth in ourselves.

When you remove the layers of conditioning and suggestion, you come to the real you, the person you were supposed to become; a living soul in all its beauty, divinity and potential. Now the real life begins.

So let’s make the most of it. Now we are going through a storm, but our future is bright.

Websites of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us. You can visit druthers.net to get in touch.

vaccinechoiccanada.com	swprs.org
freedomrising.info	action4canada.com
worlddoctorsalliance.com	americasfrontlinedoctors.com
thehighwire.com	constitutionalconventions.ca
corbettreport.com	stopworldcontrol.com
pressfortruth.ca	evidencenotfear.com
weareallessential.ca	activistpost.com
stand4thee.com	freedomforumcanada.com
awarriorcalls.com	thefreedompages.ca
takeactioncanada.ca	standupcanada.solutions
brightlightnews.com	lauralynn.tv
gbdeclaration.org	libertycoalitioncanada.com
jccf.ca	awakecanada.org

Monkeypoxmania

by CJ Hopkins

Lock yourselves down inside your homes! Break out the masks and prophylactic face-shields! Switch off what's left of your critical faculties and prepare yourselves to "follow the Science!"

Yes, that's right, just as the survivors of The Simulated Apocalyptic Plague of 2020-2021 were crawling up out of their Covid bunkers and starting to "build the world back better," another biblical pestilence has apparently been unleashed on humanity!

This time it's the dreaded monkeypox, a viral zoonotic disease endemic to central and western Africa that circulates among giant pouched rats, squirrels, dormice, and other rodents and has been infecting humans for centuries, or millennia. Monkeypox causes fever, headaches, muscle aches, and sometimes fluid-filled blisters, tends to resolve in two to four weeks, and thus poses absolutely zero threat to human civilization generally.

The corporate media do not want to alarm us, but it is their duty as professional journalists to report that THE MONKEYPOX IS SPREADING LIKE WILDFIRE! OVER 100 CASES OF MONKEYPOX have been confirmed in countries throughout the world! MONKEYPOX TASK FORCES are being convened! Close-up photos of NASTY-LOOKING MONKEYPOX LESIONS are being disseminated! The President of the United States says "EVERYBODY SHOULD BE CONCERNED!"

The WHO is calling it "a multi-country monkeypox outbreak!" Belgium has introduced a mandatory quarantine. The CDC has gone to "Alert Level 2!" "Enhanced precautions" are recommended! In New York City, the nexus of probably the most paranoid, mask-wearing,

quadruple-"vaccinated" New Normal fanatics on the face of the planet, the Department of Health is instructing everyone to wear the masks they are already wearing

As Yogi Berra famously put it, "it's like déjà vu all over again."

Except that it isn't ... or it probably isn't. Before I could even finish this column, the United GloboCap Ministries of Truth started dialing down the monkeypox panic. It appears they're going with "it's a gay pandemic," or an "LGBTQ pandemic," or an "LGBTQIA+ pandemic," or whatever the official acronym is by the time I click the "publish" button, and making other noises to the effect that it might not be absolutely necessary this time to order a full-scale global lockdown, release the drones and robotic dogs, inject everybody with experimental drugs, and start viciously persecuting "monkeypox deniers."

You didn't really believe they were launching a shot-by-shot remake of Covid, did you? The showrunners at GloboCap may be preternaturally evil, but they aren't stupid. Only the most hopelessly brainwashed New Normals would go along with another "apocalyptic pandemic" before the current one has even been officially cancelled. No, unfortunately, odds are, we're just getting a preview of what "life" is going to be like in the New Normal Reich, where the masses will be perpetually men-

aced by an inexhaustible assortment of exotic pathogens and interchangeable pseudo-pathological threats.

The New Normal was never about Covid specifically. It was always about implementing a new "reality" — a pathologized-totalitarian "reality," not so much ruled as discreetly "guided" by unaccountable, supranational, non-governmental governing entities, global corporations, and assorted billionaires — in which Covid, or monkeypox, or kangaroopox, or any other viral zoonotic disease, or any climate-related or economic development, or aberrant ideological or

See, 'What's' p.10



to protect them from both Covid and monkeypox, and smallpox, and largepox, and airborne cancer, and God knows what other horrors might be out there!

Here in the capital of New Normal Germany, Karl Lauterbach, who, despite wasting hundreds of millions of Euros on superfluous "vaccines," attempting to compulsorily "vaccinate" every man, woman, and child in the country, and otherwise behaving like a fascist lunatic, remains the official Minister of Health, is excitedly hopping up and down and hooting like a Siamang gibbon about "recommendations for isolation and quarantine," and other "monkeypox containment measures."

Canadian Armed Forces Veteran Marching 4,293 Kilometres To Defend Canadian Freedoms

By Canada Marches Team

Border of Manitoba and Ontario, May 9, 2022- Canada Marches Team

James Topp knows service and sacrifice. Topp, a 28-year veteran of the Canadian Armed Forces, is once again testing his limits, but this time in service of those Canadians to whom all the guaranteed Rights and Freedoms from our Charter are being

infringed upon. Topp — and his Canada Marches ground team — are marching 4,293km's across Canada, from the West Coast toward the Nation's Capital and The Tomb of the Unknown Soldier, in a peaceful demonstration against those government mandates that make the unvaccinated population less than able to take part in normal activities such as make a living working for the Federal Government (in many organizations across the country), flying, traveling across the Border to the US, among others. It also has the main underlying reason for enforcing Canadians' fundamental right to choose (no matter their vaccination status).

79 days into the roughly 130-day march, James, his on-ground team along with the Canadian public marching with him, average 40 to 50km's a day as they continue to head east. #CanadaMarches began at the Terry Fox Statue in Vancouver, Feb 20, 2022. James and his team are expected to arrive at the Tomb of the Unknown Soldier in Ottawa, mid to late June 2022, approx 130 days later. ETA is set for June 22, but that timeline is entirely dependent upon sev-

eral factors (weather, injury, route decided upon,etc.) Plans are being finalized for meetings to discuss these issues between Topp and those MP's that have responded since letters were mailed to every MP and Senator in Parliament.

The public support that's been shown from the moment he made his very public announcement via social media, has been overwhelming with many outreach services being offered including warm meals, supplies, fuel cards and accommodation being

See, 'Veteran' p.10



Advertisement

VACCINE CHOICE CANADA

Our Right • Our Choice • Our Future

Notre droit • Notre choix • Notre avenir

VaccineChoiceCanada.com/Join Us

9 Examples of Disinformation Propagated by the CDC that “Shattered the Public’s Trust in Science”

By Druthers Staff Writer

On April 29, 2022, two days after the US Department of Homeland Security announced its new Disinformation Governance Board, US Surgeon General Dr. Vivek Murthy asked the general public to send him examples of health misinformation. Little did he know what was in store for him. In a submission to the U.S. Surgeon General, Indiana’s Attorney General Todd Rokita joined with leading scientists Dr. Jay Bhattacharya and Dr. Martin Kulldorff and set out nine examples of disinformation propagated by the CDC and other health organizations that have “shattered the public’s trust in science and public health and will take decades to repair”.

May 2, 2022.

The Office of the Surgeon General requested information on the prevalence of health misinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic and the impact of such misinformation on the U.S. public health system in order to be better prepared to respond to a future public health crisis.

We agree that misinformation has been a major problem during the pandemic. The spread of inaccurate scientific information has made it difficult for the public to make the right decisions to protect themselves, their families, and their communities from COVID-19 and the collateral public health damage arising from the pandemic countermeasures. As such, the disinformation has led to great harm in the lives and livelihoods of Americans. We submit the following examples of disinformation from the CDC and other health organizations that have shattered the public’s trust in science and public health and will take decades to repair.

#1 Overcounting COVID-19: The official CDC numbers for COVID-19 deaths and hospitalizations are inaccurate. The official tallies include many people who have died with, rather than from, COVID-19. CDC has not distinguished deaths where COVID-19 was the primary cause of death, where COVID-19 was a contributing cause of death, or where the death was entirely unrelated to COVID-19, but they incidentally tested positive.

There are three reasons for this problem. (i) The counting of COVID-19 cases and deaths is unlike the way that public health counts the incidence and mortality caused by other diseases; physicians have been advised to fill out death certificates to privilege COVID-19 as a proximal cause, even when the medical facts suggest otherwise. (ii) The population-wide testing to identify asymptomatic individuals infected with the SARS-CoV-2 virus is unprecedented in human history. (iii) Although it would have been easy, CDC has not conducted random national surveys of medical charts to determine what proportion of reported COVID-19 deaths were truly due to COVID-19. Ex-post audits of death certificates and medical records in Santa Clara County and Alameda County, California, for instance, found that in ~25% of death certificates in which COVID-19 was labelled as the primary cause of death, other causes of death were more likely. The peer-reviewed literature confirms that COVID-19 is overcounted in other developed countries. Ex post audits of death certificates should be conducted to establish an accurate death count from COVID-19.

#2 Questioning Natural Immunity: There has been consistent questioning and denying of natural immunity after COVID-19 recovery. Using seriously flawed studies, CDC falsely claimed that natural immunity is worse than vaccine acquired immunity. In October 2020, the CDC director published a “memorandum” in The Lancet, questioning natural immunity. Most critically, by mandating vaccination for people who have recovered from COVID-19, the government, corporations, and universities de facto deny natural immunity.

For scientists, this has been the most surprising disinformation. We have known about natural immunity since the Athenian Plague in 430 BC; other coronaviruses generate natural immunity; and throughout the pandemic, we knew that the COVID-19 recovered have good natural immunity if and when they get exposed the next time. That is, six months after the start of the pandemic, we had epidemiological evidence that natural immu-

nity lasts at least six months; a year into the pandemic, we knew that natural immunity lasted at least one year, and so on.

#3 COVID-19 Vaccines Prevent Transmission: The CDC director and other health officials falsely claimed that the COVID-19 vaccine prevents the transmission of COVID-19 to others. This was also the rationale for vaccine mandates and passports — to prevent the spread of the virus to others. At the time, we did not know, and it turned out to be wrong. When the COVID-19 vaccines were approved for emergency use, the manufacturers presented randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that showed that the vaccines reduced symptomatic disease.



The trials were not designed to determine whether they could also limit transmission or prevent death, even though they could have been designed to do so. As it turned out, vaccinated individuals spread the disease to others. While it was unfortunate that the RCTs were not designed to answer the disease transmission question, it is irresponsible for public health officials to claim that they did when the RCTs did not even attempt to answer that question.

#4 School Closures Were Effective and Costless: In the United States, most schools were closed for in-person teaching for some time, and many schools were closed for over a year. This decision was based on false claims that it would protect children, teachers, and the community at large. Already in the early summer of 2020, we knew this was false. Sweden was the only major Western country to keep schools open throughout spring 2020 without masks, social distancing, or testing. Among these 1.8 million children ages 1 to 15, there were zero COVID-19 deaths, only a few hospitalizations, and teachers did not have a higher COVID-19 risk than the average of other professions.

Moreover, while older people living with a working-age adult had a higher COVID-19 risk, there was no evidence that also living with a child increased that risk further. In a July 2020 New England Journal of Medicine article evaluating school closures, they did not mention the Swedish data and evidence, which is like evaluating a new drug without including data from the placebo comparison group. Despite clear evidence on the safety of keeping schools open, misinformation led to many schools being closed for over one year.

#5 Everyone is equally at risk of hospitalization and death from COVID-19 infection: Though public health messaging has blunted this fact, there is more than a thousand-fold difference in the risk of hospitalization and death for the old relative to the young. Though the risk of death is high for the old and some other vulnerable populations with severe chronic illness, the risk posed to children from COVID-19 infection is on par with the risk posed by a bad influenza season. Surveys indicate, however, that both old and young overestimate the risk of death from COVID-19 infection. This misperception about risk is harmful because it leads to demand for policies — such as school closures and lockdowns — that were themselves harmful.

#6 There was no reasonable policy alternative to lockdowns: Even from the beginning of the pandemic, the sharp age-gradient in the risk of severe disease on COVID-19 infection has provided an alternative to the lockdown-focused policies that many U.S. states adopted — focused protection of the aged and other-

wise vulnerable. In October 2020, along with Prof. Sunetra Gupta of Oxford University, we wrote the Great Barrington Declaration — a public petition that proposed heightened measures to protect the vulnerable and a return to near-normal life for the less vulnerable (including the opening of schools). Tens of thousands of doctors and scientists signed the Declaration in opposition to lockdowns. In the Declaration itself and in supporting documents, we offered many concrete policy suggestions for better protecting the vulnerable, including reduced staff rotations in nursing homes, free home delivery of groceries and other essentials offered to older people living in the community, paid sabbatical leave or alternative work arrangements for older workers, and many other policy options. We also invited the public health community to join in thinking creatively about other ideas to protect the vulnerable. As subsequent research has confirmed, it was clear even at the time that lockdowns could not protect the vulnerable (nearly 80% of COVID-19 deaths have occurred among the elderly in the U.S.). Meanwhile, countries like Sweden, which did not implement lockdowns, have had near-zero overall excess death over the last two years of the pandemic. Lockdowns are an aberration — a sharp deviation from traditional public health management of respiratory epidemics — and a catastrophic failure of public health policy.

#7 Mask mandates are effective in reducing the spread of viral infectious diseases: Contrary to assertions by some public health officials, mask mandates have not been effective

in protecting most populations against COVID-19 risk. The SARS-CoV-2 virus spreads by aerosolization. Unlike larger viral droplets, which are pulled by gravity to the ground shortly after emission, aerosols are tiny particles that can persist in the air for extended periods. Aerosols escape through gaps of poorly fitted masks, greatly reducing their ability to stop disease spread. Cloth masks, in particular, cannot stop aerosols, and even well-fitted N95 masks have diminished capacity to stop viral transmission when they become moist from breathing. It is thus unsurprising that the highest quality evidence available — randomized trials — conducted both before and during the pandemic find that masks are ineffective at stopping the spread of respiratory viruses in most settings when worn by untrained people.

#8 Mass testing of asymptomatic individuals and contact tracing of positive cases is effective in reducing disease spread: Mass testing of asymptomatic individuals with contact tracing and quarantining of people who test positive has failed to substantively slow the progress of the epidemic and has imposed great costs on people who were quarantined even though they posed no risk of infecting others. Three facts are crucial to understanding why this policy has failed. First, even close contacts of someone who tests positive for the SARS-Cov-2 virus are unlikely to pass the disease on. In a large meta-analysis of household contacts of asymptomatic positive cases, only 3% of people living in the same home got sick. Second, the PCR test that has been used to identify asymptomatic infections often returns a positive result for people who have dead viral fragments, are not infectious, and pose no risk of infecting others. And third, the contact tracing system becomes overwhelmed whenever cases start to rise, leading to long delays in contacting new cases. At precisely the moment when contact tracing might be needed, it can-

See, ‘Lies’ p.8

Advertisement

STRATEGIC ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT INC.

- THE FUTURE IS VISIONARY -

MADE IN CANADA

WITH UNLIMITED CREATIVE IMAGINATION

ADVANCE IN THE DIRECTION OF THE FUTURE,
WITH AN EXTRA-ORDINARY VISION.
TO BUILD GREAT THINGS TOGETHER.

[HTTPS://WWW.STRATEGIC-ENTERPRISE.CA](https://www.strategic-enterprise.ca)

The Stay Sane Approach: Respecting People and Recognizing Paranoia

By Geo Ivansky

When we hear the tired refrain “people are stupid”, we have to ask “Compared to what?” As people, we build flying machines, we read DNA, and we call sound that reflects universal mathematical truth “music”, and listen to it for enjoyment.

Against such precedents, it is also plain that people can behave in rather disappointing ways. When such aberrant acts appear in individuals, they can often be understood in terms of stress factors. When they appear on a large scale in society, they can often be understood in terms of Mass Hysteria.

Clinical psychologist Mattias Desmet provides an insightful framework for interpreting such movements in his description of **Mass Formation**. He recognizes factors such as lack of **social contact** and a lack of **sense of purpose**, coupled with an abundance of free floating **anxiety** and **discontent** as conditions that provide the optimal environment for the emergence of this phenomenon. Mass Formation satisfies the voids and lessens the severity of these deleterious conditions, and is characterized by zealous embrace of a **New Society**, strict loyalty to its edicts and tenets, and fierce rejection of challenges to its legitimacy.

Those who have experienced or studied Cult behavior will find this familiar, and the likeness of the “Covid Safe” to a Cult is clear.

Regarding Covid, it is very characteristic of the Sane to question just what has happened to everyone around us. That a surprisingly small number of people appear to be doing so publicly only serves to reinforce the urgency of the situation, and may add to an already heightened state of social isolation and discontent. This in turn urges us to a decision and a division. We can join the Mass Formation for the relief it provides or carry the burden of witnessing something senseless while feeling powerless to change it.

The decision to decline pressure to join the Mass Formation incurs penalties (both legally and socially) and as a result carries the risk of inspiring resentment toward the people who have become complicit. In order to avoid this pitfall and effectively communicate with those experiencing the paranoid delusions of Covid Mass Hysteria, our conversations should be informed by knowledge of two Key factors:

1. The adverse conditions which have made people vulnerable to deception.
2. The likely reactions and behaviors of people exposed to such conditions.

When dealing with people subject to paranoid Mass Hysteria, it is important to recognize the importance held by their participation in it. Since the New Society comprises the solution to the problems of isolation, meaninglessness, anxiety and discontent, they will not take the decision to forfeit such relief lightly.

Inconsistent and illogical messaging from the media has conditioned people to feel confused and powerless. As a result, one of the needs satisfied by the Mass Formation is Identification with the solutions offered by Authorities, and with it a tendency to accept them despite their flaws. Indeed, the more senseless the situation appears, the more closely held the edicts of the Authorities will be. And the more senseless a behavior appears, the better it serves as a symbol of Cult membership. When the ride is bumpy, we tend to hold on tighter and take greater comfort in the idea that “we are all in it together”.

When battling the abuse of information that supports Covid Mass Hysteria, we are battling a foe that is oblivious to logic and falsification. Since its very fabric is one of ever changing nonsense, attempts to untangle it that are based on evidence, logic, or counterexample tend to be less effective. There have been a number of credible data points that stood in such relief to the media narrative surrounding Covid, it seemed as if the delusion should unravel when they were brought to light. These “headshot” cases, such as the invalidity of PCR as a disease test or the ineffective and unconscionable use of experimental Gene Therapy as a mandatory “vaccine”, are drawn from data that is recognized as high integrity, such as the inventors of the technologies in question, the *New York Times*, and government statistics. Each of these and several other revelations should have been

enough on their own to make it abundantly clear that a deception on the scale of the entire “crisis” itself was afoot. Far beyond merely inconvenient blemishes to the narrative, these things very neatly and surely answered the question of whether panic is justified with a resounding “No”. And yet, in an amazing testament to the power Mass Formation psychology, they seemed to have no effect on the delusions of the Faithful.

Clearly facts alone will not suffice. While quality data should form the backdrop of our mental environment



and inform our communication, when trying to speak with people experiencing Mass Hysteria, we can expect only rejection or hostility if we bring it to bear as the driving force, or explicit topic of conversation.

Instead, a more effective approach emphasizes the **example** shown by living a **parallel reality** where Mass Hysteria is not present.

By demonstrating the existence of a life not subject to the deficits and excesses that gave rise to it in the first place, we simply neutralize the need for the solution provided by the Mass Formation. This also leaves the benevolent intention of our efforts untarnished by tones of **accusation** and **rejection**, allowing the operative gestures of **invitation** and **acceptance** to remain in focus. By showcasing a positive, fear free attitude, and acting in the most natural ways permitted by the law, we remind others that they are free to do the same.

While the Covid situation has divided people, only one side is responsible for that division. The people behaving Sanely and the people experiencing Paranoia are not actually two “sides” at all. They are not competing theories, rival products, or quarrelsome siblings. The people experiencing Covid Mass Hysteria are foremost, People. They have suffered adverse conditions in their lives that have made them vulnerable to deceit. By likening people behaving Sanely to active purveyors of an alternative agenda for questioning the Mass Hysteria, the media and its followers are associating the **lack of an imposed condition** with the **presence of an innate quality**. For example, regions and institutions that are choosing not to force people to wear masks are described as having “banned” such mandates. The media has managed to frame allowing normal behavior as a forceful imposition.

We can counter this manipulation by refusing to adopt the tactics that are projected upon us. In the example we set by living a parallel reality, we can demonstrate that:

1. We are not worried
2. We are not holding onto something
3. Anyone is invited to be as we are, if they so choose

In trying to maintain the Hysteria, the media will do anything in its power to demonize normality. People who are not compliant with the Hysteria are described in terms precisely opposite to the characteristics listed above. We are described as “paranoid” conspiracy theorists, we are labeled “hesitant”, and we are depicted as exclusive and hostile “warriors”. Fortunately, our parallel reality will outlive this smear campaign, as it is composed of natural behaviors that are easier to maintain than lies.

Parody and satire have always been effective forms of expression in periods of hostility toward free speech, and a generalized attitude of Ridicule toward the authorities propagating foolish ideas and behaviors provides a good way to draw attention toward the parallel reality, and its advantages in morality and logic.

Although the social and political trends being

deployed under the Covid pretense seem dark and foreboding, we need to remember that by allowing fear to be a motivating factor for us, we are subject to manipulation.

Recall that following the terror attacks of September 11, some were afraid of terrorists and others were afraid of their own governments. That fear was a common denominator in both “sides” limited the discourse, as each side contributed equally to the surveillance and security based solutions that followed – solutions that, as the fruition of legislation that had been penned long before the attacks, were likely the deeper objective all along.

It is only from a position that provides comfort and safety from the onslaught of either a virus or a tyrannical anti-virus response, that a light, comical attitude can be summoned. Thankfully, reality affords us just such a position, as the virus is clearly **not something worthy of our panic**, and the swindlers who are threatening us with it are **not worthy of our attention**.

This is the Stay Sane approach. Beginning with respect for people who have been deceived, understanding what made them vulnerable to the empty promises of the New Society, taking into account their likely behaviors and reactions, and offering them a way out that is at once passive enough to be inviting, and honest enough to be dismissive of the madness in its parallel existence.

Originally published at staysane.substack.com

Lies and Disinformation from CDC, WHO and others

Continued from p.7

not do its job. At the same time, quarantining people is costly – for workers without adequate sick leave, absenteeism due to contact tracing means pay cuts, lost opportunities, and perhaps even an inability to feed families. For children, it means more skipped lessons and missed opportunities for academic and social growth at school, with long-run negative consequences for their future prospects. In the U.K., an official government review determined that its 37 billion pound investment in contact tracing was a waste of resources. The same is undoubtedly true in the United States.

#9 The eradication of COVID-19 is a feasible goal: Throughout the pandemic, from “two weeks to flatten the curve” and onwards, the suppression of the spread of COVID-19 has been an explicit policy goal. Implicitly, public health leaders have made the suppression of COVID-19 spread to near-zero levels the endpoint of the pandemic. However, SARS-CoV-2 has none of the characteristics of a disease that can be eradicated. First, we have no technology to reduce the spread of the disease or meaningfully alter disease dynamics. Lockdowns and social restrictions fail because only people who can afford to work from home without losing their job can comply over long periods. While we have vaccines that can help prevent hospitalization or death resulting from COVID-19 infection, the vaccines wane in efficacy against COVID-19 infection and cannot stop transmission. Second, there are many animal hosts for SARS-CoV-2 and evidence of transmission between mammals and humans. One USDA study in late 2021 found that nearly 80% of white-tailed deer in the U.S. had evidence of COVID-19 antibodies. Dogs, cats, bats, mink, and many other mammals can get COVID-19. So even if the disease were eradicated among humans, zoonotic transmission would guarantee that it would come back. Finally, eradication takes a global commitment from every country – an impossible goal since COVID-19 eradication is far from the most pressing public health problem for many developing countries.

What We Learned From Hating the Unvaccinated

By Susan Dunham

The battlefield is still warm, following Canada’s war on the unvaccinated. The mandates have let up, and both sides stumble back into something that looks like the old normal — except that there is a fresh and present injury done to the people we tried to break. And no one wants to talk about it.

Only weeks ago, it was the admitted goal of our own leaders to make life unlivable for the unvaccinated. And as a deputized collective, we force-multiplied that pain, taking the fight into our families, friendships, and workplaces. Today, we face the hard truth

Unvaccinated How Canada Turned to Hatred and Division

By Réjean Venne

In 2021, Canada and the world welcomed a new revolutionary technology that was supposed to end the COVID-19 pandemic. Unfortunately, the benefits we may have achieved from this medical breakthrough was overshadowed by the harms it created in our society.

Governments and health authorities made many mistakes over the course of the pandemic. The hatred and misunderstandings that our experts and authorities allowed and encouraged in the latter half of 2021 and into 2022, may go down as the worst public health disaster of our lifetime.

Unvaccinated: How Canada Turned to Hatred and Division is a firsthand account of how it all began and what led to our toxic relationship with vaccination statuses.

In Canada, organizations ended up firing hundreds of thousands of people because of their vaccine status. The unvaccinated became the textbook description of a second-class citizen. By the end of 2021, they could no longer enter almost all public places. They could not board a plane or a train, and they couldn’t even leave their own country (they still cannot). In Quebec, this group lost their right to even buy groceries at Canada’s two largest retail chains, Costco, and Walmart. The most draconian laws that were introduced made it illegal for all socialization to occur among unvaccinated people. In Alberta the health authorities would be the first to officially make it illegal for the unvaccinated to socialize whatsoever. On September 16, 2021, an unprecedented law was approved in the province that forbid any unvaccinated person from having even a single visitor in their private residence. As opposed to previous gathering restrictions laws in the country, this one was indefinite and had no end date. Violators could be subject to fines of up to \$100,000. British Columbia would implement a similar law a few months later. Our government was attempting to remove the unvaccinated from society. Unfortunately, society accepted this.

In this new book I analyze the most shocking policies and public health messaging that Canadians faced and attempt to understand what we accomplished by dividing society.

I believe that even though the pandemic and vaccine segregation will come to an end, we need to reflect on what happened and acknowledge our mistakes. By doing so, we can avoid making such mistakes in the future if the COVID hysteria comes back.

This book will try to explain why people hated the unvaccinated so much. By January 2022, daily cases in nearly every province were disproportionately in fully vaccinated individuals, proving that the vaccine was more about reducing the severity of the disease and no longer about reducing spread. Despite this, 27% of Canadians polled around the same time believed that the unvaccinated should be jailed. 37% of Canadians believed that those who chose to remain unvaccinated should be denied health care. 33% believed that their drivers licenses should be revoked. 77% supported the idea of barring the unvaccinated from every public place in Canada. 27% of supposedly compassionate Canadians, stated that they had zero sympathy for an unvaccinated person who fell ill or died.

Ultimately my goal is to try and resolve what allowed our societies to succumb to hatred. My hope is that by understanding our motivations, we can identify them sooner in the future and help avoid the perpetual cycle of humanity falling into dangerous ethical territories.

Learn more or get the book @ rejeanvenne.substack.com/

that none of it was justified — and, in doing that, uncover a precious lesson.

It was a quick slide from righteousness to cruelty, and however much we might blame our leaders for the push, we’re accountable for stepping into the trap despite better judgement.

We knew that waning immunity put vast numbers of the fully vaccinated on par with the shrinking minority of unvaccinated, yet we marked them for special persecution. We said they hadn’t “done the right thing” by turning their bodies over to state care — even though we knew that principled opposition to such a thing is priceless in any circumstance. And we truly let ourselves believe that going into another ineffectual lockdown would be their fault, not the fault of toxic policy.

And so it was by the wilful ignorance of science, civics, and politics that we squeezed the unvaccinated to the degree that we did.

We invented a new rubric for the good citizen and — failing to be one ourselves — took pleasure in scapegoating anyone who didn’t measure up. After months of engineered lockdowns, having someone to blame and to burn simply felt good.

So we cannot hold our heads high, as if believing we had logic, love, or truth on our side while we viciously wished death upon the unvaccinated. The best we can do is sit in the awareness of our rabid inhumanity for having cast so many aside.

Most of us who pilloried the noncompliant did it because it seemed like certain victory, like the unvaccinated would never make it through unbroken. Indeed, the promised new normal looked unbeatable, so we sided with it and made punching bags out of the holdouts.



But betting against them has been a scathing embarrassment for many of us who’ve now learned that the mandates only had the power we gave them. It was not through quiet compliance that we avoided endless domination by pharmaceutical companies and medical checkpoints at every doorway. It was thanks to the people we tried to tear down.

So for those of us not among the hopeless few that pray for the return of mandates, we might find some inner gratitude for the unvaccinated. We took the bait by hating them, but their perseverance bought us the time to see we were wrong.

It seems right now like the mandates will return, but this time there’s hope that more of us will see them for what they are: a rising authoritarianism that has no concern for our wellbeing. If there’s an enemy, it’s the confidence game of state power and the transparent attempt to tear us apart. Heeding that looks like our best shot at redemption.

See more from Susan Dunham or her Medium or IG accounts: <https://susandunham.medium.com> & <https://instagram.com/susandunham>

Literally Only One Way Out: Stop Participating in Fraud And Crimes (ie Using Their Systems)

By Fudo Shin

Greetings folks! For those who have not engaged in the UCC level of things, please know that you can still take action without needing to go down that route. This includes not paying taxes (aka funding crime), and not needing to operate under “their system of court” (this includes the FRAUDULENT charter and bill of rights). Participation ON ANY LEVEL in their system perpetuates the crimes.

The following will be part of our response to the CRA, but this can be used in ANY COURT situation:

- What’s your authority?
- Show the authority — by what authority Hamilton said to them to write a letter to us.
- Show signed a contract of duty of obligation to pay federal tax.
- Show signed the pledge of the allegation of Queen Elizabeth of the right of Canada — Oath of Citizenship.
- International covenant — Queen Elizabeth Ratified — Recognized by the supreme court.
- I have the right to self-determination to function under full legal capacity for the administration of justice according to the international covenants and treaties.
- I have the right to freely dispense of my property and earnings without obligations according to the international covenants and treaties.
- I have the right to vote, NOT the obligation according to the international covenants and treaties.
- I have the right to support the state, but NOT the obligation according to the international covenants and treaties.
- Violation of the right to classify me as a person/tax player according to the international covenants and

treaties.

- Violation of the right to be placed in a position of indebted servitude according to the international covenants and treaties.
- The Supreme Court has made several rulings pertaining to the Constitution Act, which includes international obligations — ie. Covenants and Treaties as they pertain to human rights, and it states that any statute act NOT consistent with that act has no force or effect. Ex- Supreme Court Rulings 1950/Lord Nelson Hotel in Halifax, Nova Scotia.
- Per the constitution, no level of government can convey their power of jurisdiction to another level of government jurisdiction as outlined in sections 91, 92, and 93 of the constitution act. Direct taxation from the federal government is unconstitutional and NOT in your jurisdiction.
- The Dominion and provincial Taxation act 1942 was never ratified in Parliament. It was only a budgetary resolution.
- Further, the Statutes Law revision act 1893 by Queen Victoria removed any involvement of the royal family, and it was erased from anyone in the dominion upon death. — Any request for payment by her Majesty of Queen Elizabeth un right of Canada

See, ‘Refuse’ p. 10



A Reply to “How Do I Leave”?

By Also Anonymous

What a bittersweet experience to have read in the pages of Druthers April 2022, a letter by Anonymous, entitled “How Do I Leave”. On the one hand, I was encouraged to read my own sentiments validated by a fellow traveler in a news source I respect. On the other hand, I was discouraged because it showed me that I was not imagining the precipitous erosion in the fundamental values of the society around me; values being obliterated that I believed to be iron-clad.

Lately, much of my time has been spent contemplating the disturbing changes around me. I am struggling to explain the separation of my values from the larger society in which I find myself.

How did it occur so fast?

Why is it acceptable to have a sitting Deputy PM in this country who also simultaneously sits on the Board of Directors of an odious private entity like the World Economic Forum? More importantly, why is not a single legacy media reporter or outlet interested in pursuing that question? Why don’t more people care about the recent release of a trove of Pfizer and CDC documents that leave no doubt that both knew in 2021 that the Covid shots could cause real harm to those taking it. At the same time as our governments and health “authorities” were adamant that there was no negative impact of Covid “vaccines” on reproduction / pregnancy / breastfeeding, the Pfizer documents clearly show neither Pfizer nor the CDC had any idea what the medium to long term impact on fertility would be.

How did the current Canadian PM know that othering the vaccine skeptical on Quebec television, and rhetorically asking how long their views should be “tolerated”, would cause zero harm to his re-election? Why did most Canadians seem not to object to this most un-Canadian example of fomenting societal division? How was it that most Canadians seemed not to bat an eyelash at the stark hypocrisy of this same PM capering off to the European parliament to rail against tyranny of Russia, fresh from his own experiment in the crushing of lawful dissent at our own parliament?

Where was the Canadian legacy media when a hand-

ful of outspoken EU MPs stood up in the Euro Parliament and lambasted the Canadian PM’s jaw-dropping hypocrisy? How did Ontario pass Bill 100 and its repugnant powers, in the dead of night. When did Canadian democracies so easily turn to such sneaky practices? Why do most Canadians seem to care not a whit? That happens when healthy, robust debate is sacrificed by self-serving politicians who only pay lip service to core democratic values. Why are some of the bravest, brightest doctors sidelined and persecuted by their professional medical associations, because they counter the government and big pharma narrative about an injection?

Why did the Charter of Rights and Freedoms quietly disappear from the lobby of the Federal Agency where I used to work? It used to stand front and centre as a greeting to the public – and as a reminder to every employee who exercised authority. It was a subtle warning that powers wielded came with the strongest of guardrails. It was there for years and then one day it was simply gone; replaced by a blank space where it used to hang.

These examples and others from the last 24 months have fundamentally altered the face of the country I have called home. Having served overseas many times, I was always happy and relieved to return home. I no longer feel that way.

I would like to be able to write something that would encourage the anonymous author of the article that prompted me to write this one. I cannot. I believe that we are in a planned lull. The autumn will bring further variants to scare people, vaccine passports will be replaced by digital IDs; the matrix will descend. All is not lost but the battle will be long and hard. And demanding, very demanding. I am not sure I am up to it. Anonymous, I am looking forward to the day my obligations are done and I too can leave.

My family and I also no longer sense that our fundamental values align with the society or its leadership as they once did. This democracy feels made up. It feels like a 200-year-old experiment that is out of touch with the much longer history of the human experience – one that teems with despots, ruling families, and tyrants as the natural governance structure. I hope I am wrong.

What’s Next, Bubonic Plague?

Continued from p.6

behavioral tendency, could be used as a pretext to foment another outbreak of mindless mass hysteria and impose additional restrictions on society.

That new “reality” has been implemented ... perhaps not as firmly as originally intended, but implemented nonetheless. We are being conditioned to accept this new “reality,” as we were conditioned to accept the War on Terror “reality,” to pointlessly remove our footwear at the airport, place our liquids in travel-size containers, submit to groping by “security staff,” and otherwise live in a state of constant low-level fear of a “terrorist attack,” as we are now being conditioned to wear masks where we are told, submit to mandatory “vaccination,” and live in constant low-level fear of the next purportedly deadly pathogen.

Sadly, most of us will accept this conditioning, and adapt to the “minor inconveniences” that are being imposed on us at every turn. After all, what difference does it really make if we have to wear a little mask on an airplane, or on public transport, or at the doctor’s office? And is it really such a breach of our fundamental rights to freedom of speech, freedom of movement, association, privacy, and basic bodily autonomy if we have to allow governments and global corporations to censor our political opinions, prevent us from traveling, forbid us to protest, and force us to submit to invasive medical

treatments in order to hold a job? We got used to taking off our shoes at the airport and watching the “security staff” fondle our kids, and invading and bombing other countries and murdering whole families with drones, didn’t we? Surely, we’ll get used to this.

Or ... OK, I won’t, and neither will you, probably, but the majority of the masses will. They just demonstrated that pretty clearly, didn’t they? As they demonstrated it during the Global War on Terror. As they demonstrated it during the Cold War. As they demonstrated it ... oh, never mind.

Sorry, I really wanted to end this column on a positive note. All right, here’s one! A little good news, finally! According to the professional fact-checkers at Reuters, it turns out “there is no evidence at all that the World Economic Forum’s annual meeting [which is taking place in Davos right now] was scheduled to coincide with these outbreaks of monkeypox,” and anyone who says there is, or implies there is, or who deviates from or questions the “facts,” or the “Science,” or whatever, is a “monkeypox-denying, conspiracy-theorizing, anti-vax, Putin-loving disinformationist,” and so everything is actually hunky-dory, or it will be as soon as we teach those evil Rooskies a little thermonuclear lesson!

I don’t know about you, but that’s a load off my mind. For a moment there, I thought we were in trouble.

Originally published at consentfactory.com

Refuse To Comply

Continued from p. 9

is FRAUD. — The Supreme Court has ruled that Canada is a Jurisdistic unit, A corporate sole, and I am not part of Canada and that Canada has ratified the international covenant. We have no obligation to it.

- Furthermore, since the sovereign provinces after the statute of Westminster of 1931 Never formed a Federal Union, which they needed to do, any statute or act has no force or effect.
- In order for the Federal Union to be formed, the sovereign states would have to form a constitutional convention, form the union and then pass legislation. This has NEVER HAPPENED.
- Under the international covenant, if a right exists, the statute HAS TO provide a court of appropriate jurisdiction. The current court systems are not the proper jurisdiction for any living, breathing man or woman.

9 International supreme courts, 3 International Tribunals/Grand Juries, have ruled that anything pertaining to COVID Bio-weapon/virus/ isolation is rife with Fraud and misrepresentation. What has transpired on the men and women of Canada is an act of domestic terrorism under and paying taxes would then fall under the criminal code of Canada 467.1 (1), (2) facilitation of organized criminal activity.

The Charter of Rights was never ratified under section 59.

For more information/study please feel free to go to:
tngalliance.com/exit-school-system
tngalliance.com/why-we-should-exit
tngalliance.com/stop-funding-crime
tngalliance.com/birth-certificate-remedy

Veteran marching for Freedom

Continued from p.6

extended nightly by a growing community. Many citizens simply feel as if they cannot do enough, so in the truly Canadian way, they do what they can. These gestures and gifts that are shared, many times on the side of the highway, are bolstering the resolve of Topp to accomplish this task along with proving to him that what he is doing matters. Stories from the public are shared on the daily, and those that are physically able, are whole-heartedly joining in the March whenever and wherever they can, which Topp appreciates and encourages.

Topp has encountered support in every major city across western Canada to date and has been invited to speak to crowds varying in size from 20 to 400! He says “*This has to do with government overreach that has spread into all of our personal lives.*”

As supporter Tyler Gibson from Medicine Hat stated when asked by the Canada Marches on ground crew, “What does it mean for you that James is marching to Ottawa?”

“It means to me, well the world, it’s every choice I make, everything I want to do in my everyday life like go to post-secondary and ref hockey and play hockey, all these things that it’s impacting that. And he has my world right now, and he’s marching it to Ottawa.”

For more information on #CanadaMarches and James Topp along with the full list of reasons for this demonstration you can visit www.canadamarches.ca or find and follow his mission on any one of the multiple Social Media platforms.

Learn more about James and his work @ canadamarches.ca



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
June 2022 circulation: 250,000 copies Canada-wide
Original content DRUTHERS 2022®
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Assistant editor: Christie Lynn
Production Manager & Layout: David Bolton
Big thanks to: Kristy, Tiantian, Mitchell, Bob, Jessica, Tim, Karin, Michelle & so many more...
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
Help Distribute Papers In Your Area: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on.”

- Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS.
Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.
Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.
Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.
Please give what you can: druthers.net/donate
Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza, Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

News From Around The World

By Paul Bennett

Irish MEP criticizes role of NATO and EU Sanctions Against Russia

An Irish Member of the European Parliament (MEP) has strongly condemned the European Union (EU) over their stance on the war in Ukraine. Clare Daly is a member of the Independent 4 Change grouping in the Parliament.

During a parliament debate discussing EU sanctions on Russia, Daly unleashed some truth bombs in her speech by saying: “I would love colleague Dzhambazki to tell me any circumstance in which NATO has played a productive role or delivered peace anywhere. History has taught us that sanctions do not end military conflict, they do not bring peace. They make the people suffer, not the oligarchs, the people. The people of Russia, the people of Europe, and they’re not going to save lives, because the more arms you pump into Ukraine, the more the war will be prolonged, the more Ukrainians will die. And it might sound radical, colleagues but the answer to war is not more war, it’s peace and peace isn’t delivered by the barrel of a gun, it is delivered by diplomacy, and by dialogue.”

The MEP finished by adding: “You can wish away your continent’s history, but we share a continent with Russia. We will sit down with Russia, there will be a negotiated peace and this organization should be promoting it earlier, rather than delaying it and making sure that more Ukrainians die. Your feigning of sympathy rings hollow. It makes me sick, to be honest with you.”

This is not the first time that Clare Daly has stood up against the status-quo. In 2013, Daly made a speech in the Irish Parliament heavily criticizing the insincerity and hypocrisy of then President Barack Obama during his visit to Ireland. In her speech Daly said: “Is this person going for the hypocrite of the century award?”

“Because we have to call things by their right names, and the reality is that by any serious examination, this man is a war criminal. He has just announced his decision to supply arms to the Syrian opposition, including jihadists, which will fuel the destabilization of the region, continue to undermine secularism, and set back conditions for women.”

“This is a man who has facilitated a 200% increase in the use of drones, which have killed thousands of people including hundreds of children.”

In her speech full of home truths, Daly poked fun at the nauseating adoration that surrounded Obama’s visit to Ireland by adding: “There has been unprecedented slobbering over the Obama family to which the nation has been exposed in recent days. It is difficult to decide which is worse. The outpourings of President Obama and his wife or the sycophantic fawning over them by the political establishment and sections of the media.”

As expected from the chattering classes, when one speaks the truth and goes against the current narrative, the tough-minded Irishwoman received heavy criticism from some media outlets and political circles in Ireland regarding her stance on the conflict in Ukraine.

They say hard times create strong men. As evidenced by MEP Clare Daly, hard times create even stronger women!

German MEP Speaks Out Against the Sinister WHO ‘Pandemic’ Treaty

Member of the European Parliament (MEP) Christine Anderson gave a stark warning that the proposed power grab of the WHO ‘Pandemic’ Treaty is ...“A direct attack on the rule of the people by the people.”

In early December of 2021, the World Health Organization (WHO) issued a news release on their official website stating: “In a consensus decision aimed at protecting the world from future infectious diseases crises, the World Health Assembly today agreed to kickstart a global process to draft and negotiate a convention, agreement or other international instrument under the Constitution of the World Health Organization to strengthen pandemic prevention, preparedness and response.”

The World Health Assembly is the decision-making body of the WHO with a strong focus on setting policies within the organization.

“The intergovernmental negotiating body (INB) will hold its first meeting by March 1, 2022 (to agree on ways of working and timelines) and its second by August 1, 2022 (to discuss progress on a working draft). It will also hold public hearings to inform of its deliberations; deliver a progress report to the 76th World Health Assembly in 2023; and submit its outcome for consideration by the 77th World Health Assembly in 2024.”

On May 2 in Strasbourg, Christine Anderson passionately pleaded to lawmakers and EU citizens to denounce the proposals by the WHO by saying: “Mr President, dear colleagues, you might not be aware, but the abolition of democracy by the global elites continues.” On March 3, the EU commission was authorized by the Council to renegotiate the Treaty with the World Health Organization on behalf of the Member States. Under the guise of improving global pandemic responses, the plan is to allow the WHO to seize executive governance powers of the Member States in the case of a pandemic. Granting governance powers to a non-elected body is the exact opposite of democratic recourse and takes away any possibility for the people to hold officials accountable.

“This amounts to no less than disenfranchising the people. We, as elected representatives by the people for the people, must not allow this to happen. I am imploring you: do what you were elected to do. Look into this and protect the rights of the people; the people were elected to act in their best interest.”

“And to all the people of Europe, I would like to say, start acting now, write to your MEPs, let them know you will not tolerate to be stripped of your rights to democratic recourse, let them know that any MEP supporting this or voting for this will never get your vote again.”

The courageous German MEP who continues to be a thorn in the side against the

globalist agenda also stated that the treaty “aims to give the WHO de facto governing power over its member states in the event of a pandemic, without involvement or consultation with national governments or national parliaments.”

She added: “The plan, for anyone who can use [their] thinking skills, is easy to see through. A democratically non-legitimized body, into which the richest of the super-rich buy their way through donations, is to decide in the future whether a pandemic exists, in order to then directly take over governmental power.”

Many people will not have heard of the planned WHO ‘Pandemic’ Treaty highlighted by Christine Anderson. There are many reasons why the non-elected bureaucrats in the WHO and other international agencies involved want to rubber stamp this treaty quickly and under the radar for future generations before the public wakes up to their disingenuous motives.

Indian Supreme Court Rules Against Mandatory Vaccinations

In early May, a landmark Supreme Court judgment ruled that no individual should be forced to get vaccinated in India and also strongly advised that adverse reactions from the jabs be documented into the public sphere.

The Supreme Court ruling was delivered in response to a petition filed by Dr Jacob Puliyl. Dr Puliyl fought against mandatory vaccinations, demanded public disclosure about the vaccine clinical trials and filed a plea for adverse reactions experienced post-vaccination to be documented on an accessible monitoring system.

According to *Indian News Channel NDTV*: “A petition by Jacob Puliyl, a former member of the National Technical Advisory Group on Immunization (NTAGI), had argued that states mandating vaccination for accessing benefits or services is a violation of citizens’ rights, and therefore, unconstitutional. The petition called for clinical trial data of covid vaccines to be made public and alleged that vaccines being administered had not been adequately tested for safety or efficacy and were licensed under emergency use authorisation without trial data being disclosed to the public.”

The Supreme Court ruled that, “Considering bodily autonomy, bodily integrity is protected under Article 21. No one can be forced to get vaccinated.” Article 21 of the Indian Constitution gives protection of life and personal liberty to citizens.

The Court also ruled against vaccine mandates in public places by stating, “As long as covid numbers are low, no restriction should be placed on individuals from accessing public areas and the same should be recalled if such restrictions are in place

Supreme Court Justices LN Rao and BR Gavai strongly requested that data from the covid vaccine trials and for adverse reactions from the jabs to be made public. The court said, “Regarding segregation of vaccine trial data, subject to the privacy of individuals, all trials already conducted and to be subsequently conducted, all data must be made available to the public without further delay.”

Justice Rao added: “Information related to adverse events is crucial to create awareness about vaccines and their efficiency, apart from contributing to scientific studies about the pandemic...There is a pertinent need for collection of data on adverse events and wider participation.”

For a country that gets slammed for its human rights violations by many Western leaders, it is refreshing to see the highest court in India adhere to its constitutional rights by upholding the importance of bodily integrity and personal autonomy.

use authorisation without trial data being disclosed to the public.”

The Supreme Court ruled that, “Considering bodily autonomy, bodily integrity is protected under Article 21. No one can be forced to get vaccinated.” Article 21 of the Indian Constitution gives protection of life and personal liberty to citizens.

The Court also ruled against vaccine mandates in public places by stating, “As long as covid numbers are low, no restriction should be placed on individuals from accessing public areas and the same should be recalled if such restrictions are in place

Supreme Court Justices LN Rao and BR Gavai strongly requested that data from the covid vaccine trials and for adverse reactions from the jabs to be made public. The court said, “Regarding segregation of vaccine trial data, subject to the privacy of individuals, all trials already conducted and to be subsequently conducted, all data must be made available to the public without further delay.”

Justice Rao added: “Information related to adverse events is crucial to create awareness about vaccines and their efficiency, apart from contributing to scientific studies about the pandemic...There is a pertinent need for collection of data on adverse events and wider participation.”

For a country that gets slammed for its human rights violations by many Western leaders, it is refreshing to see the highest court in India adhere to its constitutional rights by upholding the importance of bodily integrity and personal autonomy.

Special lakeside gathering in the Irish Midlands for Thomas Sheridan

In late April, a large fun-loving crowd from all over Ireland descended on the shores of the majestic Lough Lene in Co. Westmeath Ireland for a special talk given by Thomas Sheridan.

Thomas Sheridan is an Author, Artist, Film Maker, and Satirist who has spent a life from Wall Street to the Jungles of South Asia attempting to discover the emerging—often elusive—mythology of modern humans within the present technology-saturated era. Heavily inspired by the work of Carl Jung, Bruno Bettelheim and Joseph Campbell, Thomas Sheridan has developed ‘Monomythic’ tool kits—which are drawn upon all the mythologies of the world.

According to Sheridan: “These legends and stories contain within them a subconscious lexicon of wisdom and symbols that can help all of us overcome the personal and greater challenges we encounter in everyday life. By not giving birth and nurturing these timeless archetypes within us all, modern humans are at a loss when it comes to achieving personal and social Individuation. Or a rounding out of one’s purpose in life. Their dharma. Their Monomyth. Inside all of us reside a wizard, a warrior, a bard, and a knight and by tapping into the power of these archetypes one can have a more fulfilling and creative life. Every challenge is a quest. Every situation, a saga. Every moment, an adventure.”

In 2011, Sheridan came to international recognition with his book *Puzzling People: The Labyrinth of the Psychopath*.

In the early covid months of 2020, few people bravely spoke up against the covid narrative in such an honest and vivid way. People like Dr Vernon Coleman came to be a voice of reason with his now legendary ‘Old Man in the Chair’ videos.

Similarly in Ireland during those uncertain dark days and months of 2020, Sheridan kept many people sane and positive with his sharp-eyed unique take on the ongoing developments of the time. His esoteric and meditative dialogues in his car from his now famous Epic Voyage (The Rona Chronicles) videos became a safe refuge for many like-minded people across the world.

Thomas Sheridan can be found on his website thomassheridan.net



Absurdity Observer

Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- **Billionaires added \$5 trillion to their fortunes during the pandemic**, according to Oxfam, exacerbating economic inequality as the pandemic pushed millions of people around the world into poverty. Oxfam says in a new report that the total wealth of billionaires jumped from \$8.6 trillion in March 2020 to \$13.8 trillion in November 2021, a bigger increase than in the previous 14 years combined. The world's richest 10 men saw their collective wealth more than double, shooting up by \$1.3 billion a day. Currently, the world's 10 richest men own more wealth than the bottom 40% of humanity, or 3.1 billion people.
- OntarioPublicHealth's data(covid-19.ontario.ca/data#casesByVaccinationStatus) shows an alarming trend of the **"triple-vaccinated" getting COVID-19** at rates of **more than double** that of both the **"fully vaccinated"** and the **"not fully vaccinated."** Additionally, the **"fully vaccinated"** are experiencing nearly identical case rates (per 100k with the same vaccination status) compared to the **"not-fully vaccinated."**
- **Tim Hortons Camps are requiring children**, with a 99.9% survival rate from COVID-19, **to get vaccinated** in order to attend their camp.
- While the College of Physicians and Surgeons Ontario (CPSO) suspends the licenses of ethical doctors prescribing safe, approved, Nobel-prize winning off-label medications like ivermectin, a **Toronto doctor**, Dr. Sun, is being praised for **injecting over 500 children between the ages of 6 months to 5 years with the unapproved mRNA shots**. While Health Canada has not approved the shots for kids under 5, he is praised for putting "his neck on the line" for these children according to the *Toronto Star*. The CPSO interviewed him about his actions but dismissed any concerns.
- The Trudeau government has announced they are working with airlines to consider **requiring "digital identity documents" and biometric data** like facial recognition for **pre-aircraft boarding requirements**.
- A factory in Kingston, Ontario (Canada Royal Milk) received \$225 million in taxpayer money and is **sending all of the baby formula it produces to China** while Canadian parents struggle to find it.
- Last year, Bill Gates funded a tabletop exercise at the NTI-Munich Security Conference in which a Monkeypox virus pandemic started on May 15, 2022. In a strange coincidence eerily similar to the infamous "Event 201" simulation (which appeared to predict the Covid-19 pandemic), the Monkeypox simulation appears to be going live.
- On May 20, **Belgium became the first country to introduce 21-day mandatory quarantine** for monkeypox patients. A few days later, the province of **Quebec started vaccinating** for monkeypox.... I mean monkeypox.
- All **16 runners who collapsed and a 32-year-old runner who died** "after suffering from possible cardiac arrest" at a Brooklyn half marathon said they **were vaccinated**. The sixteen vaccinated people who collapsed

during the race were taken to hospital, according to the FDNY. Five suffered serious conditions. They blamed the death on the heat, despite it only being 70°F when the race ended at 9am.

- Unsettling footage has emerged from China where all the **young children entering an elementary school in Shanghai** can be seen in **full head-to-toe Personal Protective Equipment**. Shanghai's 26 million residents have been coping with a Covid-induced lockdown for over five weeks.
- In a bizarre recommendation, **Shanghai University**



is asking its students to appear for swimming tests "online." Shanghai University cited the ongoing COVID-19 lockdown as the reason to conduct the **"virtual swimming test."** The institution asked students who had yet to complete their 50-metre swimming test before graduating to do so from home, leading Chinese students to post videos of themselves diving into their beds wearing swimming goggles and a swim cap.

- **Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla introduced ingestible "microchip pills"** to Davos crowd — a pill with a tiny microchip that sends a wireless signal to relevant authorities when the pharmaceutical has been digested. "Imagine the compliance" he disturbingly said.
- Yet another **study concludes masks to be ineffective**. Study titled "Correlation Between Mask Compliance and COVID-19 Outcomes in Europe" (Spira) finds "...the lack of negative correlation between mask usage and COVID-19 cases and deaths suggest that the widespread use of masks... was not able to reduce COVID-19 transmission. Moreover, the moderate positive correlation between mask usage and deaths in Western Europe also suggests that the universal use of masks may have had harmful unintended consequences."
- After viral immunologist Dr. Byram Bridle exposed vital flaws, there are **calls to retract an article published in the CMAJ that encouraged vaccinated people to stay away from the unvaxxed**. Among many, MANY other issues, a major issue was that the mathematical model

used wrongly assumed (with absolutely no research to support their assumption) the percentage of the population that had naturally acquired immunity was only 20%. When the more correct number, 80%, was plugged into the mathematical formula, the study's results were reversed, showing that being with unvaccinated people actually decreases COVID-19 risk for those who are vaccinated.

- Polio is making a comeback ...but not the natural kind. **Vaccine-derived polio** (a form of polio caused by the polio vaccine) **is on the rise and a new vaccine aims to stop the spread**. The new vaccine, funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, was approved for emergency use by the World Health Organization last year and aims to achieve full approval in the coming weeks.
- **Stunning inaction from Uvalde cops during a school shooting** was caught on camera in a now viral video. The cops reportedly waited outside the school for 45 minutes (while the shooter was active) until a specialist tactical unit arrived. During that time, parents begged the police to enter and police were caught on camera preventing parents of children from entering, even tackling some parents to the ground and handcuffing them.
- The new **Digital Services Act** gives the EU power to fine big tech a percentage of their yearly revenue (up to billions of dollars) in a **crackdown on "hate" speech, disinformation, and harmful content**. It will force companies including Facebook, Google, and Amazon to police themselves harder and make it easier for users to flag content. The new EU rule would also require chat apps (like WhatsApp and Facebook Messenger) to scan private messages for child abuse.

- **The WHO is building a global vaccine passport**. The World Health Organization (WHO) has contracted German-based Deutsche Telekom subsidiary T-Systems to develop a global vaccine passport system, with plans to link every person on the planet to a QR code digital ID.
- FDA limits use of the Johnson & Johnson COVID vaccine to those who are unable to receive other Covid vaccines **due to blood clot risk**.
- Preprint study titled "Inhaled CO2 concentration while wearing face masks" (Martellucci et al.) finds that **CO2 concentration** found in the inhaled air was **above the EUs acceptable** limit 40% of the time while wearing surgical masks and **99% of the time while wearing FFP2 respirators** (i.e. European N95-type-mask).
- **Taiwan abandons its "zero COVID strategy"** after experiencing record numbers of infections, hospitalizations, and deaths, despite Taiwan being 80.3% fully vaccinated, and 65% boosted.
- **COVID vaccines may impair long-term immunity to the virus**. mRNA vaccines against the coronavirus may impair the body's ability to produce a key type of antibody, thus **potentially limiting the immune system's defenses** against mutated strains of the virus, new study (Follmann et al.) suggests.



Your support is vital to the continued success of this paper.
E-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Or visit: druthers.net/donate



Would you like to deliver these papers in your community? Or would you like to help out in other ways? We'd love to hear from you! Please visit us for more info:
druthers.net/volunteer



POSTAL SUBSCRIPTIONS NOW AVAILABLE

You asked for it, and we now deliver. You may choose to cover the cost of s/h and have Druthers delivered right to your door each month.

Visit: druthers.net/subscribe