

DRUTHERS

FREE

Volume 1 Number 10 | September 2021



Important information. Please read, then stuff this in a neighbours mailbox when finished. Find more to explore on our website: www.druthers.net

/n. ...Informal...

one's own way, preference, or choice:

eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

Your Natural And Legal Rights To Refuse Mandatory Vaccination


Here we are, Canada, on the brink of **the end of medical freedom** as we know it. Our country's response to COVID-19 has crossed many lines from the beginning, which was only 18 months ago from the date of this publication. We've been fed a steady diet of "you are not doing this for yourself, but for others" with respect to **mandatory masking, forced business closures, bankruptcies, stay-at-home orders, separation from our family and friends and terrible isolation** in the physical sense from NOT being with people you love, to **isolation from what we consider and need to feel safe**. What are the effects from these measures to our mental health? They have caused an unprecedented mental health crisis in our society — right where we live. Not over there, but here. Our precious youth have suffered the most and their **suicide attempts have tripled** and hospitals are seeing **90% increase in eating disorders**. *This is gut-wrenching.*

Do you still think lockdowns work?

We've complied, been obedient, watched and ran to that goal post as fast as you told us to, each time it moved, so that we could *flatten the curve*. What happened to two weeks? Did you think some of us would forget what the government initially said?

Wait a second. This "public health" emergency isn't about any virus. It's about control. Canadians are so quick to tow the line, to be such good friends and neighbours to everyone. We WILL give you the shirt off our backs because that is our nature. **But at what personal cost?**

We don't know where you are on the scale of the whole narrative. No matter if you are pro-vaccination, against these measures or somewhere in between, to



have a vaccination forced on you is not only illegal, it is unconstitutional, discriminatory and unenforceable.

And THAT is why you are here reading this today because the government has crossed a very personal line with you. Your body — and guess what? It's your choice.

Can anyone, including your employer force you to

put anything into your body that you do not want? Think about this. Your answer should be a resounding NO. In case there is any confusion, the answer IS no.

The government knows this and they are expecting us to continue to do what we've done for the last 18 months, which is to just comply. Because that is what we've done up until now. How can we say this? Because we are here now, with forced mandatory injections into our sacred bodies.

Everyone has a line in the sand which cannot be crossed. Is this yours? If so, this vital information is for you.

So, we rise to the occasion to give you all of the legal information you need to empower yourself to know your rights — **you have legal rights to refuse any medical treatment or procedure**. You need to say **I DO NOT CONSENT**.

Here is a summary of what you will find...

1. God-given Rights versus Legal Rights
2. Covid measures that are Medical Treatments
3. You need to give "INFORMED" CONSENT before undergoing any Medical Treatment or Procedure
4. Without Consent it is Assault
5. Your Patient Rights by Province and Territory — Consent to Treatment
6. Legal Rights to Refuse Medical Treatment
7. Medical Censorship in Canada
8. Your Medical Health is Protected by Privacy Laws
9. Stand in Your Power

Access the full page of resources for free at:
www.standupcanada.solutions/rights-to-refuse-vaxx

Saying No Without Saying No

By Druthers Staff Writer

In the last few weeks Druthers has received an incredible amount of messages from people in a panic, saying their work (or school) is telling them vaccines will soon be mandatory for all staff. At Druthers we are firm believers in freedom of choice and we hope this front page of Druthers proves helpful to anyone who chooses not to inject themselves with a substance that is created and pushed by institutions who, time and time again, have proven themselves to be untrustworthy.

While nothing on this page is intended to act as medical or legal advice, it is intended to give people some things to think about in their quest to say NO to these manipulative, coercive, wrong, illegal, immoral & downright evil tactics to push these vaccines on the people.

Some say the trick is to not decline, not to say no. Rather, it may be beneficial to negotiate and insist you be provided with some details and assurances before you agree. The following details and assurances cannot be given to you so this may result in a stalemate and you get left alone.

Again, this is not legal or medical advice. This is just another possible way for you to stand your ground and maintain your freedom of choice.

Note: Do not quit your job. If anything, let them force your hand by firing you. Do not resign or sign any agreements to quit. You may be eligible for compensation if they do fire you, but you will receive nothing if you quit.

Stay strong. Stay free.

Template letter for your employer

Dear, _____
I write with regard to the matter of potential Covid vaccine and my desire to be fully informed and appraised of ALL facts before going ahead. I wish to inform you that I was vaccinated in my childhood several times and I believe that vaccines that have been properly tested and approved for injection into humans have been beneficial in the past.

Please respect that I have the responsibility to protect the health and well being of myself and my family. I am sure you feel the same way about your family.

Consequently, I need answers to the following questions. I'd be most grateful if you could please provide the following information, in accordance with statutory legal requirements:

1. Please advise the approved legal status of any vaccine, and if it is experimental.
2. Please provide details and assurances that the vaccine has been fully, independently and rigorously tested against control groups and the subsequent outcomes of those tests.
3. Please advise the ENTIRE list of contents of the vaccine I am to receive and if any are toxic to the body.
4. Please fully advise all the adverse reactions associated with this vaccine since its introduction.
5. Please confirm that the vaccine you are advocating is NOT an experimental mRNA gene altering therapy.
6. Please confirm that I will not be under any duress from yourselves as my employers, in compliance with the Nuremberg Code.1
7. Please advise me of the likely risk of fatality, should I be unfortunate to contract Covid 19, and the

likelihood of recovery.

Once I have received the above information in full and I am satisfied that there is NO threat to my health, I will be happy to accept your offer to receive the treatment, but with certain conditions – namely that:

1. You confirm in writing that I will suffer no harm.
2. Following acceptance of this, the offer must be signed by a fully qualified doctor who will take full legal and financial responsibility for any injuries occurring to me, and/or from any interactions by authorized personnel regarding these procedures.
3. Please describe how side effects and injuries will be classified, documented and compensated as a result of these procedures.
4. In the event that I should have to decline the offer of vaccination, please confirm that it will not compromise my position of employment, and that I will not suffer prejudice and discrimination as a result. I would also advise that my inalienable rights are reserved.

Sincerely, _____

Signature: _____

Name (print): _____

Dated: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____

1 Nuremberg Code Link:
https://media.tghn.org/medialibrary/2011/04/BMJ_No_7070_Volume_313_

DRUTHERS is written, funded, promoted & distributed freely by concerned Canadians like you.

Donate: druthers.net/donate

| Subscribe: druthers.net/subscribe

| Volunteer: druthers.net/volunteer

You Can Never Do Everything, But You Can Always Do Something

By Caitlin Johnstone

Whenever I talk about the fact that our world is ruled by psychopaths who have our species on a trajectory toward annihilation via climate collapse or nuclear war, I get people asking the very understandable question, “What can we do to stop this?” By which they generally mean something like, how can we collectively free our minds from the propaganda prison of manipulative dominators and use the power of our numbers to create a healthy world?

And the answer to this question is essentially, do what you can, where you can. You’re only one person, and the machine is so very powerful and so very deeply dug in, so all you can do is one person’s best in each moment. Seize every opportunity you can find to spread awareness and throw sand in the gears of the machine, and rest assured that you’ve done all you can.

By spread awareness I mean making any number of people aware of any amount of truth about what’s going on. Helping them to understand that we’ve been lied to since we were small children about the nature of our government, our society and our world, and that powerful people pour vast amounts of resources into manipulating the way we think, act and vote using the mass media. Make them aware of the misdeeds committed by our governments and institutions, and how power moves and operates nationally and on the world stage. Use any medium you can, from having conversations, to participating in demonstrations, to handing out flyers, to tweeting, to writing, to making podcasts and videos.

The answer to the question “What can we do?” is spread awareness. Spread awareness outwardly in the world in whatever creative ways you can, and spread awareness inwardly to your own internal processes as well.

By throw sand in the gears I mean, make it hard for them. Make it difficult for the machine to operate. When you see them cooking up a new narrative to manufacture consent for more sanctions or military interventionism, highlight the plot holes in that narrative for anyone who’ll listen and let everyone know they’re probably lying. When you see them working to imprison another journalist or whistleblower, let everyone know who the real criminals are. Use truth to interfere in their propaganda operations, wherever they show up.

So the answer to the “What can we do?” question is fairly simple, but it also often leaves people feeling unsatisfied. We all want to save the world, and the idea that we’re just a tiny little person who can only do so much is not satisfying for the ego and does not conform to the expectations that have been constructed for us by the heroic narratives churned out by Hollywood.

But this is not Hollywood, and we are not some character played by a beefy pro wrestler. None of us are going to single-handedly bring down the machine; if it ever comes down it will be because more and more people started opening their eyes to what’s going on and we all brought it down together. Nobody will get to take credit for it. It will not be egoically satisfying. Nobody will get to kick the bad guy into molten lava. Nobody will get the girl.


I always get people yelling at me that I urgently need to write about this or that issue in this or that way, claiming that if I’d just take up their pet cause and wave their personal banner it could spark a grand revolution that changes the world. And it just doesn’t work that way. Neither I nor anyone else is going to be the revolution’s messiah. Only the ego wants to believe that it could be the one to turn this ship around. We’re going to do this together, as equals, or not at all.

“If enough of us throw enough sand, we can cause the whole thing to break down.

Kill public trust in the mass media by exposing their lies at every opportunity, from whatever platform you can gain access to.”

And we really don’t have control over whether or not that will happen, and that’s what makes it so hard to accept. People in general already don’t enjoy feeling like they don’t have control, and when you’re talking about the fate of the entire world the experience can be that much more stressful. People don’t mind fighting and winning or losing, as long as the ultimate outcome is in their own hands.

And it just isn’t. Nobody is in control of this thing. The sooner we can make peace with this reality, with how totally out of our control the world is, the better off we’ll



be. Then we can just fight for the sake of the fight and not torture ourselves with fantasies of saving the world on our own. At peace with the understanding that we can never do everything, but we can always do something.

Chris Hedges has a great line, “I do not fight fascists because I will win. I fight fascists because they are fas-

cists.” This to me is the path to real efficacious action in true equanimity, because you’re throwing everything you’ve got into the fight without letting concern about whether or not your efforts will be successful or put a wobble on your movements.

Another way to put this would be in the words of the ancient *Bhagavad Gita*, “Set thy heart upon thy work, but never on its reward. Work not for a reward; but never cease to do thy work. Do thy work in the peace of Yoga and, free from selfish desires, be not moved in success or in failure.”

This approach to revolution is not satisfying for the ego, but, since the solution to our existential crisis likely sits on the other side of a mass-scale awakening from the egoic consciousness which is the source of all our problems, this is perhaps as it should be.

Originally published at: caitlinjohnstone.substack.com

Bill Gates – A Philanthropist?

By Susan Mutch

In 1998, the US government sued Bill Gates for anti-trust violations. Microsoft was charged with engaging in anti-competitive, exclusionary practices designed to maintain its monopoly in personal computer operating systems.

Soon after, Gates founded the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, reinventing himself as a generous philanthropist. This is reminiscent of about a century back when billionaire John D. Rockefeller hired a PR person to change his image from the ruthless oil monopolizer that he was, to a kindly old man handing out dimes and donating millions to institutes which he newly founded, including the Rockefeller Foundation and the Rockefeller Institute of Medical Research. Control of education and medicine proved to be his ploy, along with increasing his fortune by getting a return from the very entities he founded and funded.

Scripture declares that “there is nothing new under the sun,” and we see this truth verified in Bill Gates patterning himself after Rockefeller’s template. In the words of James Corbett, “Like a true philanthro-capitalist, Gates’ generosity ends up benefiting himself most of all,” as his foundation donates billions to the very same companies that it owns stocks and bonds in. No surprise then, that since he declared the Decade of the Vaccines in 2010, Gates has doubled his wealth.

For the past two decades, the fingerprints of the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation are on every global health initiative. “Every aspect of the current corona virus pandemic involves organizations, groups, and individuals with direct ties to Gates’ funding.” Even the World Health Organization, which has been shaping the response to the “pandemic,” has Gates as its second largest donor. Remember, money buys power, and this man has purchased a frightening amount of it. Some have rightly noted that Gates has changed from a software monopolist to a health monopolist.

Dr. Joseph Mercola stated: “Indeed, over the years, Gates has ended up in a position where he monopolizes or wields disproportionate influence over not only the tech industry, but also global health and vaccines, agriculture and food policy (including biopiracy and fake food), weather modification and other climate technologies, surveillance, education, and media.


“Not surprisingly, he’s tied to online fact checker organizations that strangle free speech, and recently told ‘60 Minutes’ that to combat mistrust in science, we need to find ways to ‘slow down the crazy stuff.’” I dare say that Mr. Gates has found ways over the past few decades to ensure he controls the narrative, financial ties to fact checkers

and mainstream media being but a few.

He donated \$250 million to mainstream media in the first 6 months of 2020 alone. Gates’ money comes with strings attached. He knows how to make people dance.

James Corbett reports: “The Gates-founded Gavi Vaccine Alliance is an open partnership between the Gates Foundation, the World Health Organization, the World Bank and vaccine manufacturers [all corrupt]. Their stated goal includes ‘introducing new vaccines into the routine schedules of national immunization programs and engaging in ‘market shaping efforts’ to ensure ‘healthy markets for vaccines.’” These corrupt partners have indeed achieved their desired “healthy markets.” The goal has never been healthy people.

You can consider him a caring philanthropist if you choose, but for those having researched his doings, they will say he is anything but. He says to “follow the science.” Well, for those of us that have done just that by looking at real data and listening to multitudes of medical and scientific professionals — those he seeks to censor — we have actually found Mr. Gates to be very anti-science. Medical science is being slaughtered with the global covid lockdowns, masking, and toxic vaccines (which are also said



to include sterilization agents). Bill Gates’ pathway is strewn with untold harm to mankind. If you have fallen prey to the current covid propaganda, you will likely strongly disagree. While certainly not alone in his crimes, he is a main player on the public stage — a merchant of the earth.

Do not the extreme conflicts of interest attached to this “philanthro-capitalist” raise any alarm in your mind? His billions have bought control of the institutes of health. His tentacles reach to fact checkers and mainstream media. He owns the narrative, controlling the information you receive! His hand is in the companies making vaccines, by which he is getting richer by the minute! And while his wealth accumulates, hundreds of thousands are suffering or dying from the current DNA-changing covid vaccine! Jackpot of all vaccines!

See, ‘Gates’ p.9

Indian Bar Association sues WHO scientist over Ivermectin

By Justus R. Hope, MD

The Indian Bar Association (IBA) sued WHO Chief Scientist Dr. Soumya Swaminathan on May 25, accusing her in a 71-point brief of causing the deaths of Indian citizens by misleading them about Ivermectin.

Point 56 states, “That your misleading tweet on May 10, 2021, against the use of Ivermectin had the effect of the State of Tamil Nadu withdrawing Ivermectin from the protocol on May 11, 2021, just a day after the Tamil Nadu government had indicated the same for the treatment of COVID-19 patients.”

<https://science.thewire.in/health/tn-revises-protocols-leaves-out-ivermectin-for-covid-patients/>

Advocate Dipali Ojha, lead attorney for the Indian Bar Association, threatened criminal prosecution against Dr. Swaminathan “for each death” caused by her acts of commission and omission. The brief accused Swaminathan of misconduct by using her position as a health authority to further the agenda of special interests to maintain an EUA for the lucrative vaccine industry.

<https://indianbarassociation.in/press-releases/>

Specific charges included the running of a disinformation campaign against Ivermectin and issuing statements in social and mainstream media to wrongfully influence the public against the use of Ivermectin despite the existence of large amounts of clinical data showing its profound effectiveness in both prevention and treatment of COVID-19.

In particular, the Indian Bar brief referenced the peer-reviewed publications and evidence compiled by the ten-member Front Line COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance (FLCCC) group and the 65-member British Ivermectin Recommendation Development (BIRD) panel headed by WHO consultant and meta-analysis expert Dr. Tess Lawrie.

The brief cited US Attorney Ralph C. Lorigo’s hospital cases in New York where court orders were required for dying COVID patients to receive the Ivermectin. In multiple instances of such comatose patients, following the court-ordered Ivermectin, the patients recovered. In addition, the Indian Bar Association cited previous articles published in this forum, *The Desert Review*.

Advocate Ojha accused the WHO and Dr. Swaminathan in Points 60 and 61 as having misled and misguided the Indian people throughout the pandemic from mask wear to exonerating China as to the virus’s origins.

“The world is gradually waking up to your absurd, arbitrary and fallacious approach in presenting concocted facts as ‘scientific approach.’ While the WHO flaunts itself like a ‘know it all,’ it is akin to the vain Emperor in new clothes while the entire world has realized by now, the Emperor has no clothes at all.”

The brief accused the WHO of being complicit in a vast disinformation campaign. Point 61 states, “The FLCCC and the BIRD have shown exemplary courage in building a formidable force to tackle the challenge of disinformation, resistance, and rebuke from pharma lobbies and powerful health interests like WHO, NIH, CDC, and regulators like the US FDA.”

Dr. Swaminathan was called out for her malfeasance in discrediting Ivermectin to preserve the EUA for the vaccine and pharmaceutical industry. Point 52 reads, “It seems you have deliberately opted for deaths of people to achieve your ulterior goals, and this is sufficient grounds for criminal prosecution against you.”

The Indian Bar Association posted an update on their website June 5, 2021, noting that Dr. Swaminathan had deleted her now-infamous tweet. They wrote, “However, deleting the tweet will not save Dr. Soumya Swaminathan and her associates from the criminal prosecution which is to be launched by the citizens with active support from the Indian Bar Association.” <https://indianbarassociation.in/blogs-iba/>

In this update, Advocate Dipali Ojha clarified the nature of the planned action,

“The Indian Bar Association has warned action under section 302 etc. of the Indian Penal Code against Dr. Soumya Swaminathan and others, for murder of each person dying due to obstruction in treatment of COVID-19 patient effectively by Ivermectin. Punishment under section 302 of the Indian Penal Code is death penalty or life imprisonment.”

He further wrote, “After receiving the said notice,

Dr. Soumya Swaminathan went on the back foot and deleted her tweet. This has proved the hollowness of the WHO’s recommendation against Ivermectin for COVID-19. The dishonesty of WHO and the act of Dr. Soumya Swaminathan in deleting her contentious



tweet was witnessed by citizens across the world, as the news got a wide coverage on social media. By deleting the tweet, Dr. Soumya Swaminathan has proved her mala fide intentions.”

The entire world witnessed the effectiveness of Ivermectin against India’s deadly second surge as the locations that adopted it saw their outbreaks quickly

extinguished in stark contrast to those states that did not.

Among the most prominent examples include the Ivermectin areas of Delhi, Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand, and Goa where cases dropped 98%, 97%, 94%, and 86%, respectively. By contrast, Tamil Nadu opted out of Ivermectin. As a result, their cases skyrocketed and rose to the highest in India. Tamil Nadu deaths increased ten-fold. https://www.thedesertreview.com/news/national/ivermectin-obliterates-97-percent-of-delhi-cases/article_6a3be6b2-c31f-11eb-836d-2722d2325a08.html

Tamil Nadu publicly relied upon Dr. Swaminathan’s advice in revoking their initial choice of Ivermectin the day after she recommended against it in her May 10 tweet on social media. As a direct result, Tamil Nadu experienced a surge in COVID death and sickness that continues to this day.

The Indian Bar Association dared to initiate a landmark court case against a Public Health Authority (PHA) to call out corruption and to save lives. As the courts in the United States proved to be the life-saving force to ensure a patient’s right to receive Ivermectin, a court in India is now doing the same.

Criminal prosecution of public health officials will send a powerful signal that disinformation campaigns resulting in death carry consequences. Perhaps this pathway will ultimately break the disinformation and censorship stranglehold

around repurposed drug use to save lives. Maybe we will witness other countries following India’s example, both in medicine and in law.

Signed,
Justus R. Hope, MD

Originally published in TheDesertReview.com

Doctors Open Letter To The Unvaccinated

By Denis Rancourt PhD

OLA researcher Dr. Denis Rancourt and several fellow Canadian academics penned an open letter to support those who have decided not to accept the COVID-19 vaccine.

The group emphasizes the voluntary nature of this medical treatment as well as the need for informed consent and individual risk-benefit assessment. They reject the pressure exerted by public health officials, the news and social media, and fellow citizens.

Control over our bodily integrity may well be the ultimate frontier of the fight to protect civil liberties. Read the letter below:

You are not alone! As of July 28, 2021, 29% of Canadians have not received a COVID-19 vaccine, and an additional 14% have received one shot. In the US and in the European Union, less than half the population is fully vaccinated, and even in Israel, the “world’s lab” according to Pfizer, one third of people remain completely unvaccinated. Politicians and the media have taken a uniform view, scapegoating the unvaccinated for the troubles that have ensued after eighteen months of fear mongering and lockdowns. It’s time to set the record straight.

It is entirely reasonable and legitimate to say ‘no’ to insufficiently tested vaccines for which there is no reliable science. You have a right to assert guardianship of your body and to refuse medical treatments if you see fit. You are right to say ‘no’ to a violation of your dignity, your integrity and your bodily autonomy. It is your body, and you have the right to choose. You are right to fight for your children against their mass vaccination in school.

You are right to question whether free and informed consent is at all possible under present circumstances. Long-term effects are unknown. Transgenerational effects are unknown. Vaccine-induced deregulation of natural immunity is unknown. Potential harm is unknown as the adverse event reporting is delayed, incomplete and inconsistent between jurisdictions.

You are being targeted by mainstream media, government social engineering campaigns, unjust rules and policies, collaborating employers, and the social-media mob. You are being told that you are now the problem and that the world cannot get back to normal unless you get vaccinated. You are being

viciously scapegoated by propaganda and pressured by others around you. Remember; there is nothing wrong with you.

You are inaccurately accused of being a factory for new SARS-CoV-2 variants, when in fact, according to leading scientists, your natural immune system generates immunity to multiple components of the virus. This will promote your protection against a vast range of viral variants and abrogates further spread to anyone else.

You are justified in demanding independent peer-reviewed studies, not funded by multinational pharmaceutical companies. All the peer-reviewed studies of short-term safety and short-term efficacy have been funded, organized, coordinated, and supported by these for-profit corporations; and none of the study data have been made public or available to researchers who don’t work for these companies.

You are right to question the preliminary vaccine trial results. The claimed high values of relative efficacy rely on small numbers of tenuously determined “infections.” The studies were also not blind, where people giving the injections admittedly knew or could deduce whether they were injecting the experimental vaccine or the placebo. This is not acceptable scientific methodology for vaccine trials.

You are correct in your calls for a diversity of scientific opinions. Like in nature, we need a polyculture of information and its interpretations. And we don’t have that right now. Choosing not to take the vaccine is holding space for reason, transparency and accountability to emerge. You are right to ask, ‘What comes next when we give away authority over our own bodies?’

Do not be intimidated. You are showing resilience, integrity and grit. You are coming together in your communities, making plans to help one another and standing for scientific accountability and free speech, which are required for society to thrive. We are among many who stand with you.

Angela Durante, PhD
Donald Welsh, PhD
Denis Rancourt, PhD
PhDJohn Zwaagstra, PhD
Claus Rinner, PhD
Jan Vrbik, PhD
Laurent Leduc, PhD
Valentina Capurri, PhD”

Covid’s Willing Executioners

By Todd Hayen

A few weeks ago, three friends on Facebook told me that they wished for my death. One of them I didn’t really know. He freaked out when I calmly suggested there were viable treatment options for those with Covid. He responded: “Stay out of my life! I hope you get Covid and die!” The other two friends were people I knew in college 50 years ago, one was my freshman year roommate, and the other guy introduced me to my first wife. He suggested that I prove Darwin’s theory and perish from the virus, the other just basically said I deserved what was coming as a selfish unvaccinated science-denier.

Covid’s willing executioners.

I know Facebook is certainly not the ideal place for reasonable discourse. As a psychologist, however, I do find it an interesting sample of a certain extreme way of thinking and behaving. I also believe my experience with “friends” wishing for my demise is not unusual amongst those on that side of the fence in this debate. At least not unusual in thought. As we all know, Facebook is the place of no inhibition. However, that said, I do believe it is a grave concern that human beings can be manipulated into this dark manner of thinking and feeling.

The operative word here is “manipulate.” I do have faith that for the most part, human beings have evolved from a cave mentality when confronting “other” in the culture. In cave days our psychology was programmed for survival, and it didn’t take much coercion to view members from another tribe that wandered into territory that was not their own to be immediately met with suspicion and fear. Today, many thousands of years later, I think it takes a bit of manipulation to view “other” as fatally dangerous — but not much coercion, so it seems.

In this regard it appears we have lost any scintilla of common sense. How can a government (or more likely an even higher organization of authority) convince the masses so easily that the unvaccinated are the mortal enemy with not a whiff of science in the argument? This clearly is a case of the emperor’s new clothes, but it isn’t going to take only a small innocent child’s exaltation that the emperor is naked to make everyone see what is true.

Why is this?

I’m afraid it is human nature — at least a small part of human nature — that these days needs a little coercion to come out in full bloom. For me, as a psychologist, it is more proof that there is an organized agenda, a “psyop” if you will, driving this whole debacle. When humans are put into this sort of psychological environment, their reaction is very predictable.

A friend of mine, Dr. Mark McDonald, who is a prominent psychiatrist and has a very prestigious practice in California, told me this in a recent conversation:

“Pandemic of the unvaccinated” has emerged as an expression of propaganda meant to provoke anger toward those who exercise medical choice in deferring or refusing the experimental vaccine. It is meant to isolate, shame, and humiliate anyone who will not agree to surrender medical autonomy to the state. It intentionally divides Americans against one another while simultaneously distracting attention from the medical reality of poor vaccine efficacy and vaccine harm. The expression is devoid of scientific meaning but full of coercive psychological power. It must be challenged.

Again, we see this idea of “coercive psychological power” come up in Dr. McDonald’s comment. Propaganda and the manipulation of the masses has been a key tenet in totalitarian regimes. Pitting person against person is of utmost importance to having control over the masses. Even in Orwell’s dystopian novel, *1984*, the opposition to the state was created by the state to keep the masses distracted, or so it is implied.

I am reminded of the Stanford Prison Experiment as well as Milgram’s obedience studies at Yale. Milgram set up an experiment where a subject, someone who did not know the parameters of the experiment, was in control of administering an electric shock to the “learner” if he/she failed to answer certain questions correctly. The “learner” was also “in” on the experiment and in fact received no shocks. The authority figure egging on the subject was also, of course, “in” on the experiment and played the role of authority that the subject had to succumb to. “Just following orders” is the phrase that immediately comes to mind. The current phenomenon

regarding the persecution of the unvaccinated has some correlation with this experiment in that people, when pressured by the “mainstream authority or narrative,” tend to have little or no connection with a natural empathy toward the group identified as “other” (the unvac-



inated). The subjects in Milgram’s experiment consistently detached from the learner’s pain and tended to dissociate from them as fellow humans. They ceased to see them as in the same tribe as themselves; they were quickly reclassified as “other.”

The difference in the results of this experiment and the current situation is that “other” (the “learner”) posed no threat to the subject in Milgram’s experiment. He or she was just disobedient to authority, i.e., was not doing correctly what authority demanded him/her to do. Now,

Straight Answers: Too Much To Ask For?

By Theo Caldwell

People want to feel good about choices they have made, particularly if they are irreversible. This helps explain a mistake that I and others made in assuming people would, in the main, migrate from accepting Covid madness to embracing freedom.

That hypothesis did not account for the effect of these shots.

Many, if not most, of the people in my orbit who have allowed themselves to be injected have done so for reasons other than health. Perhaps their employer forced them to do it, or they wish to travel, or they want to be sure they can attend some Bay City Rollers reunion concert.

Maybe it was just good, old-fashioned peer pressure.

Whatever the reason, these are not unintelligent or illiterate people (in most cases) and so they have been aware for some time that, outside of a specific and identifiable cohort, Covid is not a mortal threat. This has been the finding of official sources the world over, yet for some reason people still get huffy when you bring it up.

To wit, unless you are elderly, obese, or have some serious underlying condition, Covid almost certainly will not kill you. Moreover, you may have had it and recovered without ever knowing it.

Why, then, inject one’s self with some brand spanking new concoction, the long-term effects of which are unknowable, and for which the short-term effects – again, according to official sources that will nonetheless ruin a pleasant evening to cite – are not good?

And why demand that everyone on the planet do as you have done?

in our present situation, the vaccinated are convinced by authority that the unvaccinated are in fact a threat (as well as not being obedient to the parental agenda). Authority is doing this through any means available to them, and it makes no difference if these means have even the slightest scientific truth to them (they say of course it is all scientific, but with further scrutiny, it certainly is not).

We saw this early on with the mask compliance. Those wearing masks were identified as one particular tribe: the “good” tribe who possessed community values. Those not wearing masks, or complaining about them, were the other tribe: the bad tribe, who were selfish, stupid, and science deniers. (to paraphrase Orwell’s sheep in *Animal Farm*: “four legs good (mask), two legs bad (no masks)”) Now this effort of segregation and persecution has moved to the vaccinated and the unvaccinated. It is not the “right” science that will disintegrate this tribal conflict: it is a psycho-social issue, not a pragmatic objective one.

In a recent Charles Eisenstein article “Mob Morality and the Unvaxxed” he states:

Daniel Goldhagen’s book, *Hitler’s Willing Executioners* (from which this article’s title is derived) presents a thesis that the persecution of the Jews in Hitler’s Germany was not only an exercise of obedience to Hitler’s ideology but was the result of a long history of German antisemitism. This very well could be true, but in my opinion this historic antisemitism was only the hook which made it easier for Hitler to hang his ideology. Today’s willing executioners do not need a history of racial discrimination to hang


See, ‘Is COVID’ p.5

Are they Eve, making damn sure Adam eats that apple, too? It is an honest question, difficult to answer, as the personality and perspective shift in the recently injected makes them unreliable interlocutors.

For example, a neighbor of mine was, until recently, all over social media, complaining about this or that demented Covid dance we have all been obliged to perform. You know the sort of thing – you can no longer just go to the bakery; you have to call ahead, wait in the parking lot, be signaled from the doorway, run and

touch the hood of the assistant manager’s Thunderbird with your elbow, hop on one foot and recite the Getty’sburg Address before you can receive your marble rye, which is fired at you from a stadium t-shirt cannon.

She was funny, despite her exasperation, and clear-eyed in seeing this madness for



what it is. Then she got the shot.

Her stated reason for doing so had nothing to do with health. She is planning a vacation and did not want to have to quarantine on arrival. That was it. And it was enough to make her a different person.

Suddenly, every bit of insanity was for our safety, and anyone who did not follow her example was an “anti-vaxxer.” It was as though her former self did not exist and, most alarmingly, she in no way acknowledged the person she once was or the beliefs she previously held.

I am struggling with judging people who have taken these injections, not because I know what the effects will be (I do not, and neither do you, whoever you are) but because of their reasons for doing so and the way they are acting towards everyone else.

It is not a question of intelligence or knowledge, since the former is relative and the latter is impossible

See, ‘Lies’ p.5

The World Wide Rally For Freedom Returns

By WorldWideDemonstration.com

On Saturday the of September 18, 2021, the People of the International Freedom Movement in more than 40 countries around the world will be taking to the streets in defense of their fundamental Human Rights.

On July 24, our third World Wide Rally For Freedom was our most successful to date, and we are moving from strength to strength to build our movement into a beacon of Freedom for the World.

In its fourth installment, our movement is resolved upon shared objectives, shared principles, and shared solution actions that we invite all people in every nation

Lies, Lies and Damned Lies

Continued from p.4

at this early stage. But the about-faces and insults coming from the newly jabbed are worrisome.

Many people are smarter than I am. You may well be one of them. But the people I see on my Facebook feed calling everyone else idiots are...not.

This is one of those instances, like finance and the Middle East, where those with an interest want you to believe it is oh-so-complicated and you could never, ever understand it. But in reality, when reduced to its essence and stripped of jargon, the concept is rather simple. The questions become bite-sized, even binary.

For example, as alluded to above, how can people be certain about the long-term effects of these injections when they have only been available for a matter of months? Again, it is an honest question and, if at all possible, I would like an answer more substantive than, “Shut up, bigot!”

How about politicians and media figures who, when one person was President of the United States, loudly proclaimed they would never accept these rushed, suspect injections but, now that someone else has the job, insist you must accept the jab or you can never go to Arby’s again? (Deal, by the way)

As the kids say, what’s up with that?

You don’t need a lab coat to see the discrepancy here, nor does it make you a Q-Anon believer to wonder aloud. Anyone with a calendar and a television can spot the inconsistencies.

The clanging illogic and head-patting maternalism were evident when the Centers for Disease Control announced in December 2020 that the injections were perfectly safe for pregnant women. How could they possibly know that? With one administration on its way out and one more friendly to the bureaucracy on the way in, had that changed things?

Those of us asking these questions are not trying to be contentious for its own sake. This is a discussion we feel is worth having but the Hyde-turn and invective coming from the other side make that increasingly difficult.

We have seen this before. Covid is the new “climate change.” Questioning conventional wisdom is met with accusations, insults, and demands to know where you matriculated.

But despite the coming and going of every drop-dead doomsday foretold by Al Gore, Prince Charles, and the Mayan Calendar, we remain well above sea-level.

Is Covid Bring Out The Worst In People?

Continued from p.4

their hatred of anti-vaxxers, but instead rely on a simple identification of “other” (unvaccinated) and a hatred for those who “don’t care about me, or those that I love.” The key common denominator it seems is the common concept of caring for others before caring for yourself, which, ironically, is clearly not the true psychological operator in this situation. These people seem to care far more for themselves and their own safety (and their opinion) than they do for the rights and freedoms (and safety) of others — take the jab to save me, never mind you might die or get sick in the process.

So actually what we are experiencing is “normal” — normal from the perspective that human beings have the innate capability of being all sorts of ugly things, particularly when gathered in crowds: tribes. If coerced and manipulated properly, as has happened countless times in world history, they can become unconscious, irrational, nonempathic, monsters. I will close with a paragraph from another excellent article by author CJ Hopkins (which can be found in its entirety on Off-Guardian’s website) “The Approaching Storm”.

to stand behind for the future of our society.

We will not allow our inalienable Human Rights to be re-packaged as Human Privileges, to be conditioned upon compliance with Authoritarianism.

Throughout history, Humanity has been tried and tested in difficult times, but in the end, Freedom Always Wins.

We stand for the rights of all people to push back against infringements against their Freedom by joining us.

One Day. Everyone Together. We Will All Be There.

We are taking a stand for 5 important Freedoms:

- Freedom of Speech.
- Freedom of Movement.

Set questions aside for the moment, then, and let us agree, if we can, that there is much we simply do not know. Perhaps that explains some of the panic and hostility.

My body-snatched neighbor was, in her telling, something of a party girl in her youth. She laughs off concerns about the injections by saying she’s taken a lot of naughty things in her life. But, as she surely knows, this is not like getting a dicey batch of edibles.

For good or ill, this is for life. In her case, as in many others, she accepted a bargain in exchange for getting her freedoms back. But with variants, boosters, and shifting goalposts the world over, and no talk of normalcy returning, the deal seems to be off.

She is left, then, with this mystery concoction within her for the rest of her days. That may be a good thing. It may give her telepathic superpowers, for all we know. But it may also end badly, and for what? Ostensibly, for protection from a disease which, in her case, was as likely to cause death as a freak folding-couch accident (no one ever thinks it will happen to them).

All of this is before we get to the more recent questions; the ones that will most definitely get you kicked out of a family gathering (although that may not be a problem soon; want to bet that those of us who celebrate Christmas will have to do so with the curtains closed again this year?).

What about these “fully vaxxed” hospitalizations in the UK, Israel, Iceland, and elsewhere? Are these shots weakening natural immunity, especially in people previously infected with Covid? When the injected encounter the virus naturally, will it hit them harder, as happened in animal testing? What role have the injections played in facilitating these lettered variants? And what about these side effects that, despite Zuckerberg’s best efforts, are being reported in large numbers? (I lead an active lifestyle, so blindness, amputation, heart failure and death would put a crimp in my weekend plans.)

I do not have these answers, nor do I pretend to. I am asking in good faith.

Before I accept an irreversible treatment, on pain of having to order my groceries online, I don’t think it’s too much to ask for straight answers.

Theo Caldwell just wanted to be left alone. Contact him at theo@theocaldwell.com

My (Eisenstein’s) point is that those in the scientific and medical community who dissent from the demonization of the unvaxxed contend not only with opposing scientific views, but with ancient, powerful psychosocial forces. They can debate the science all they want, but they are up against something much bigger.

Thus, their plan is to make our lives as miserable as possible, to segregate us, stigmatize us, demonize us, bully, and harass us, and pressure us to conform at every turn.

They are not going to put us on the trains to the camps. GloboCap is not the Nazis. They need to maintain the simulation of democracy.

So, they need to transform us into an underclass of “anti-social conspiracy theorists,” “anti-vaxxer disinformationists,” “white-supremacist election-result deniers,” “potentially violent domestic extremists,” and whatever other epithets they come up with, so that we can be painted as dangerously unhinged freaks and cast out of society in a way that makes it appear that we have cast out ourselves.

Hunker down.

- Freedom of Choice.
 - Freedom of Assembly.
 - Freedom of Health.
- We are advocating for 5 important Objectives:
- End Lockdowns
 - End Mask Mandates
 - Prevent Vaccine Mandates and Coercion
 - Return to Standard International and Domestic

Travel

- End all State of Emergency Declarations

We are building our Movement upon 5 important

Principles:

- Decentralization
 - Collaboration
 - Community
 - Stability
 - Peace
- We are advocating for 5 Solutions:
- Non-Compliance
 - Empowerment
 - Freedom Preserving Institutions
 - Freedom Culture
 - Shift The Narrative

We call on all opponents of the Coronavirus Restriction policies of their own government to participate in this World-Wide Protest.

We maintain that it is better to be right, than to be in the majority.

We can overcome widespread fear of social disapproval by building new social relationships with people that we align with and by helping people to find new communities to be a part of.

We will welcome those that are ready to change their mind, and to admit that they were wrong about their fear-induced support of tyrannical policies.

We will push back against widespread propaganda, by producing our own media, and advancing our own narrative, instead of succumbing to the one being pushed on us.

We will not accept the rampant politicization of Science and Medicine, and we will return these institutions to being neutral sources of information for the benefit of society, over government and corporate interests.

We see the processes being used to strip the Freedoms of people gradually and incrementally, by leaving restrictions in place after each round of lockdowns, and we will continue to push back against them.

We see that the Coronavirus is rapidly becoming a new Religion of Fear and is being invoked to justify tyranny using the same repressive mechanisms of state control over dress, language, and social interactions that have been used historically in past theocratic regimes.

We will push back against this Religion of Fear with our message of Freedom.

On Saturday September 18, 2021, we will all once again demonstrate for our Fundamental Human Rights, for our Constitutionally Protected Freedoms, and for the end of the excessive Coronavirus restriction measures.

Now we will stand up. One Day. Everyone Together

Website: WorldWideDemonstration.com

Mail: info@worldwidedemonstration.com

Telegram: t.me/worldwidedemonstration

Websites Of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us. You can visit druthers.net to get in touch.

vaccinechoicecanada.com	swprs.org
freedomrising.info	action4canada.com
worlddoctorsalliance.com	americasfrontlinedoctors.com
thehighwire.com	constitutionalconventions.ca
corbettreport.com	stopworldcontrol.com
pressfortruth.ca	evidencenotfear.com
weareallessential.ca	activistpost.com
stand4thee.com	freedomforumcanada.com
awarriorcalls.com	thefreedompages.ca
takeactioncanada.ca	standupcanada.solutions
brightlightnews.com	lauralynn.tv
gbdeclaration.org	libertycoalitioncanada.com
jccf.ca	awakecanada.org

Climate Lockdown

The Next Op Removes Our Last Rights

By Guy Crittenden

If you've enjoyed the COVID lockdowns, you're going to just love climate change.

In November 1997 the *Globe & Mail* newspaper published an article I wrote for the weekend Focus & Books section just a few weeks before nations signed the famous Kyoto Accord on climate change, in which they committed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to avert the disaster of a heating planet. In the face of a supposed consensus of scientists, my article - entitled "Science Fiction" - featured interviews with dissident climatologists who claimed science was being manipulated to serve a political agenda, and that emissions from human activity had only a negligible effect on global temperatures compared to the Sun - the major driver of climate on our planet.

The article was (unsurprisingly) hugely controversial. Then, as now, it's a heresy to question that fossil fuel emissions are appreciably warming the Earth. At the time, I was in the first decade of a 25-year career editing magazines for Canada's environmental service industry; the article offended my own advertisers as well as many *Globe* readers. However, I've always had a damn-the-torpedoes attitude where telling the truth is concerned, so I continued to question the global warming thesis in my writings and in a series of public debates.

I confess my interest waned over time; it's exhausting going against a well-funded establishment narrative, especially when sufficient funds are available to buy the acquiescence of almost every governmental, academic and media institution. Eventually people not only come to believe the lie - they forget it's even possible to think outside it. Contrarian evidence is dismissed out of hand, and skeptics are shunned (even persecuted). (I'm reminded of Galileo inviting church elders to peer through his telescope to see for themselves there are more planets in the heavens than appear in the Bible. They rejected the invitation, stating, "Your telescope is an instrument of the devil!")

Time was on my side, though, and I was vindicated when the Earth failed to heat up as predicted (though you wouldn't know that from most media reports). I never claimed that human activity (excessive extraction, pollution, etc.) wasn't degrading environmental systems, even crashing them, but rather that the focus on warming would misallocate precious resources. Indeed, we've been exhorted to prepare for a hotter world at precisely the moment our Sun is stepping into a Grand Solar Minimum and global temperatures are cooling rapidly. The dire effects on food and supply chains have been well documented by independent researchers David DuByne (Adapt 2030 on YouTube) and Christian Westbrook (Ice Age Farmer on BitChute).

Like the COVID-19 operation, the global warming narrative was incubated by Rockefeller-funded think tanks, in this case a 1970s meeting of the Club of Rome in which global warming appears on a list of schemes that would be useful in gaining control of the global population and establishing a one-world government (the oft-cited New World Order). In time, the airwaves were filled with claims that fossil fuel emissions were contributing to a runaway greenhouse effect that would scorch the planet, collapse civilization, and possibly extinguish most life. By the time my article appeared, the public was being told 1997 was the planet's 19th consecutive year of above-normal temperatures and the hottest year on record. The media pointed to melting sea ice, disappearing glaciers, and a sea-level rise of 15 centimetres over the past century as evidence that global warming was more than a theory.

In the ensuing decades, the globalists used the United Nations and various institutions to successfully establish global warming as a fact in the public

lic imagination, though they rebranded it to the more nebulous "climate change" when the Earth failed to heat up. This allows almost any weather development - warming here, cooling there - to be shoe-horned into the overarching thesis. But climate change didn't bring quick enough change for the globalists, so they fabricated a biological crisis built around a weaponized coronavirus to carry the ball over the finish line.

As with climate change, fear is crucial in re-engi-



David DuByne of Adapt 2030, who argues persuasively we're heading into a Grand Solar Minimum and a period of climate cooling.

neering human behaviour and restructuring the social and economic order. Fear over the virus not only allowed the billionaire class to put humanity into lockdown (a prison term), but coerced hundreds of millions of people to accept injections whose ingredients are unknown. Evidence has emerged that the injections themselves contain a bioweapon that

Ireland and Canada, the public has been acclimatized to restrictions on their movement. Somehow "two weeks to flatten the curve" has evolved to the where the police stop and question a person if they travel further than, say, five kilometres from their home. They may be fined, and even imprisoned, if they can't produce zee paperverk. We must prove we're an "essential worker" (whatever that means) on state-sanctioned business. It's incredible, isn't it, that in less than two years, people have come to accept the kind of restrictions people endured in the Soviet bloc.

And there's the obedience training. The peer pressure to wear a mask in public settings, social distance and stand on circles in stores evolved into the virtue signalling of social media posts from people sporting a bandage on their shoulder. They rolled up their sleeve and "did their part." And what was originally discussed as a voluntary inoculation program transformed rapidly into a coercive and mandatory injection scheme about which Canada's Prime Minister Justin Trudeau was confident enough to say anyone refusing the jab will face "consequences."

How ominous is that?

So here's what's coming. The "stay home, save lives" campaign was conditioning for climate lockdowns. You might have noticed "traffic calming" features in your city - those buffers that discourage cars from entering residential neighbourhoods. This is all ramping up to the time you'll be discouraged from leaving the city, which will be a "smart city" in which your every movement will be surveilled even as your biometric data is monitored. (And you thought 5G was for faster download speeds.) This will be for your own good, and the good of the planet. You'll be invited, then coerced, to install an app on your phone or other "smart" device (God but I'm sick of "smart" this and "smart" that...) onto which your monthly universal basic income (or "UBI") will be deposited. (The globalists used lockdowns to destroy the middle class and create dependency.)

Currency will soon be replaced by an AI-controlled surveillance token, so the government can apportion how you spend your credits, deduct your taxes automatically, and fine you for unapproved behaviour. (This is already in place in China.)

The day will come when you won't be allowed to leave your 300 square foot apartment (where strangers will hold meetings when you're out and about) without the right code on your smart phone (and eventually the Mark of the Beast, er, I mean, chip in your hand). You'll be punished, for example, for having traveled too far outside your approved zone because (naturally) you'll have used up too many climate energy credits. You won't have a job, but you'll generate credits for investors by performing assigned activities, like watching a propaganda film or playing a video game. You'll be mined like crypto, your biometric data fed into the cloud. The economy will be little more than a company store in a company town, and you'll live on insect protein and lab-grown meat. (It's about the climate, remember?) If this sounds outlandish, search online for the Microsoft patent containing the notable "060606" in the title. You'll have the same rights as a citizen of Gaza, and eventually you'll be replaced by robots when the Klaus Schwab's of the world decide they don't need us anymore. They plan to extend their lives for hundreds of years, you see, with nanobot technology, until the tech gets to where they're able to upload their consciousness into a silicon body and head to the stars, and live eternally.

Or some such warped dystopian fantasy.

Guy Crittenden is a freelance writer and author of the award-winning book *The Year of Drinking Magic: Twelve Ceremonies with the Vine of Souls* (Apocryphile Press, San Francisco). Follow Guy at [HipGnosis.co](#)




Illustration from the Microsoft patent for a technology that allows corporations to mine individuals like crypto.

changes people's blood over time, leading to clots, strokes, miscarriages, sterilization and death. At the time of this writing it's unclear to what extent the globalists plan to exterminate a large portion of the human population (through drugs and food shortages) versus genetically modify them in a transhumanist scheme, while enslaving survivors in a scientific dictatorship. (And, yes, I'm aware this last sentence sounds like the plot of a God-awful science fiction film.)

Most people are unaware of the World Economic Forum's explicitly-stated plan to bring all of this about, though many have heard the WEF commercial for a communitarian future in which "you will own nothing, and you will be happy." It's therefore important that people learn the COVID operation, slated to end in 2024, will transition into a climate change response that will fulfill the NWO one-world government plan. It's already well under way.

Via endless lockdowns in places like Australia,

The Persecution Of Physicians And Scientists In Canada

By Dr. Francis Christian FRCSEd, FRCSC

There is a medical and societal tyranny now afoot in Canada. It is based on a single-minded, totalitarian and anti-science opposition to anything other than what constitutes the “official narrative” with regard to the COVID-19 pandemic; and in turn, a well-coordinated campaign to smear, de-platform, persecute and attack anyone who questions the official propaganda that has been constantly emanating from government sanctioned sources over the last several months.

This Orwellian tyranny has now extended to include the persecution of Canadian scientists and physicians who speak up or speak out on purely scientific matters. If the utterance or statement from the scientist or physician is perceived by the authorities to stray from the official COVID-19 narrative, there are concerted, coordinated moves by health authorities, government public health agencies and licensing bodies, with a compliant media in tow, to persecute and “discipline” the scientist or physician for simply expressing a scientific opinion or pointing out ethical obligations for physicians, such as the obligation of *informed consent*.

This is all disturbingly similar to the suppression of scientists and academia in the Soviet Union. The totalitarian, communist regime under the Bolsheviks and Stalin, sought to control all scientific opinion and viciously opposed anything perceived to be associated with what were termed “dangerous” ideas from the “bourgeois” and “capitalist” West.

For example, the Soviet and Stalinist authorities allowed only a certain view of genetics perpetrated by Russian scientist TD Lysenko (1898-1976) to be discussed, taught or practiced in Soviet academies and societies. Anybody perceived to be straying from this official view would be singled out for sham investigations by official tribunals, followed by punishment and discipline; the latter would include deportation to the gulags, long imprisonment or worse — www.mun.ca/biology/scarr/Lysenkoism_abstract.html

Soon, all of Soviet science came under the weight of this scientific tyranny, including medicine (the only exception was nuclear physics and space). The systematic persecution of scientists and physicians under this warped and narrow view of science came to be known as “Lysenkism,” with the term coming to mean a similar persecution and suppression of science and scientists occurring anywhere in the world.

Canada is now the grand and unfortunate stage upon which a new brand of medical and societal tyranny, a new “Lysenkism,” is manifesting itself in multiple areas of daily life for ordinary citizens — and in the lives of its physicians and scientists.

Here is my story ...

I am a surgeon who lives and practices in Saskatoon, in the province of Saskatchewan in Western Canada. I have been a surgeon for over twenty years and as Clinical Professor of Surgery and faculty member of the University of Saskatchewan, I started the Quality and Patient Safety unit in the department of surgery and co-founded the department’s surgical humanities program. Together with the computer science department, we designed the “Morbidity and Mortality App” (M&M App), which is now being used throughout Saskatchewan. I also founded the *Journal of The Surgical Humanities*, of which I was the Editor: medicine.usask.ca/department/clinical/surgery-pages/surgicalhumanities.php

I am a poet and a surgeon - and my book of poems was released to the world a few weeks ago: www.harp-publishing.ca/product/to-a-nurse-friend-weeping/

On the June 23 of this year, I was called into a WebEx meeting with the Dean of our College of Medicine, the Chief Medical Officer (CMO) and the interim head, department of surgery.


I was fired from my roles as director of our surgical humanities program and my other role as director of quality and patient safety; and in addition, all my academic roles were suspended, including the teaching

and training of residents and medical students.

Why did this happen? The firing and suspension were the result of my advocating for *informed consent* in the roll out of the m-RNA vaccine to our kids in Saskatchewan — and this was supposed to be my crime.

Canada, along with the United States and Israel, is one of the few countries which are aggressively pushing and propagating the COVID-19 m-RNA vaccines for our children.

In Saskatchewan, even our middle and high schools are being used to administer this vaccine — with several reports of teachers and other people of influence strenuously advertising the vaccine without any kind of informed consent and in some cases, coercing students to receive this new, experimental injection. All this whilst the risks of the vaccine, any benefits from



Dr. Francis Christian FRCSEd, FRCSC

the vaccine and any alternatives to the vaccine — i.e. the basics of

informed consent — are *not* being explained in any meaningful way to parents or children. Children as young as 13 can even opt to receive the vaccine, *without* their parents’ consent or knowledge.

In a press conference on 17 June this year, I had called for full, *informed consent* to be put in place for our parents and children, *before* the m-RNA vaccine is administered to our kids. This should not be a controversial position for anyone — obtaining informed consent is a bedrock of medical ethics and has been a foundational requirement for physicians for several hundred years. It also forms the basis for several regulatory codes, including the Nuremberg Code. The latter was written as the result of the horrific medical experiments that were conducted by Nazi Germany on concentration camp prisoners without their consent. The civilized nations of the world came together after the second world war to say “never again.” Never again would any kind of medical intervention or treatment be administered to anybody, without full and voluntary *informed consent*.

A news release by the Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms (JCCF.ca) explains my firing and suspension in more detail. There is also a recording of my firing available through a link in this press release:

www.jccf.ca/surgeon-fired-by-college-of-medicine-for-voicing-safety-concerns-about-covid-shots-for-children/

I am not alone in this persecution. Several physicians and scientists have been de-platformed, smeared and harassed in Canada, simply for expressing a scientific opinion or pointing out the need for full, informed consent — this includes world-renowned, Canadian virologist and vaccinologist, Byram Bridle. In the U.K. Dr. Sam White is facing a similar hounding by the authorities because of his expressed desire to practice evidence-based and scientific medicine. There have been several other all too familiar cases, all over the Western world, of attempts at authoritarian suppression of free speech, the free debate of scientific ideas and the scientific method itself.

The same totalitarian darkness which descended upon the Soviet Union is now rapidly descending upon Canada — and alas, upon many countries, rich

and poor all over the world. In its global scale, this new tyranny is unprecedented and seeks to control every aspect of every human being’s life.

One of the methods by which this new dictatorship seeks to exert and consolidate control is by co-opting the press and media to be arms of government. This is also a well known, Soviet tactic — and bears a close, disturbing

resemblance to the total control of propaganda and the press by the Soviet authorities.

In November 1917, Vladimir Lenin gave a speech, promulgating what was called, the “*Council of People’s Commissar’s Decree on The Press*.” According to this decree, the “Council of People’s Commissars” could proscribe temporarily or permanently any publication or news item. In the years 2020-21, a similar “council” has emerged only too plainly in sight — it consists of big

pharma, big tech (YouTube, Twitter, Facebook ...), big government and public health officials working in concert to suppress, divert and censor free speech, destroy the free flow of information and de-platform the free debate of scientific ideas so germane to the progress of science.

Clause (3) of Lenin’s 1917 ordinance on the press stated that, “*The present ordinance is of a temporary nature and will be repealed by a special decree as soon as normal conditions of social life set in.*” But as we all now know, the control of the press was maintained with an iron grip by the authorities behind the iron curtain until the collapse of the Soviet Union in the 1990s — i.e. for a period of more than 70 years.

In the present era, governments and authorities keep telling us that draconian and soul-sapping measures of control including lockdowns and control of the press would last only for a few weeks; then a few months ...

and now we are several months into this charade, with governments

in most countries continuing various measures to keep emergency powers in place. The Canadian provinces for example, have continued to renew their declarations of emergency rule, from early 2020 onwards.

But totalitarian regimes have a defined life-span and the human quest for freedom and liberty can never be suppressed forever. Even in the darkest days of the Soviet tyranny, small and makeshift, secret publications sprang up in multiple, major cities. Under great peril to themselves and working feverishly to deadlines, in small, poorly lit and heated rooms and most often with no more than a few, manual typewriters for equipment, this *alternate* press was printing booklets and pamphlets, even small books. Excerpts from the Bible, the great works of Russian literature — including Boris Pasternak’s immortal and lyrical novel, “Dr. Zhivago” — and other banned material were typed/printed, then smuggled into the street and alleyways of Russian towns and cities. This alternate, underground press has since received the now celebrated name, “*samizdat*” (Russian for clandestine press).

The newspaper/s carrying this article are the new “*samizdat*,” — and I salute their heroic stand against tyranny.

When the cruel, totalitarian Soviet empire collapsed in the 1990s, the Bible, the great works of literature, all that the Soviet dictatorship tried to suppress, de-platform and destroy emerged intact and victorious from the dust of the empire’s demise.

And when the people wake up from the present COVID-19 tyranny and science and truth are restored once more to their rightful places in our academies, I would wish for my physician and scientist colleagues to be able to say that they were on the right side of history even during these present, dark times — and that through all the persecution and against all odds, they continued to defend freedom and liberty, individual rights, evidence based medicine, free scientific debate and all that free societies or societies yearning to be free have valued and cherished.

The approaching totalitarian and dystopian tyranny and darkness has almost completely enveloped us. The window of opportunity continues to narrow — and I appeal to all physicians and scientists of conscience to join us in this consequential fight against tyranny.

Deaths Of Despair

By Jason Kowalyszyn

While we typically see an increase in mental health issues, substance abuse, suicide attempts and suicide ideation with adults during periods of increased unemployment and economic recession, we have never before seen suicidality among our children rise at such alarming rates as we have during the lockdowns of 2020/21 in Canada and around the world.

While the lives of adults and parents are important, it is our goal to bring much-needed attention to rising rates of attempted and successful child suicide during the lockdowns. These are a foreseeable consequence of the long and harsh lockdowns, and therefore are attributable to lockdown measures, which resulted in lengthy absences from school, isolation, reduced (or eliminated) social and sporting activity, loss of routines and many other ordinary behaviours and experiences that children require for adequate social development and mental health.

One might argue that our provincial governments' initial decision to go into lockdown based on early projection models was justified. However, even if one also ignores the fact that lockdowns were unprecedented and never recommended before 2020, in 2019 the World Health Organization (WHO) itself ruled out lockdowns under any circumstances, it is certainly no longer a valid argument as we now have over 17 months of outcome data that clearly show lockdowns resulted in widespread harm to mental health and educational outcomes for children without delivering unambiguous benefits in any arena. Our governments and Public Health officials have failed in their responsibility to our children to balance risk and reward in their decisions during this pandemic.

We have known for at least a year that statistically, children are virtually unaffected by COVID-19, except in rare circumstances in which they suffer from a compromised immune system or related health challenges. We have also known that children are not so-called "super-spreaders." As a matter of fact, all the available data that we are aware of shows that no child under the age of 10 has ever passed COVID-19 on to an adult in any country around the world. According to Dr. Paul E Alexander, MSc PhD, McMaster University and GUIDE Research Methods Group, children are at very low risk of acquiring COVID-19 infection and are at an even lower risk of spreading it amongst themselves in a school setting. The data clearly show that children are not spreading this to adults or teachers and while the risk of serious illness to children from COVID-19 is extremely rare, it has been determined that the few children who are acquiring COVID-19 are predominantly catching the disease in their home and from their parents. Statistically the safest place for children including university-aged adolescents, is in school.

This may be the first time in recorded history that adults at a societal level are not protecting children, but are instead expecting children to suffer in order to protect adults from an infectious disease that is statistically no worse than annual influenza, except for the very old and infirm.

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the survival rates for people who get infected with COVID-19 by age and without treatment are:

- 0-19 99.997%
- 20-49 99.98%
- 50-69 99.5%
- 70+ 94.6%

For the 0-19 age group, a survival rate of 99.997% implies a death rate of 0.003%, i.e., 3 children out of every 100,000 infected are expected to die. Note that of the 335 children (under 18) who had died with or of COVID-19 in the US (as of mid-July 2021), 100% of them had a pre-existing medical condition, such as cancer or diabetes. In contrast, the CDC estimates that 434 children died of influenza in the 2019-2020 season, and only 43% of the reported cases involved children with known underlying conditions. In other words, only children with serious underlying medical conditions are at risk of death from COVID-19, and even then that risk is lower than that of annual influenza, which we have all tolerated every single year without any special, let alone world-changing, precautions. And yet our governments enacted lockdowns

resulting in severe disruptions to our children's lives in response to a virus that is statistically less dangerous to them than regular influenza. Furthermore, following early reports that suggested asymptomatic transmission (the main means by which children might be vectors of the disease) was not a contributing factor, we now have compelling recent data indicating that asymptomatic transmission of COVID-19 is a negligible factor, if it exists at all.

Canadian statistics tell a similar story. According to Statistics Canada, in the 5 years before COVID-19 an average of 25 children aged 0-19 died of influenza each year.



In the 15 months since the pandemic was declared, 11 children died with COVID, all of whom had comorbidities. These statistics demonstrate clearly that Influenza is approximately twice as deadly to Canadian children as COVID-19. Only children with serious underlying medical conditions are at risk of death from COVID-19, and even then, that risk is **lower** than that of endemic seasonal influenza, which we have all tolerated every single year without any special, let alone world-changing, precautions.

We have presented the data that indicate that, whatever the seriousness of COVID-19 as a general public health issue, it is most certainly not an issue for children, nor does allowing children to get on with their busy, interactive lives at school and elsewhere exacerbate the spread of COVID-19. And yet we have allowed our governments to pretend otherwise. Let us turn now to the damage that this has wrought.

Here is the evidence. McMaster Children's Hospital, in Hamilton Ontario, reported an almost 300% increase in serious youth suicide attempts with 26 youth admissions between October 2020 and January 2021 compared to only 7 in the same time period the previous year prior to lockdown. You might be tempted to think, "These are small numbers, compared to the children at risk from COVID-19." That would be an incorrect assertion. The facts are that in the entire province of Ontario, a total of 5 deaths with/from COVID-19 have been reported for all people under age 20. More than 5 times as many children attempted suicide in a single Ontario Region in a 4-month period of lockdowns as died from COVID-19 in the entire Province of Ontario since the start of the pandemic.

McMaster Children's Hospital also reported that youth substance abuse has doubled and child eating disorders have increased 90% during lockdown compared to the previous year.

According to a peer-reviewed study by the American Academy of Pediatrics, a Texas hospital reported that child suicide attempt was 2.34 times higher in March 2020 compared to March from the previous year. It also reported that suicide ideation was 1.6 times higher during that same month. The average age of children in the study was only 14 years old. The study suggests that children, unlike adults, do not need to suffer privation for long periods before they resort to suicide ideation. They are more immediately sensitive to the uncertainty, isolation, lack of structure, family tension, and limited access to doctors, teachers, and coaches. Just the fear of these losses, the mere thought that they could be away from their friends

has an immediate negative impact on children's mental health, thus one can only imagine how children feel and respond when these losses become real.

Pima, the second-largest county in the state of Arizona, reported a 67% increase in child suicide during the 2020 lockdown.

Suicide is the second leading cause of death among people aged 10-24, after accidents. It therefore makes no sense of any kind to put extra stress factors that would exacerbate suicide ideation to this demographic.

We have included data from the United States not only to supplement our limited Canadian data, but also to indicate that this problem is widespread throughout North America where lockdowns of this nature have been carried out. It also sheds light on the various governments' ignorance or concealment of information about child suicide rates during the lockdown. It should be obvious that removing children from their friends, coaches, routine, sports, and ability to pursue their life's ambitions and dreams will have an immediate and strongly detrimental effect on their mental health; despite this, the Canadian government has implicitly encouraged our health care institutions and Statistics Canada to downplay the severity of youth suicide during the pandemic. Preliminary suicide statistics from the province of Alberta suggest that suicides of people under the age of 19 have only increased by one death during the 2020 lockdown compared to 2019. It is difficult to square this claim with the report from McMaster Children's Hospital, in which named officials appear to back up the alarming increases that are quoted. Even reports from the early days of the COVID-19 outbreak indicated that the Canada Suicide Prevention Service was significantly busier: by April 2020, they reported 50% more interactions compared to the previous year, as well as a 62% increase in "active rescues" (i.e., responses to suicides in progress)

Further evidence of a simmering crisis comes from reports that Kids Help Phone received over 4 million calls in 2020, more than double the 1.9 million calls received in 2019.

The CDC has reported that online learning causes "more risk" to emotional and other mental health issues, they surveyed adolescents between 18 and 24 and revealed that 75% admitted to mental health problems or drug use during the lockdown. In that same survey one in four young adults admitted to suicide ideation in the last 30 days. The CDC also reported a 24% increase in emergency room mental health visits with children between the ages of 5 and 11.

Boston Children's Hospital reported a 47% increase in children hospitalized for suicide attempts and suicide ideation between July and October 2020 compared to the same period in 2019.

In January 2021, the UK Centre for Mental Health announced that 500,000 children in England under the age of 18 with no previous issues would need mental health care due to the lockdown.

British infirmaries reported that in the years preceding the lockdown, they would treat between one and two children per week for mental health and suicide attempts, but that during the lockdown they were treating an average of two per day, with some of the children as young as eight years old.

In Ontario the Youth Services Bureau of Ontario reported a 40% increase in mental health centre contacts in January 2021.

In France, it has been reported that children, some as young as eight years old, have deliberately run into traffic, overdosed on pills and self-harmed during the lockdown. And in Japan, the education ministry reported that child and adolescent suicides hit record levels.

It is crucial to emphasize that many countries around the world did not take their children out of school. Sweden, for example had zero child deaths due to Covid, despite refusing to subject its people to lockdown, and never taking children out of school. Except for a two-week extension to the school winter break. Taiwan only participated in a brief eight week lockdown where they did not take their children out of school, never used a vaccine and reopened without re-infection.

Many countries have not engaged in lockdowns for more than a few weeks, and therefore, their children have

Suffer Not The Little Children

Continued from p.8

received a complete education. The major disruptions to two years of education have placed our children at a significant disadvantage, and it may take years for our children to catch up with children from other countries, who might even compete successfully against ours for admission to our own universities!

Rahul Sapra, President of Ontario Federation of University Faculty Associations, stated: “As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and the scramble to move courses online, we have lost that human connection and educational quality has suffered.” His organization found that 62% of students and 76% of faculty believe online education has a negative impact on education quality. This should not be surprising, given that our teachers and schools were not prepared, qualified or trained to switch to a completely different teaching methodology that failed to acknowledge millions of students’ requirements for face-to-face learning, structure and support.

The use of hybrid and remote (online) learning fails to consider that over 15% of Ontario’s children live in poverty, and that 25% of Ontario’s high school students need special education assistance. It also fails to incorporate a variety of methods that face-to-face classrooms apply. Results from hybrid and remote learning for high school from several parts of Canada suggest a drop in academic performance. School boards looking at students’ mid-term marks found a failure rate of 16%, almost double the rate of the previous year. Thus education is currently not only failing our children’s mental health, but is also robbing them of a proper education, which will have extensive negative impacts on virtually every aspect of their future and the future of our society as a whole.

To present another counter-example: Florida reopened without restrictions and children returned to in-person learning in schools. In contrast, 33 states that were not fully open to in-person learning had more COVID-19 cases among children than Florida. It remains unclear why our governments did not at least consider this example publicly, let alone follow it when it became clear that their approach was both successful and sustainable.

Keep in mind that in May 2020 when the CDC reported the first 68,998 deaths attributed to COVID-19, only 12 (0.017%) were of children under the age of 17, and only one had no underlying medical conditions.

The CDC estimated that 600 children died of influenza in the 2017/2018 season, and according to the *Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)*, children are at greater risk of critical illness from influenza than from COVID-19.

The Journal of Medical Virology states unequivocally that there is no evidence of child-to-child or child-

to-adult transmission of COVID-19. “... while children become infected by SARS-CoV-2, they do not appear to transmit infection to others.”

A biological mechanism has been proposed to explain why children are at virtually no risk of serious infection or death or even spreading COVID-19. They have comparatively few angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptors, to which the SARS-CoV-2 attaches itself in adults’ epithelial lining cells. Because children and adolescents have so few ACE2 receptors, they are at the lowest risk of severe outcomes from COVID-19.

To recap: we have presented significant amounts of evidence demonstrating that lockdowns and withdrawal from in-person schooling are destroying our children’s education and mental health, resulting in increased sui-



cide attempts and suicide ideation. These effects were wholly predictable: ordinary parents, caregivers and teachers as well as clinical psychologists and other health-care professionals from institutions including Sick Kids Hospital warned that forcing social distancing and masks on children would cause major, potentially irreparable psychological damage. School closures have caused our children to suffer substantial educational deficits and a loss in future income potential which could lead to a future of despair.

The problems are already evident in our children, and

the longer we allow ill-informed and disengaged politicians to make scientifically indefensible decisions that are harming our children, the longer it will take to repair. Recovery and counselling will be more costly; social services, welfare, healthcare and other remedies will be heavily impacted with demand for these services likely to increase to unprecedented levels.

Beyond all reasonable proportion, especially after gaining a few months of experience and perspective on COVID-19, our governments and media have subjected young children to severe restrictions that ignore their impressionability and their almost complete reliance on the adults around them to support their growth and development in a responsible fashion. As parents, teachers and caregivers we have been complicit, forcing children to adhere to unproven nonpharmaceutical interventions (social distancing, masking, cohorting) and shutting down schools, social and sporting activities, and confining them to their homes. We have allowed our children to learn to regard one another with suspicion, as if they are walking virus factories capable of infecting and killing each other, as opposed to fellow human beings that can share love, joie de vivre, comfort and support. In short, our children have collectively suffered willful, wrong-headed neglect and abuse, and it’s time to put it to an end.

The lockdowns, school closures and related measures are the core drivers of children’s suffering and the drastic increases in child suicidality and depression over the past 18 months. The sooner we get children back in school, in sports, around their friends and into their routines, the sooner they can start to heal and to get back on track with proper social, mental and physical development. We still have a lot of work to do as a society to recover not only from COVID-19 but also from the often counter-productive interventions of our governments. For our children, it starts with them getting back in school full-time, in-person as they were before 2020.

We have focused this discussion on children’s suffering. However, we must also note that some children have been unfortunate to lose parents and other caregivers to suicide and other deaths of despair, as well as to COVID-19 and other health problems. Every one of these losses is tragic; however the deaths of despair are especially poignant and painful because in many cases key contributing factors were man-made, and therefore were preventable.

In Conclusion

Using publicly available sources, we have made the case that the numerous restrictions on children have been irrelevant and ineffectual in minimizing the spread of COVID-19. Worse, these restrictions have severely damaged children’s overall health and development, to the point of causing serious psychological stress and suicidality in many more children than have been at serious risk of severe outcomes from COVID-19.

On the basis of these publicly reported data (no doubt there are countless personal stories that would attest to the reality of these figures), we appeal to our fellow parents, teachers, school board trustees, politicians, health professionals, law-enforcement and other civic personnel – to our fellow citizens – to support us in securing the reinstatement of our children’s full-time, in-person education. For various, arguably complex reasons our government officials have let us down; it is time to relieve them of some of the unmanageable pressures of governing by making it unambiguously clear what we want. The data we have presented here are clear: we can start by ensuring that government officials receive and read this material, and respond to it appropriately.

We also appeal to you to start to take responsibility for our children’s future, and for accelerating their healing and recovery from the trauma of unnecessary lockdowns and isolation. Put plainly, there is no argument that justifies school closures and now that you have read this evidence package, you are aware of the destruction that school closures and lockdowns have caused and continue to cause to our children.

We believe that you have a duty to act to ensure that changes will be made immediately in our government and educational system to guarantee the full re-opening of our children’s schools and the full restoration – with no prospect of reversal – of all of the social interactions and opportunities that have been available to them pre-lockdown.

Thank you for reading this deaths of despair: Child Suicide Evidence Package. We have already lost the lives and the vitality of too many children. Please share this material and play your part — support a friend, speak to a child, call a teacher, challenge a politician, do whatever you can — so that we don’t lose any more children. If that’s not enough to convince you: we are on the side of truth and love, join us. It takes courage, and it may require sacrifice, but it will enlarge you and reward you.

Need more info? Like to Help? Contact us at <https://takeactioncanada.ca/>

Gates: Friend Or Foe?

Continued from p.2

Quite the well-thought-out investment portfolio in the last few decades, is it not? Yes — but not for a philanthropist!

Why is Mr. Gates, who is not a doctor, taking a lead in the corona virus response and saying the entire world needs to be vaccinated before life can get back to normal? He also owns shares in companies making the vaccines he wants us all to take.

Why trust a man with an experimental vaccine when he says it could lower the world’s population by 10 to 15 percent? This is especially concerning considering his belief that the growing human population is the greatest threat faced by humanity. In 2009, he co hosted a meeting with David Rockefeller, Sr. on reducing the population. And let us not forget Gate’s family history in eugenics.

Why should one trust Gates with any vaccine with the history of harm caused by his vaccines in Africa, India, and other countries, along with his cold-hearted unconcern in denying any support or compensation to the families of the hundreds of thousands he injured or killed?

Why has he purchased 269,000 acres of farmland in the USA? He is not a farmer. In fact, he wants us to eat fake lab food along with his genetically modified and pesticide-laden, cancer-causing crops.

Why is he involved in weather modification and climate technologies (why is anyone)? Does this tie in with him trying to convince the world there is a climate crisis when there is not? Perhaps when the corona fear-mongering subsidies, he and his cohorts-in-crime hope to accelerate the fear of climate change in order to continue controlling those already propaganda-trained not to think critically?

Why is he involved in surveillance and tracking technologies? Who is he after and why?

Given that we are currently going through a crisis

which has been predicted by Bill Gates, which is triggering a response from the world health organizations that the Bill & Melinda Gates’ Foundation has bank-rolled, and driving us toward a vaccination and biometric ID solution which Bill Gates has been working on for years, one would do well to learn more about the man and the ideologies driving him, for he is greatly and seriously impacting our lives.

If what the “conspiracy theorists” say about the globalist agenda is true, then Mr. Gates appears to be in lockstep with them. He is not alone in his ideologies.

An increasing number of people are awakening to the fact that something is gravely amiss in the world. It defies reason. It is unprecedented in the history of man, and the powers that be are working furiously to censor any dissenting voices. Good-bye free speech. And unless the global populace arises and resists, it will be good-bye to free people.

This is no time to blindly follow the propaganda of government agencies and mainstream media that are intent on all getting an untested “vaccine” while censoring the fact that it is killing people by the thousands. They are also silencing the multitudes of medical professionals who are urgently warning against it. Do not be coerced. Refuse it at all costs.

Bill Gates, a philanthropist? A person with a love for mankind and a heart to help them? Follow the trail, and you just may discover a demon in philanthropist guise, a veritable threat to humanity.

<https://www.corbette-report.com/gates/>
<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/gates-vaccines-phenomenal-profit-makers>
<https://www.thelastamericanvagabond.com/bill-gates-web-dark-money-influence/>
<https://linkedin.com/pulse/bill-gates-philanthropist-eugenicist-curtis-k-chochoulous>

By Richard G

Get more info @ CanadianFrontlineNurses.ca

By: Paul Bennett

- Shawn Jason

Absurdity Observer

A List Of Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- **Barbie is now a COVID vaccine developer.** The Barbie, part of a new series that honors frontline workers, is a miniature of real-life Oxford-AstraZeneca COVID vaccine co-developer.
- **Forbes has revealed 660 new billionaires in 2021.** The list now includes a record-breaking 2,755 billionaires throughout the world.
- **A disturbing new video game, called StayHomer, encourages killing lockdown protestors in cold blood.** The goal of the “game” is to kill those demonstrating against the lockdowns, so they learn to “stay home,” as the name implies.
- **A new study by Johns Hopkins University found, of the 2020 NIH annual budget, 5.7% was spent on COVID-19 research -with most spending allocated to vaccines. Only 1.8% of the \$42 billion NIH budget last year was spent on clinical research for COVID.** Not a single grant was dedicated to studying masking children. Nor were there grants for studies on Ivermectin for COVID. Aging, however, was funded 2.2 times more than COVID-19 research.
- **A preprint paper by the prestigious Oxford University Clinical Research Group, published Aug. 10 in *The Lancet*, found that, when infected with the dominant Delta variant, Astrazeneca vaccinated individuals carry 251 times the load of COVID-19 viruses in their nostrils compared to the unvaccinated.**
- **Dr. Fauci finally admitted, “When you look at the level of virus in the nasopharynx of a vaccinated person who has a breakthrough infection with Delta, it is exactly the same as the level of virus in an unvaccinated person who is infected.”**
- **About mask mandates in schools on Fox News, former CDC Director (2018-2021) Dr. Redfield admitted “I haven’t been able to review data that supports that recommendation.”** He came to that conclusion after noting the lack of research on this topic since **no human randomized-controlled studies were conducted to determine if masks “protect others” from COVID.**
- **State Departments of Education released their data on the past school year, revealing US public schools served 14% less kindergarten students in the 2020/2021 school year.**
- **Public Health England’s data reveals that between 39-43% of “hospitalized COVID-19 patients” were admitted to the hospital for “a diagnosis unrelated to Covid” this summer, and only tested positive later after routine swabbing done after admission.**
- **Those who oppose COVID measures are now deemed by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security as potential terror threats.** Those who make claims of election fraud and those who commemorate the 20th anniversary of the Sept. 11 attacks also made the list. Additionally, the National Guard is coincidentally looking for people to work as **Internment/Resettlement Specialists**, people who can be trained to confine people in a military setting.
- **The Australian Military has been deployed across Sydney to help enforce the COVID-19 lockdown among civilians.** So far, 32% of the eligible Australian population has received both doses.

- **Real-time surveillance and censorship is in the works as Biden and the Democratic National Committee are working to engage fact-checkers more aggressively and with SMS carriers to dispel “misinformation” about vaccines sent over text messages and social media.**
- **US President Biden announced in a speech that all federal employees and contractors are now required to be fully vaccinated against COVID-19 (or face regular testing and other restrictions).** He also encouraged states and localities to pay \$100 to each newly vaccinated American.
- **The Canadian government announced a sweeping new mandate requiring all federal government employees and those working in federally regulated industries, including air and train travel, to be vaccinated against COVID-19.**
- **US Capitol Police issued a bulletin stating members of Congress will “be subject to an arrest” if they show up and stay at work without a mask on.**
- **In addition to scanning drivers’ faces to make sure they’re wearing masks, Uber is now asking drivers to rate if their passengers are wearing a mask as well.** Riders reported by drivers for not wearing a mask would be required to take a selfie with the Uber app — with a face covering on — before they’re able to take another ride
- **The previously well-respected Canadian magazine *Maclean’s* published an article where they incite violence against anti-vaxxers.** The article, titled “*Vaccinations: No more carrot—bring out the stick,*” calls anti-vaxxers “toddlers” and “assholes” and “fools.” Concluding: “It is not fair that reasonable and responsible Canadians

- should pay the price for their deadly selfishness. No more soothing tones and patience. Time for the stick.”
- **The World Health Organization is working with an artificial intelligence tool called EARS to mine online blogs, articles and forums, analyzing “misinformation” and countering the concerns people express such as “vaccine hesitancy” potentially through bot farms.**
- **The CDC’s strategy for controlling what people see about COVID on social media sites, including Facebook, was funded by \$3.5 million in free ads, according to a Judicial Watch expose.**
- **Despite overwhelming evidence of its effectiveness, the FDA reiterated a warning against the use of ivermectin as a COVID-19 treatment and warned it can result in death, (despite not one reported case of an Ivermectin overdose death during COVID-19 worldwide).**
- **Burial costs will now be covered by Ottawa for Canadians killed by federally approved vaccines.**
- **Bank of Canada’s inflation rate surpasses the 2% maximum-per-year-threshold and reaches 3.7%.**
- **US health officials are reviewing reports that Moderna’s COVID-19 vaccine may be linked to a higher risk of a rare heart condition in younger adults than previously thought.** Canadian data indicates there may be a 2.5 times higher incidence of myocarditis in those who get Moderna compared with the Pfizer vaccine, and men below the age of 30 are particularly at risk.
- **Opioid overdoses were 29% higher in 2020** than before the pandemic, according to a study in JAMA Psychiatry on Feb. 3.
- **FDA ignores new UK study by the Francis Crick Institute in the *Lancet* showing 2-dose Pfizer vaccine recipients have 6 times lower amounts of neutralizing antibodies to the dominant variant —the Delta (when compared to the original strain).** Neutralizing antibodies are also much lower for older people and people who were vaccinated more than 6 months ago. The public is now being told to prepare for an autumn booster program. Meanwhile, the study *Antibody Evolution after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccination* concluded: “memory antibodies selected over time by natural infection have greater potency and breadth than antibodies elicited by vaccination.”
- **Long-time NIH funding recipient Dr. Pete Hotez publishes an article in the PLoS Biology Journal claiming that criticizing Dr. Fauci should be a “federal hate crime.”**
- **A team of Johns Hopkins researchers recently reported that when studying a group of about 48,000 children, they found zero COVID deaths among children without pre-existing conditions.** The official number of “COVID deaths” among kids under 18 is 335 (as of last month).
- **A preprint study titled *Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Early Child Cognitive Development*, found that children born during the pandemic have significantly reduced verbal, motor, and overall cognitive performance compared to children born pre-pandemic.**
- **Ohio judge orders a 21-year-old man to get a COVID-19 vaccine as part of probation conditions for drug possession.**




NEW! DRUTHERS FIRST EDITION MAGAZINE.
Get it at www.druthers.net/magazine



Your support is vital to the continued success of this paper.
E-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Or visit: druthers.net/donate



Would you like to deliver these papers in your community? Or would you like to help out in other ways? We'd love to hear from you! Please visit us for more info: druthers.net/volunteer



POSTAL SUBSCRIPTIONS NOW AVAILABLE

You asked for it, and we now deliver. You may choose to cover the cost of s/h and have Druthers delivered right to your door each month.
Visit: druthers.net/subscribe

Druthers is entirely funded, written, distributed & promoted by the people, for the people.

Note: Please do not leave Druthers inside the newspaper boxes of other newspapers. As well, any inserts found in this paper are not endorsed by Druthers.