

DRUTHERS

FREE

Issue #14 | January 2022

/n. ...Informal...

one's own way, preference, or choice:

eg. 'If I had my druthers, we all would know the truth.'

Covering news & information that mainstream media won't. Please read and then pass this paper along to someone else when done. Find more to explore on our website: www.druthers.net



Lockdowns Return To Canada

By Spencer Fernando

Christian Dubé said at a press conference at 1 p.m., just four hours earlier. than inform.

“15 days to slow the spread!”

For quite some time, those of us who oppose the ongoing authoritarianism and gaslighting perpetrated by our governments have been noting that there will never be a ‘return to normal’, so long as the politicians continue to expand their power.

Every demand they make of the public will ‘come up just short,’ and ‘changing circumstances’ will lead to yet another demand.

After all, this started with “15 days to slow the spread.”

Then, we were told that once people acquired immunity, either with one dose of the vaccine or naturally, that things should get back to normal.

At that time, things like vaccine mandates and vaccine passports were called conspiracy theories, and some who warned those measures were coming were banned from social media for spreading ‘disinformation.’

Then, we were told we would need two vaccines to go back to normal, but that mandates and vaccine passports weren’t necessary.

Then, we were told that mandates and vaccine passports were necessary until about 80% of adults got two doses.

Then, 80% wasn’t enough.

Then, 90% wasn’t enough.

Then, 100% of vaccinated adults weren’t enough, and children would need vaccines as well.

Now, we are being told that boosters are necessary, and we can expect the definition of ‘fully vaccinated’ to change to three shots, and likely four at some point. That also means that current vaccine passports will be invalid, which will come as a big surprise to many Canadians who thought they had ‘done what the government told them’ in order to ‘get back their freedom.’

Amid all of this, many Canadians at least felt that strict lockdowns were off the table.

Surely, after everyone listened to the politicians we would at least be spared a return to the initial measures from the beginning of the crisis, right?

Well, it turns out that isn’t the case.

Quebec returns to lockdowns

We’ve talked a lot about how the ‘goalposts have shifted’ over and over again. In Quebec, the goalposts are now completely gone, as the province returns to lockdowns:

The Quebec government shut down several public settings Monday evening, including bars, taverns, casinos, theatres, gyms and even schools, as cases of COVID-19 soar in a pre-holiday spike.

All those establishments were closed as of 5 p.m. Monday December 20.

“The situation is critical,” Quebec Health Minister



As the most statist and authoritarian province, Quebec is often the ‘leader’ in terms of imposing state control, which then creates the political pretext for other provinces to quickly follow.

Quebec is also leaving the door open to stricter measures, including further restrictions on private gatherings (something the government should never have the power to authorize):

“If we have to add new measures, we’ll do it over the coming days,” Dubé said, adding that his team is meeting Monday night to go over the latest projections and that “we will not be scared of going back on certain decisions given the new information.”

“We are waging war against this variant,” he said. “We’re going to do everything we can to work together.”

That represents a return to the measures that were imposed at the start of the pandemic.

All the vaccinations.
All the mandates.
All the restrictions.
All the economic damage.
All the division.
All the demonization.

All of that, only to return to the same restrictions that were in place when the pandemic started.

This demonstrates a few things:

First, the politicians cannot be trusted.
Second, the government is incompetent.
Third, imposing restrictions, mandates, and vaccine passports has failed to stop the virus. All those things have achieved is to take rights away and divide the populace.

And fourth, we must get rid of those measures, remove state control, and let people make their own decisions.

Canadians need to realize that much of what we’ve been told by the ‘experts’ and politicians have been lies or mistruths that are designed to alter behaviour, rather

To get another sense of how foolish the vaccine mandate policies are, consider that even the WHO now has to admit that Omicron is spreading among vaccinated people.

“There is now consistent evidence that Omicron is spreading significantly faster than the Delta variant,” WHO director-general Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus told the briefing.

“And it is more likely people vaccinated or recovered from COVID-19 could be infected or re-infected,” Tedros said.

Again, this isn’t about being pro or anti-vaccine. Personally, I think those who feel getting vaccinated is appropriate should feel free to do so. The issue is the government forcing/pressuring people into getting vaccinated by taking away their rights and acting as if getting vaccinated would ensure a ‘return to normal’ when they obviously intend to continue moving the goalposts out of view.

Get the jab, get the lockdown. Get the next jab, get the next lockdown. Blame anyone the government allows you to but never the government itself.

The new normal.

The government has no power if nobody listens to them. We are stuck in a feedback loop.

Politicians push fear.

The public submits to that fear and seeks the comfort of authority.

Politicians expand their authority, and use that new authority to spread more fear.

This sometimes masks the fact that our politicians aren’t ‘leaders’ in any sense of the word.

They have no ability to innovate, and no ability to adapt (as demonstrated by the healthcare system that is even weaker despite all the money they’ve spent).

If the public stops listening to the hypocritical politicians and choose to live life without following the dictates of the centralized state, then politicians will give in.

Indeed, there are likely at least a few dozen MPs in the Conservative Party who would love to speak out strongly against government measures, but are being suppressed.

It would be nice to see some courage from politicians, but at this point the best we can expect is some self-interested moves in the direction of opposing the rise of authoritarianism in Canada.

If the public demands it, many politicians will ‘rediscover’ their belief in individual rights and freedoms.

So, Canadians need to start doing what we feel is right for ourselves and our loved ones, rather than listen to the worthless ranting of the failed statist who have been abusing their power.

Via: SpencerFernando.com

DRUTHERS is written, funded, promoted & distributed freely by concerned Canadians like you.

Every \$1 donated = 10 more papers printed and given away | Please give generously at: druthers.net/donate

[telegram.me/druthers](https://t.me/druthers) | facebook.com/druthers.net | instagram.com/druthersnews
youtube.com/druthers | bitchute.com/druthers

Vanguard And Blackrock Combined Worth A Shocking \$17 Trillion

By Dana Hanson

We're impressed when we learn about a start-up that reaches unicorn status. A billion-dollar valuation is still news in today's business circles, but it pales in comparison to some of the larger conglomerates. We've heard a lot about BlackRock and the Vanguard Group throughout the years, but have you ever wondered how much they're worth? It has recently come to our attention, complements of Children's Health Defense, that these two giants in the asset management industry have a combined ownership of far more than most of us would have imagined. The shocking amount of money Vanguard and BlackRock control through their ownership of big businesses including a variety of legacy media and Big Pharma. We looked further into the situation and were strongly surprised at what we learned.

What is BlackRock?

Handshake shared a BlackRock intern's analysis of the company. It stands out because of the unique employees working at the firm as well as for its size. When you compare BlackRock to other financial institutions like Morgan Stanley and Goldman Sachs and their more than 40,000 workers, or CitiGroup and Bank of America's over 200,000 workers, BlackRock has just 14,000, but they're all close-knit. They run the largest asset manager in the world. BlackRock accomplishes feats that nobody else has been able to pull off. There's something special about a group that is exponentially smaller yet accomplishes volumes more. Its the leader in the industry and it has risen to the status in fewer than three decades. One of the resounding mottos (unofficially) for BlackRock is that the only constant is change. They look towards the future through sustainable investing, activism, influence on regulations, and central risk analytics tools that even the Fed uses. Wikipedia further defines BlackRock as a multinational investment management corporation. The company was established in 1988 with global operations in thirty countries of the world, and seventy offices spread out across thirty countries with clients in 100 countries. This is what BlackRock is but what does it own?

BlackRock's holdings

BlackRock maintains more than \$9 trillion in assets under their management as of June of 2021. Holdings include massive amounts of coal investments that it plans to sell in a bid to fulfill its commitment to achieving environmental sustainability. It will sell \$500 million of its coal investments. Future investments will fall in line with this new initiative announced early in 2020. BlackRock manages two of the Federal Reserve's bond-buying programs as a response to the coronavirus pandemic. These are the Primary Market Corporate Credit Facility valued at \$500 billion and the Secondary Market Corporate Credit Facility. BlackRock additionally has secured approval from the China Securities Regulatory Commission for its set up of a mutual fund business in China. BlackRock is the third-largest stakeholder in Pfizer Pharmaceuticals. This also includes the subsidiary companies that are under the control of Pfizer, considered Big Pharma. It is also one of the top two stakeholders in four of the six major American media companies including News Corp, Disney, Comcast, and Time Warner. It also owns a stake in The New York Times.

What is Vanguard?

The Vanguard Group is an American registered investment advisor. It was formed by John C. Bogle in May of 1975. The group has been in operation for 46 years as of 2021. The Vanguard Group, Inc. is the largest mutual funds provider in the world today and is the second-largest ETF provider in the world, coming in second only to BlackRock. The multifunctional company also manages multiple mutual funds with a total of \$7 trillion in assets under management. It's a part of the Big Three index fund managers dominating corporate America.

The Vanguard Group's Holdings

The Vanguard Group is the biggest stakeholder in Pfizer pharmaceuticals, hence Big Pharma. It also has ownership in four major media companies in the United States including News Corp, Disney, Comcast, Time Warner, and The New York Times. Although BlackRock has a higher figure for assets under management, The Vanguard Group is the largest shareholder of BlackRock shares. The wealthiest families in the world are associated with Vanguard Group funds.

Concerns about the Big Two

The combination of the assets under management by The Vanguard Group and BlackRock is about \$17 trillion. Together they're a powerhouse of control. With such heavy influence over Big Pharma, the Mainstream Media, and many other industries, how does this impact the average citizen? Most don't even think about it and some don't care. Those who see the secretive monopolies these giants hold realize that it gives them influence



over the American public as well as the rest of the world. With control of over 90 percent of mainstream media, it's believed that their influence is currently being experienced through information shared about the Covid-19 pandemic, the pressure for vaccination, and the fear-mongering that is a constant on the news channels.

Control through fear

It's a conspiracy theorist's worst nightmare, but for the average person who likes to hear all sides of the story, it's a tough pill to swallow. The push for everyone to receive the Pfizer vaccine with possibly a booster vaccination shortly is suspected as a ploy for greater gain from the sale of the products. It's hard to know what to believe in an age when there is misinformation being spread on both sides of the issue. Padded numbers and admissions of hospitals falsifying covid deaths for the funding benefits have been publicly acknowledged. Some who have died of other causes tested positive which technically made it possible for them to list them as Covid deaths. It doesn't make it right or help the CDC to get their facts straight. Any skewing of the data is misleading to the American public and the world. It's suspected that those who exert influence and control are using the current pandemic to their advantage with a constant pounding of inflated data on the public. If we're not hearing about Americans engaged in hate violence against one another, there is another wave of deadly disease sweeping the land. It's been a confusing two years for the average American and what we would like is just to have the plain and simple truth with an honest accounting of the data without any derailments of the truth because of political agenda. This goes both ways regardless of political affiliation.

Social media's role

Social media has banned any content that it considers "misinformation," but it leaves us wondering how this is defined and who is put in the godlike posturing to make that determination. Misinformation by whose and what standards? It's a big yet fair question. Let's not even pretend that there isn't some kind of political leveraging by those in control. It's the way things work and something that most of us are painfully aware of.

The Reset Agenda for 2030

The Social Good Summit is working to achieve a new world order with a reset of the world society by 2030. It's a United Nations Foundation-affiliated event that is set to cause the people of the Earth to Reconnect, Rethink, and Restart. It's about volunteers that Springs non-profits, government, corporations, individuals, and grassroots organizations together to discuss solutions for the challenges of our times. It's tied in with the UN 17 Sustainable Development Goals to create a better world. The Defender ran a post that suggests it's a ruse to promote propaganda to gain control over the people of the world. The goal is to eliminate hunger, poverty, disease, and other social ills worldwide, but to accomplish this the UN desires that Western countries start paying taxes to fund the effort. The UN is a supporter of a world gov-

ernment that would be under the control of the UN, to create a new world society. We're talking about a new world society. As we move toward the new world reset and new world order, BlackRock and Vanguard are alleged to be at the center of the effort.

An honest assessment of the facts

The evidence that is stacking up is a bit disturbing, to say the least. We're not going to get an admission from anyone spreading misinformation, from either side of the debate. It's not what people with an agenda do. They believe that the ends justify the means. The rest of us continue to have questions that will likely never be answered. What we do know is that there have been massive reports of social unrest, political squabbles, widely reported hate crimes against people of color, and a new crisis every week. When one social issue began to fade out, another would take its place. Morally, we need a country that provides equality for all, regardless of color or beliefs. We need a strong leadership administration in place, cooperation of the mainstream media to bolster the country instead of tearing it down, unbiased journalism, and greater investigation into the facts instead of the perpetuation of proscribed information.

What are the facts about the Covid-19 Pandemic?

It's further been suggested that the handling of the pandemic has put more people's health at risk than it has helped. Mental health has dived nationwide and people are putting off potentially life-saving routine physicals and scans because of the fear of catching the virus at a clinic. We're using vaccines that have received emergency use approval, but they're not fully approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. We take the shots then live with fear after reports of terrible side effects are reported on the news. We wonder if the neighbor next door who refused the vaccine was a little wiser or more foolish. As a vaccinated American, I lived in fear for two weeks after receiving the J&J shot to have it pulled the very next day.

Are they trying to dissolve our bonds?

Many of us would love to have a more unified society, but we want a bit more transparency, which hardly anyone feels they're getting. The country has been divided because of the heavy campaigns ran to point out why we should fight one another, but it's a time when Americans of all backgrounds need to realize we're brothers and sisters in the same fight. It's now that we need to stand together just as so many have already done in the heat of battle, fighting for our basic freedoms, working to remove survivors from the rubble of a terrorist attack, helping neighbors after a natural disaster, and so many more things that we do as a nation of people who have more in common than those things that separate us. Americans have mixed our blood and blended our families and special interest groups aside, we've shown that we can be one nation.

Perhaps the constant highlighting of the atrocities that some commit has created more hatred than any resolutions to the problems. When it crosses the line of informing to mongering, something is wrong. Some also suspect that the UN's Great Reset and its bid to amass wealth for its financing is little more than a wealth theft scheme. Although it's plausible, what is the average citizen to think? Now that we've been upset, ruffled, and confused by too much going on around us, are we ripe for the harvest for new world order? Do those few with so much power control the world? Some suggest that this is the case and we're seeing it play out right now. The environmental initiatives of BlackRock do link it into the Great Reset to build it back better. It's a stretch to turn a blind eye to the facts. BlackRock has social expectations of the companies that it deals with and there is no denying that this is political influence based on its overbalance of power.

Final thoughts

BlackRock and The Vanguard Group are two of the most powerful asset management companies in the world today. As such, they receive both criticism and praise. It's the way of the world, and nothing new. They are both influential groups, but to the extent that the owners use this power is something we'll never know. They could be helping to usher in a new age for the world, or perhaps they're just trying to make a few improvements. You be the judge.

Originally published on MoneyInc.com

Fact-Checking The Fact-Checkers

By Craig Stuart

Is Fact-checking now an integral part of online journalism? If so, what exactly is it? How does it work? More importantly, what impact is it having on the present and future of independent journalism?

Fact-Checking, as an internet phenomenon began to proliferate following the election of former US President Donald Trump in 2016. The notion was that a group of independent and unbiased information verifiers would ascertain the veracity of claims made in blogs, posts, and articles posted online. In this way, online information would be held to a high standard of accountability, and the amount of misinformation would be limited and ultimately determined, by these fact-checkers.

The concept of fact-checking is on the face of it, a noble one. However, more and more misinformation identified by the fact-checkers seems to be any narrative that runs counter to the established, mainstream, or government position on an issue. So, are the fact-checkers actually independent? Do they deserve the Internet's trust as referees, there to judge the line between truth and fiction? Put another way, are fact-checkers independent and thus trustworthy, verifiers of facts?

A closer examination of some prominent fact-checking sites and the tactics they employ in verifying online information may give us pause as we dig down into that question.

AfricaCheck.org — On its website, AfricaCheck claims to “sort fact from fiction in the online reporting space”. And in this way, ... “identify important public statements, interrogate the best available evidence and publish fact-checking reports to guide public debate”.

According to AfricaCheck's own website, their 2020 sources of funding included the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) (11%), Google (9%), and George Soros's Open Society Foundation (4%). That means almost one-quarter of Africa Check's annual funding in 2020 came from these three organizations.

Reuters — Reuters News has a fact-checking unit within its editorial department. According to their website,” the principal aim of this unit is to fact-check visual material and claims posted on social media. The fact-checking unit at Reuters has joined Facebook's third-party fact-checking program. Through this program, Facebook will provide funding to the Reuters fact-checking unit, in exchange for assessments of the authenticity of the content on its platform”.

Reuters also has a division called **Thompson Reuters Foundation** (TRF), which in 2019 partnered with the Gates Foundation in an initiative called Generation for Changemakers in Africa. TRF also does work around freedom of speech, which, as it happens, also gets funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. As we start to delve into some of the important sources of funding for some of these fact-checking services, the question becomes.... Can we count on Reuters to fact check information about any of the many ventures BMGF is involved in, including GAVI, Gate's vaccine advocacy or the BMGF generally? More to the point, is it reasonable to expect Reuters to be impartial about the Gates Foundation or any of the initiatives Gates or his foundation is involved in?

The International Fact-Checking Network (IFCN) POYNTNER – operates as a sort of certification board for fact checkers. It reviews fact-checkers for compliance with its (IFCN's) code and issues certifications for those checkers who pass that audit. This certification lasts for a year and then fact-checkers must be audited again in order to maintain their certifications to Google, Facebook, and other technology companies. The IFCN website shows it has received funding from the BMGF, Open Society Foundation, and Google.

PolitiFact notes in a section of its website entitled “Who pays for PolitiFact?” that any organization that provides more than 5% of its revenue would be listed. TikTok and Facebook are the only organizations listed under this section (updated February 2021), however, no funding amounts are provided. PolitiFact does divulge that in 2020, Google gave PolitiFact 50,000 USD. The fact is the mainstream approved fact-checking sources

all seem to be approved and funded by the same group time and again.

Now that we have some idea of who the fact-checkers are, how do they go about debunking falsehoods they identify in online posts? According to James Corbett, award-winning investigative journalist, and creator of the *CorbettReport*, fact-checkers are keen to debunk online content they disagree with, and typically rely on setting up a straw man approach. They do this in order to marginalize the claim they want to debunk. Fact-checkers will take a story that has some credibility to it — something that is factual — and then extract one sensational (or irrelevant) aspect of the claim. They will then make that part of the claim the central point



of the entire claim and then debunk that claim. If the fact-checkers successfully attack that small part of an entire claim, they will then assert they have debunked the entire position. Their objective is to marginalize and ridicule, rather than disprove with clear facts. It's a simple, and oft-used tactic fact-checkers embrace because it is very effective. It works because the average Internet browser, trying to confirm or refute information they have seen online, is likely to begin the effort by initiating a Google search. In doing so, they will be funneled by Google, based on established algorithms, to a series of sites that say this popular information is debunked, fake news. This is usually the end of the story because, unfortunately, so few internet users go to the trouble of doing their own due diligence by going beyond the landing page headline and clicking into the site link to read how the debunk occurred. What methodology was used by the fact-checkers? How did they approach the issue to be debunked? Was it truly an unbiased examination... and can their techniques and conclusions withstand scrutiny? Human nature being what it is, Corbett estimates that only 1 in 10,000 will do that essential legwork. Fact Checkers capitalize on this aspect of human nature — and are generally successful. Take, for example, the fact that in October 2019, the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security joined with the World Economic Forum (WEF) and BMGF to host a tabletop exercise called Event 201 in New York City. According to the Event 201 website, Event 201 “simulated an outbreak of a novel zoonotic coronavirus transmitted from bats to pigs to people that eventually becomes efficiently transmissible from person to person, leading to a pandemic. The pathogen and the disease it causes are modelled largely on SARS, but it is more transmissible in the community setting by people with mild symptoms”.

The exercise included participants meeting the challenges of battling “fake news” about the virus, shutting down international travel, and the negative impact on businesses around the world. In case you had any uncomfortable questions about the timing of that event, **FactCheck.Org** is there to set you straight. The headline from an online fact-checking site dated January 2021, reads “New Coronavirus wasn't “predicted,” in Simulation”. The site's Quick Take — for those with no time to read through the 300-word story reads “A conspiracy theory website distorted the facts about an emergency preparedness exercise to suggest that the “GATES FOUNDATION & OTHERS PREDICTED UP TO 65 MILLION DEATHS” from the coronavirus now spreading. The event dealt with a hypothetical scenario involving a fictional virus.” Most people will stop there. No need to look any further — a reputable fact-checker makes it clear — it's a conspiracy theory. It never happened. Debunked! Easy as that.

The Fact-checkers strategically assault the notion that what is at issue is a matter of 65 million worldwide deaths, and that the coronavirus used for Event 201 was not exactly the SARS-CoV-2 virus we are now dealing with. So, if the number of deaths (65 million) never

materialized, and the virus description was imprecise, then of course the whole story is fake! That's the straw man logic. In this way, Fact-checkers are successful in deflecting attention away from addressing the truth of the core issues, namely of the timing of Event 201 coming at exactly the time that the pandemic was underway. They ignore, and in no way address, the issue that Event 201 simulated a shutdown of business and global spread of a coronavirus, a mere month before the present global pandemic began. All that is just a monumental coincidence.

Sometimes, the straw man technique involves taking a social media post, perhaps even an unpopular one, that misrepresents a true fact being shared by the communi-

ty. Therefore when the post is debunked — the whole (true) fact being shared by the community is now seen as false. Other tactics they use involve discrediting the author/scientist/or group who uncovered the fact, and using a red herring, something that misleads or distracts from a relevant or important question. It may be either a logical fallacy or a literary device that leads read-

ers or audiences toward a false conclusion.

Fact-checkers are effective because there are so many people out there happy to let others do their thinking for them. The result is a chilling effect on independent news. Fact-checkers undermine independent thought on online news sites not part of the legacy media structure and has led to the de-platforming of entire e-news sites. And it undercuts the way independent journalists have been operating in the online space for the last ten years, argues Corbett. Some independent journalists have tried practicing self-censorship in the hope of staying on the right side of the divide — and keeping the algorithms from denying access to their information to their audience. This affects advertising funds and when those dry up, to rapid shutdown of independent news sites.

What about solutions? A partial answer might seem obvious — personal responsibility. Corbett suggests we accept that there is real disinformation online and it is being shared. There is a legitimate need for real fact-checkers, so how should this be addressed? The answer lies in the exercise of personal responsibility by both content creators and Internet users.

It is a fact that a certain segment of internet content creators play fast and loose with the information they put out (any Youtubers out there?) because they prioritize monetization over being factually correct. Other creators can be more interested in pushing people toward a certain agenda, and, in doing so, sacrifice proper verification of information to that end. But what if creators were just more responsible about the content they put out — and readership was more willing to exercise due diligence in their research into the info they read online? Would that not go a long way to solving the issue?

As a society, we may have already reached the point where we have real difficulty separating fact from fiction in our online space. The imperfect, and intuitive solution is that creators must be more responsible and readers more diligent and less accepting of what they read as automatic truth. So, do your homework and verify for yourself to the absolute best of your ability but let us also be realistic. That work, even if undertaken diligently, may not always end in an iron-clad, totally satisfactory conclusion. It is nevertheless our responsibility to take the time, exercise our brains and do the background research. As Corbett notes, we may not always arrive at a definitive conclusion. Life (and truth) may not be as simple as a green checkmark beside a narrative. We may be left with two competing narratives with the truth found somewhere in between. “There is no shortcut to understanding the truth about the world. You have to roll up your sleeves and do the work”, asserts Corbett. Why not set that threshold for us before we pass information along to others? That kind of integrity will lead to a firmer structure in building information we can trust.

As Corbett rightly affirms, without our investment in that kind of personal responsibility, the ever-growing fact-checking industry could permanently become a part of and threaten — the internet ecosystem as we know it.

AHS Backs Down For Third Time

Recalls All Workers On Unpaid Leave, Allows Rapid Testing

By [jccf.ca](#)

EDMONTON: The Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms today reports that, in yet another last-minute amendment to Alberta Health Services (AHS) Vaccine Mandate, on direction of the Alberta Government, AHS will now allow an estimated 1,400 unvaccinated full-time and part-time healthcare workers who were placed on unpaid leave, terminated and/or disciplined by AHS, to return to work with the option of rapid testing.

This comes days after the Justice Centre was in court, requesting a temporary order prohibiting AHS from enforcing the Vaccine Mandate against four doctors, as well as a temporary order directing AHS to follow Alberta's Restriction Exemption Program and allow Rapid Testing in lieu of vaccination to allow the doctors to continue to practice at AHS sites in Alberta.

The doctors, Dr. Nadr Jomha, a specialized orthopedic surgeon, Dr. Blaine Achen, terminated from his position as Chief of Cardiac Anesthesia at the renowned Mazankowski Alberta Heart Institute, Dr. Gert Grobler,



once personal doctor to the Nelson Mandela family, and Dr. Tyler May, one of only two doctors serving the community of Manning, Alberta, went to court after facing termination, restrictions, and disciplinary action for standing against AHS' Vaccine Mandate. In addition to challenging AHS for constitutional violations, the lawsuit brought by the Justice Centre on behalf of these four doctors advocates for returning to basic medical principles including: recognition of natural immunity, doc-

tor-patient privilege, informed consent, personal autonomy and duty to disclose.

In his one-hour oral decision, Justice Henderson acknowledged that all the doctors had a principled objection to taking the Covid vaccine and that all the doctors have "genuine, subjective concerns with the Covid-19 vaccines that are currently available."

Justice Henderson went on to say: "One thing is perfectly clear; the Plaintiffs have a right to refuse to take the vaccine. No one can force them to take the vaccine. That is a right that must be respected."

Justice Henderson declined to grant an Interim Order, stating that it was necessary to hear more comprehensively from AHS before he could fully consider

the strength of the legal challenge brought by the doctors. A more comprehensive injunction application is scheduled to occur within the next 3 months.

This is the third time AHS has backtracked on its vaccination policy with health care workers.

On August 31, AHS announced that all frontline workers, physicians, midwives, nurses and contracted staff working in AHS facilities would be required to be fully vaccinated with new Covid shots by October 31. That date was extended to November 30 to allow time to make sure "our workforce is as safe and robust as it can be," said AHS President and CEO Dr. Verna Yiu in an October 22 address to the province.

On November 29, one day before mandatory vaccinations were to be implemented for all staff, the deadline was again extended to December 13, on the recommendation of the Alberta government. The last extension allowed the option for some AHS staff to undergo covid testing to return to work, but not others.

In response to AHS' move to recall all the staff it had just placed on indefinite leave, Richard Secord, counsel for the Justice Centre states, "This is exactly what we asked Justice Henderson to do for our doctor clients in our Interim Injunction Application on December 14."

"This is great news for our clients, but what will be the cost of AHS's constant flip-flopping?" asks Eva Chipiuk, Justice Centre Staff Lawyer. "We are 20 months into this pandemic, this type of behavior would have been acceptable early into the pandemic when little was known. AHS should have known better by now. There has to be some job security for our hard-working health care professionals, so they are not terrorized at every wave of virus in the future."

The Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms is a legal organization and federally registered charity that defends citizens' fundamental freedoms under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, through pro bono legal representation and through educating Canadians about the free society.

Father Seeks Truth About Sons Death

By [Megan Kuntz](#)

On Sept 27, 2021 the Toronto Maple Leafs lost a devoted fan, the Beeton Stingers/Alliston TNT lost a valuable teammate and member of their hockey families, but, most importantly, four siblings lost a brother, and two heartbroken parents, Shane and Sonia Adams of Beeton, Ontario, lost their beloved son¹.

Sean Hartman, a healthy, active Banting Memorial high school student, passed away suddenly in his 18th year just weeks after receiving his COVID vaccine².

Even though the cause of death is still under investigation at the time of writing, Dr Charles Gardner, Chief Medical Officer of Health with the Simcoe Muskoka District, worked quickly to downplay concerns that Sean's tragic death had anything to do with his recent vaccination, stating in an Oct 6, 2021 press release that there was "no evidence" of an adverse reaction following his vaccine³.

Sean's father, however, knows differently. Shane Adams took to Twitter @Answers4Sean following the health unit's press release to address the misleading nature of Gardner's statement: "My **Son did** go to the hospital after his vaccine. I would call that an adverse reaction? Wouldn't you? What is going on here?"

Since the coroner's report has not yet been released at the time of writing, Adams strives to make it clear that he is not blaming the vaccine for his son's death. He simply wants answers and the freedom to ask questions: "I'm not saying the vaccine killed my son, I believe it **could have**."

While Health Canada acknowledges that potentially life threatening adverse events i.e. myocarditis, pericarditis, thrombocytopenia sometimes do follow COVID vaccination, they classify these serious events as "rare" and state, "Only vaccines that are proven to be safe, effective and of high quality are approved for use in Canada"⁴.

Some health experts, however, are questioning the veracity of these blanket statements of safety after observing some concerning cellular activity following vaccination.

Endothelial Cells After Vaccination

Dr Sucharit Bhakdi, a retired microbiologist, explains

the effect that COVID vaccination has on the body's endothelial cells⁵:

"These vaccinations are being taken up by the cells that line the vessels the so-called endothelial cells. Then the spike protein is being produced by these cells of the vessel wall. This is a disastrous situation, because the spike protein itself is now sitting on the surface of the cells facing the bloodstream, and it is known that these spike proteins the moment they touch platelets, they activate them. That turns the whole clotting system on."

In other words, smooth blood vessel walls allow platelets to float by without activating the body's clotting system. Rough blood vessel walls e.g. walls that are spike protein affected due to COVID vaccination, however, can lead to the activation of the body's blood clotting system.

Dr Bhakdi states that he believes that this principle applies to all available COVID vaccines.

An *American Heart Association Journal* publication⁶ also notes an alarming effect that mRNA vaccinations are having on the body's vascular system. The publication's conclusion mirrors Bhakdi's concerns:

"We conclude that the mRNA vacs dramatically increase inflammation on the endothelium and T cell infiltration of cardiac muscle and may account for the observations of increased thrombosis, cardiomyopathy, and other vascular events following vaccination."

Conclusion:

Evidence is showing that COVID vaccinations affect the body's circulatory/cardiovascular system. Did this cause Sean Hartman's sudden death? This is still unknown. But what is known is that it could have.

Sean Hartman. Gone too soon.

Spread awareness: #Answers4Sean. Support Sean's family: [gofundme](#)⁷

References:

- <https://www.rodabramsfuneralhome.com/obituaries/sean-hartman/>
- <https://twitter.com/Answers4Sean>
- <https://youtu.be/Hh5tLcvXGp8>
- <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/coronavirus-disease-covid-19/vaccines/safety-side-effects.html>
- <https://youtu.be/pyPjAfNNA-U>
- https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/circ.144.suppl_1.10712
- <https://www.gofundme.com/f/sean-hartman>



Sean Hartman and his dad Shane

Websites Of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us.
You can visit [druthers.net](#) to get in touch.

vaccinechoicecanada.com	swprs.org
freedomrising.info	action4canada.com
worlddoctorsalliance.com	americasfrontlinedoctors.com
thehighwire.com	constitutionalconventions.ca
commonground.ca	stopworldcontrol.com
corbettreport.com	evidencenotfear.com
pressfortruth.ca	activistpost.com
weareallessential.ca	freedomforumcanada.com
stand4thee.com	thefreedompages.ca
awarriorcalls.com	standupcanada.solutions
takeactioncanada.ca	lauralynn.tv
brightlightnews.com	libertycoalitioncanada.com
gbdeclaration.org	awakecanada.org
jccf.ca	

Wake Up Call on Covid Vaccines

Why They Cannot Work, And Irrefutable Evidence Of Their Causative Role In Deaths After Vaccination

By Sucharit Bhakdi, MD & Arne Burkhardt, MD

This text is a written summary of Dr. Bhakdi's and Dr. Burkhardt's presentations at the Doctors for COVID Ethics symposium that was live-streamed by UKColumn on December 10, 2021. The two presentations can be viewed at the very beginning of the video recording of the symposium.

The authors

Dr. Bhakdi has spent his life practicing, teaching and researching medical microbiology and infectious diseases. He chaired the Institute of Medical Microbiology and Hygiene at the Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Germany, from 1990 until his retirement in 2012. He has published over 300 research articles in the fields of immunology, bacteriology, virology and parasitology, and served from 1990 to 2012 as Editor-in-Chief of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, one of the first scientific journals of this field that was founded by Robert Koch in 1887.

Dr. Arne Burkhardt is a pathologist who has taught at the Universities of Hamburg, Berne and Tübingen. He was invited for visiting professorships/study visits in Japan (Nihon University), the United States (Brookhaven National Institute), Korea, Sweden, Malaysia and Turkey. He headed the Institute of Pathology in Reutlingen for 18 years. Subsequently, he worked as an independent practicing pathologist with consulting contracts with laboratories in the US. Burkhardt has published more than 150 scientific articles in German and international scientific journals as well as contributions to handbooks in German, English and Japanese. Over many years he has audited and certified institutes of pathology in Germany.

See more at www.Doctors4CovidEthics.org

The evidence

We herewith present scientific evidence that calls for an immediate stop of the use of gene-based COVID-19 vaccines. We first lay out why the agents cannot protect against viral infection. While no positive effects can be expected, we show that the vaccines can trigger self-destructive processes that lead to debilitating illness and death.

Why the vaccines cannot protect against infection

A fundamental mistake underlying the development of the COVID-19 vaccines was to neglect the functional distinction between the two major categories of antibodies which the body produces in order to protect itself from pathogenic microbes.

The first category (secretory IgA) is produced by immune cells (lymphocytes) which are located directly underneath the mucous membranes that line the respiratory and intestinal tract. The antibodies produced by these lymphocytes are secreted through and to the surface of the mucous membranes.

These antibodies are thus on site to meet air-borne viruses, and they may be able to prevent viral binding and infection of the cells.

The second category of antibodies (IgG and circulating IgA) occur in the bloodstream. These antibodies protect the internal organs of the body from infectious agents that try to spread via the bloodstream.

Vaccines that are injected into the muscle – i.e., the interior of the body – will only induce IgG and circulating IgA, not secretory IgA. Such antibodies cannot and will not effec-

tively protect the mucous membranes from infection by SARS-CoV-2. Thus, the currently observed “break-through infections” among vaccinated individuals merely confirm the fundamental design flaws of the vaccines. Measurements of antibodies in the blood can never yield any information on the true status of immunity against infection of the respiratory tract.

Histopathologic analyses have been performed on the organs of 15 persons who died after vaccination. The age, gender, vaccination record, and time of death after injection of each patient are listed in the table on the next page. The following points are of utmost importance:

Prior to death, only 4 of the 15 patients had been treated in the ICU for more than 2 days. The majority were never hospitalized and died at home (5), on the street (1), at work (1), in the car (1), or in home-care facilities (1). Therefore, in most cases, therapeutic intervention is unlikely to have significantly influenced the post-mortem findings.

Not a single death was brought into any possible association with the vaccination by the coroner or the public prosecutor; this association was only established by our autopsy findings. The initially performed conventional post-mortems also uncovered no obvious hints to a possible role of vaccination, since the macroscopic appearance of the organs was overall unremarkable. In most cases, “arrhythmogenic heart failure” was postulated as the cause of death.

But our subsequent histopathological analyses then brought about a complete turnaround. A summary of the fundamental findings follows.

INSERT IMAGE HERE

Histopathologic findings of a similar nature were detected in organs of 14 of the 15 deceased. Most frequently afflicted were the heart (14 of 15 cases) and the lung (13 of 15 cases). Pathologic alterations were furthermore observed in the liver (2 cases), thyroid gland (Hashimoto’s thyroiditis, 2 cases), salivary glands (Sjögren’s Syndrome; 2 cases) and brain (2 cases).

A number of salient aspects dominated in all affected tissues of all cases:

- 1. inflammatory events in small blood vessels (endotheliitis), characterized by an abundance of T- lymphocytes and sequestered, dead endothelial cells within the vessel lumen;
- 2. the extensive perivascular accumulation of T-lymphocytes;
- 3. a massive lymphocytic infiltration of surrounding non-lymphatic organs or tissue with T- lymphocytes.

Lymphocytic infiltration occasionally occurred in combination with intense lymphocytic activation and follicle formation. Where these were present, they were usually accompanied by tissue destruction.

This combination of multifocal, T-lymphocyte-dominated pathology that clearly reflects the process of immunological self-attack is without precedent. Because vaccination was the single common denominator between all cases, there can be no doubt that it was the trigger of self-destruction in these deceased individuals.

Conclusion

Histopathologic analysis shows clear evidence of vaccine-induced autoimmune-like pathology in multiple organs. That myriad adverse events deriving from such auto-attack processes must be expected to very frequently occur in all individuals, particularly following booster injections, is self- evident.

Beyond any doubt, injection of gene-based COVID-19 vaccines places lives under threat of illness and death. We note that both mRNA and vector-based vaccines are represented among these cases, as are all four major manufacturers.




The inability of vaccine-induced antibodies to prevent coronavirus infections has been reported in recent scientific publications.

The vaccines can trigger self-destruction

A natural infection with SARS-CoV-2 (coronavirus) will in most individuals remain localized to the respiratory tract. In contrast, the vaccines cause cells deep inside our body to express the viral spike protein, which they were never meant to do by nature. Any cell which expresses this foreign antigen will come under attack by the immune system, which will involve both IgG antibodies and cytotoxic T- lymphocytes. This may occur in any organ. We are seeing now that the heart is affected in many young people, leading to myocarditis or even sudden cardiac arrest and death. How and why such tragedies might causally be linked to vaccination has remained a matter of conjecture because scientific evidence has been lacking. This situation has now been rectified.

Histopathologic studies: the patients



Pfizer Vaccine: More Harm Than Good

By Tea Lynn Moore

A group of over 500 independent Canadian doctors, scientists, and health care practitioners known as the **Canadian Covid Care Alliance (CCCA)** (CanadaCovidCareAlliance.org), recently released a groundbreaking analysis of Pfizer’s recently published 6-month core clinical trial data set with enormous implications.


CCCA assembled a presentation that comprehensively demonstrates how Pfizer’s purported randomized placebo-controlled, double-blinded study veered away from methodologies that would have answered the safety and efficacy questions definitively. Following are 7 of the most compelling slides from its 51-slide report.




1. The researchers found that data hidden in the report shows that vaccinated persons are more likely to suffer physical harm and death when compared to unvaccinated persons. All-cause mortality and morbidity, the only sensible outcomes to use in determining efficacy and risk, were not even considered. Indeed, both all-cause morbidity and mortality was higher in the vaccinated group after six months (the month the data ends at). Additionally, severe adverse events outnumbered cases of severe COVID presented after six months of observation.



2. The alleged efficacy of 91% reported by Pfizer is misleading. The calculation of 91% represents the relative risk reduction when efficacy is near its highest. When the more revealing absolute risk reduction is considered, we find that the actual efficacy is only 0.84%. It is the absolute risk reduction that determines the risk-benefit-ratio required to make informed decisions around inoculation.



3. Pfizer also used the lowest level of scientific evidence: expert opinion and speculation, for its Covid-19 “vaccine” trials to bury startling data.




4. Pfizer did not follow established vaccine protocols. Most notably,
- a. Early unblinding: Several months ago, Pfizer opted to offer its product to those participants who received the placebo. By eliminating nearly all participants in the placebo wing, Pfizer effectively closed the curtain on its experiment because long-term comparisons can no longer be made.
 - b. Trial participants were not reflective of the most vulnerable members of our population — more than 50% of people dying from COVID are 75 years of age or older. This age group made up only 4.4% of trial participants. Also, 95% of those who have died from COVID had one or more co-morbidities. Nearly 80% of trial participants had none.
 - c. Not every trial participant was tested for COVID. Asymptomatic or paucisymptomatic (presenting few symptoms) cases were missed. 3,410 participants were suspected from their clinical presentation of having COVID, but they were excluded from efficacy calculations because a diagnosis could not be confirmed through PCR testing. In the end, there were 3,410 suspected COVID cases, and only 170 confirmed.
 - d. Suspiciously, more than five times more vaccine recipients were removed from the study for “protocol deviations” than placebo recipients (at a time where the study was supposedly “blinded.”)
- This is not the first time Pfizer’s protocols have come into question. In November, the *British Medical Journal* (BMJ) published an article regarding a researcher who was employed by a company that oversaw three clinical trial sites of Pfizer’s COVID-19 vaccine. The whistleblower made shocking revelations about poor practices at the facilities that call the integrity of Pfizer’s data into question and also raised concerns about lax regulatory oversight. In the article, the regional director revealed that the subcontractor for Pfizer’s COVID-19 vaccine phase 3 clinical trial allegedly falsified data, unblinded patients, and was slow to follow up on adverse events. The whistleblower was immediately fired after bringing up these issues to the FDA.



5. No benefits, only risks, found in adolescents.



6. Pfizer has been indemnified for damages in case their inoculation hurts or kills people, and Pfizer profits to the tune of billions when their trials are deemed successful.



7. There were conflicts of interest.

The CCCA concluded: Pfizer failed to follow safety and efficacy protocols and the vaccine should be withdrawn immediately. No reasonable, responsible governing agency should have offered Pfizer carte blanche to the Pfizer trials. Instead, they should have been held to the highest scientific standards with third-party oversight.

Though public health authorities continue to proclaim these products are safe and effective, every week brings more and more evidence to the contrary. Now that the knowledge is out there, the claim that these vaccines are “safe and effective” does not have sufficient evidence to support it.

Mirror World

Investing During The Apocalypse

By Guy Crittenden

The most spiritual thing a person can do at this time is buy Bitcoin.

I actually said that to a friend recently at a meeting of a weekly local investment club I facilitate. It's one of several prepper committees I'm on that meet regularly to discuss everything from the best walkie-talkies for purchase to how to grow food.

The rationale for my statement relates to Bitcoin's utility in World War Four, in which the forces of centralization and decentralization are competing for global domination.

If that sounds like the premise of a B movie, well, that's the world we're in now.

It's said the globalists originally planned to impose their New World Order (NWO) several decades from now, but something caused them to move the date forward. "Why" remains a mystery, since the technologies of the so-called Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) and transhumanism would have been more developed, and the walls of our impending digital prison could have been built much higher.

I think the globalists saw Satoshi's Bitcoin cryptocurrency project explode and threaten their plans with the real possibility of people's liberation from central banks, authoritarian government, and the perpetual war model under which we've lived ever since gentlemen adventurers formed companies to exploit Hudson's Bay and East India.

Ever since the US uncoupled the greenback from gold, and Henry Kissinger negotiated the creation of the petrodollar with the House of Saud, the eventual collapse of fiat currency was baked into the world's reserve currency. All fiat currencies eventually veer to zero, and our financial system essentially died in 2008 with the mortgage fraud crisis. The system has been on life support ever since, with the US Federal Reserve (a private banking cartel) keeping the casino-economy afloat by inflating; that is to say, printing money. And here we are a dozen years later, with the system finally on the brink of collapse. The government is in a predicament, a debt squeeze, from which there is actually no escape. The Fed has only two tools at its disposal: it can print more money (inflate) or raise interest rates. The latter would crash (what's left of) the economy so they're going with inflation, ergo the rise in commodity prices.

Many investors think the government and the central banks are operating from a place of goodwill. They are not. All they're doing is keeping the game going until the signal is given to the hedge fund managers to short the stock market and crash the economy which will deliver the *coup de grace* of the totalitarian takeover.

Note that many Wall Street execs recently quit their positions. The (planned) biological crisis provided a fig leaf for the deliberate evisceration of the middle class on all continents. Many of the virtual signallers swiping their QR codes to access restaurants and concerts will have that smug look wiped permanently from their faces when the value of their homes and pensions vanish like rain on Georgia asphalt.

I watch investment programs on YouTube and other platforms every day, and have noticed a fascinating shift. A year ago when I was sounding the alarm about all this, most of the informed commentary resided on alternative platforms such as BitChute. Today, many mainstream investment program hosts talk openly about the globalist plot in ways previous unthinkable. They speak in code when referring to "the virus" so their channels aren't demonetized or deleted, and use words like "pandemic" and "totalitarian." I almost choked on my chips and salsa when Lynette Zang (the grandmotherly host of ITM Investment's YouTube program on previous metals investing) advised viewers to find a location to make their "final stand." (Zang owns a remote mountain cabin with a permaculture garden.)

Market commentators like Zang understood the World Economic Forum's commercial, "You'll own nothing. And you'll be happy," perfectly well. They know commodity prices aren't soaring because things like oil, steel and oats are becoming more valuable, but because the purchasing power of currency is crashing. Some advo-

cate dividing savings into tranches of real estate, precious metals, crypto, and stocks & bonds.

Regarding stocks and mutual funds, the era of "set it and forget it" retirement savings plans is over. With inflation running hot, the old conservative model is now the most risky, and informed investors are being drawn into speculative asset classes as a matter for survival. Imagine a world without money! Some sort of barter economy will emerge after the presumed collapse; gold and silver and



crypto will potentially do a moonshot, but even if they don't, people will seek them because eventually we'll cease comparing their value to dollars and think only in terms of what they might obtain: say, six hard-to-find oranges or a stale loaf of bread.

I learned of Adam Ferguson's book *When Money Dies: The Nightmare of the Weimar Collapse* from an episode of George Gammon's investment program on YouTube. It's a real page-turner, given what's underway. I'm not sure anything can save us if there's a total Mad Max-level societal breakdown, but at least my wife and I are semi-prepared. In addition to stocking the pantry with several months worth of food, and buying precious metals, the most interesting research has been in the dynamic world of crypto, about which I have mixed feelings.

None of this is investment advice, of course, but our biggest position is in Bitcoin (BTC) and Ethereum (ETH) and I do subscribe to some of Bitcoin maximalist Michael Saylor's perspective, recently bolstered by a superb video from Australian Max Wright ("Contrarian Dude" on YouTube) entitled "*David Morgan and the War over Your Freedoms*" (December 14, 2021): that BTC is real money and will likely rise in value into the hundreds of thousands.

I also enjoy the perspective of former hedge fund manager and macro analyst Raoul Pal (Real Vision) and what he calls the "exponential age" — his term for Moore's Law of exponential technological growth and Metcalfe's Law (the network effect), that will do for crypto what they did for the internet and companies like Google, Amazon and Facebook.

Of course, every idea in these Apocalyptic times comes with risks, especially since everything (except maybe crypto) is in a bubble. Real estate is a conservative investment, but prices in many places are in nosebleed territory. (Yet, one has to live somewhere...) As I write this, the stock market is showing signs of topping, and when it crashes (according to pessimist Harry Dent) it'll bring down crypto and metals too (for a while), though the latter items should recover quickly. Storing gold and silver is a huge pain in the ass, but then so is the thought of losing one's crypto keys or seed phrases.

Argh! Nothing about this is simple or easy. But we must "adult" about this as the banks could collapse and the laws have been changed to allow "bail ins" — the term for when the bank steals your money and offers (potentially worthless) bank stock in its stead. Any funds above \$100,000 are at risk, and even CDIC-insured cash may not be safe, given that reserve fractional banking allows institutions to lend out at 10-to-1 (or higher)

against deposits.

Many investors are diversifying away from BTC and ETH into so-called alt coins (e.g., Solana, Terra, Polkadot, etc.) because they could deliver outsized returns. Even if the globalists crash the economy and take down the internet (for a period of time), their plan involves people staying at home, plugged into a dystopian metaverse where they can buy virtual real estate and engage in "play to earn" games in the tokenized economy that Philadelphia researcher Alison McDowell warns of. I'm opposed to these sinister Matrix-like schemes, but I relish the opportunity to make a few bucks in the short-term so I can resist for longer. I've committed to studying the metaverse and the virtual reality technologies to see if they can be harnessed for good. I recently invested in Decentraland for that reason, the idea being that it's a decentralized artificial world, unlike the (very uncool) centralized one Facebook (Meta) recently announced.

Had the public risen up and stopped complying, this struggle could have ended long ago. While I believe the biological narrative is falling apart, ultimate victory over (and recovery from) the globalist attack is likely years away. Next will come resistance to climate lockdowns, and the impending AI control grid and social credit system with all its satellites, 5G towers, and facial recognition cameras. In addition to growing food and avoiding incarceration in "quarantine camps" we need to render unto Caesar what is Caesar's until the new economic system matures, on our terms and not that of the Rockefeller's and the Rothschild's.

In the end, the truth will set us free. But a bit of Bitcoin and Ethereum might help too.

Guy Crittenden is a freelance writer and author of the award-winning book *The Year of Drinking Magic: Twelve Ceremonies with the Vine of Souls* (Apocryphile Press, San Francisco). Follow Guy at HipGnosis.co

Attention Collectors:

DRUTHERS

First Edition Magazine

172 full colour pages

Save \$10 w coupon code:

MAG10

druthers.net/magazine

DRUTHERS

MAGAZINE

DRUTHERS HIGHLIGHTS

OF THE FIRST 6 ISSUES

GOODBYE

MAINSTREAM MEDIA!

First Issue | June 2021
Collectors Edition

Why Bonnie Henry Is Good For Us

By Ted Kuntz of VaccineChoiceCanada.com

I think it is time to give credit where credit is due. I suggest we ought to express our gratitude to Dr. Bonnie Henry. Dr. Henry, more than any individual in recent history, has been instrumental in the recent transformation of humanity in British Columbia.

Let's face it. Humans have forgotten what is important to them and theirs. We have lived with such comforts and ease during the last five or six decades that we've grown soft and complacent. We've taken for granted the benefits and joys of civilization. We've assumed that the rights and freedoms we've enjoyed will always be there.

We always thought that we would enjoy each other's smiles, a warm embrace, a firm handshake, a coffee with a friend, the ability to worship in community, our livelihoods, our freedom to share our perspective, and access to our community including medical care. Dr. Henry has delivered a sharp message that nothing is free; that the joys and pleasures of life, of family and community need to be protected and preserved through our efforts.

Bonnie Henry is giving us a wake-up call. She is reminding us all that our rights and freedoms can no longer be assumed. That we have a responsibility to protect and uphold the liberties we've enjoyed.

Bonnie, and her collaborators, have also served us by revealing the level of greed and corruption that pervades all levels of society today. Institutions that we assumed were serving the people have been exposed. Governments, media, public health, regulatory agencies, cell and internet service providers, even our courts and law enforcement have been captured and controlled to serve another master.

Under our watch, the Charter of Rights and Freedoms has become a meaningless piece of paper rather than the supreme law of the land. And the belief in personal autonomy and individual sovereignty as expressed in the rallying cry 'My Body, My Choice' has been all but extinguished.

It is time to recognize the bully-victim dynamic playing out on the world stage.

What has become abundantly clear in the last two years is that the measures imposed in response to COVID-19 have nothing to do with our health. These are not health measures. By any definition of success, these measures have failed miserably. The fact that governments persist in imposing measures that do not improve our health is all the evidence one needs to recognize that another agenda is at play. These

the bullying.

While I have no doubt that control of the masses by the global elite is one of the agendas at play, I believe there is another agenda being served. It is my perception that humanity, throughout our history, have acted like children. We've lived by the direction of others and looked to others for our protection and safety. However, like the normal development of our own chil-

dren, at some point we must rise up and claim our authority and responsibility over our lives. Others cannot give this authority and responsibility to us. We have to claim it.

I believe that this is what is happening on a grand scale today. Individuals such as Dr. Henry and others are what I call 'teaching tyrants'. These tyrants push us until we stand up and claim our authority. What is surprising is how far humanity has allowed themselves to be pushed. That we needed to be masked, locked in our homes, denied our livelihoods, and force injected before we found our strength to resist is an indication of the degree to which we've failed to be sovereign.

Most of humanity appears to be more comfortable as a subject than as a citizen. In hindsight, this is not surprising. Humanity has been ruled by monarchs, dictators, tyrants, and self-serving leaders for eons. Individual responsibility and liberty has been given little consideration. Though we've articulated a bill of rights, we've put precious little time or effort into identifying and claiming our responsibilities to protect these rights.

Today's tyranny has pushed humanity to the edge of a cliff. More and more are finally recognizing that if we do not stand up and claim our sovereignty and refuse to comply with what is effectively self-imposed tyranny, that we will become little more than slaves to serve the wishes of the global elite.

The bottom line is that Bonnie Henry only has as much power as we give her. It's time we stepped into our authority and stopped giving away our power. It's time we stated clearly – I will not comply.

I welcome this challenge as it appears it is the only way humanity will mature and claim its rightful authority and responsibility.



measures are about control.

In his essay, *A Path Will Rise Up to Meet Us*, Charles Eisenstein describes the bullying dynamic succinctly - “The relationship between our governing authorities and the public today bears many similarities to the abuser-victim dynamic. Facing a bully, it is futile to hope that the bully will relent if you don’t resist. Acquiescence invites further humiliation. Similarly, it is wishful thinking to hope that the authorities will simply hand back the powers they have seized over the course of the pandemic. By its nature, freedom is not something one can beg for; the posture of begging already grants the power relations of subjugation. The victim can beg the bully to relent, and maybe he will—temporarily—satisfied that the relation of dominance has been affirmed. The victim is still not free of the bully.”

Today, we are being challenged to stand up and face our tormentors. According to former university ethics professor, Dr. Julie Ponesse, what we are facing is a pandemic of institutionalized bullying and compliance to

COVID Advance Medical Directive

By Action4Canada.com

Use this card to advise medical professionals of your wishes should you need hospital care.

If you are concerned about the possibility of one day ending up in the hospital as a result of an emergency and being administered the jab without your permission, then print off and sign this COVID Advance Medical Directive and attach it to your medical card.

It clearly states your non-consent to ANY COVID-19 vaccination, testing, ventilators or Remdesivir, as is your right, should you be hospitalized and unable to verbally communicate with the medical professionals. Also, if you need treatment for COVID-19, you choose to use effective alternatives such as antivirals, vitamins C & D3, quercetin, zinc, HCQ, ivermectin and/or inhalers.

The Directive has been designed in such a way that it covers your personal details on your Care Card. It should be taped to your card to keep it secure and also as further proof that it has been seen by the medical professionals, as they will have to remove it to get your Care Card number.

Instructions

Print off the pdf from action4canada.com or cut out the one printed here in this paper.

Cut out the COVID Advance Medical Directives following the outer dotted lines, then fold each one in half.

Fill in your name on the front and your full legal name, signature and date on the back.

Then tape each side of the completed Directive to your Care Card, leaving the top edge of the Care Card visible.

Finally, take a photo of the Advance Directive attached to your Care Card for future evidence of your wishes.

Please consider becoming a monthly donor and help Action4Canada continue to bring solutions and hope to the nation.


Thank you and God Bless Canada!

Disclaimer: Action4Canada accepts no responsibility or liability for any harms or losses that occur as a result of using this Advance Medical Directive. If you do not agree

to what is specified on the card below please do not sign or use it. It is not intended as medical advice and you should consult your personal healthcare professional before use.

COVID ADVANCE MEDICAL DIRECTIVE

- In case of emergency, I, _____, do not consent to ANY COVID-19 vaccination, testing, ventilators or Remdesivir as is my right. It is an indictable offence to violate my right to refuse these treatments or to withhold any medical care because of it.
- If I need treatment for COVID-19, I insist that the treating physician use effective alternatives such as antivirals, vitamins C & D3, quercetin, zinc, HCQ, ivermectin and inhalers.


 MATH+

CUT
AROUND
THE
DOTTED
LINE

Source: Action4Canada.com


© 2021 All Rights Reserved

FOLD HERE

Consider this your official and personal Notice of Liability.

Attn: Medical Personnel


<https://action4canada.com/wp-content/uploads/liability-notice-medical.pdf>



Name: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____



Psychopath Nation

Rich powerful psychopaths are destroying the world and humanity, and you think they’re human?

By Christopher Judges

Those running the world are corporate psychopaths. They’re ruthless, driven, and relentless. They are rich, conservative, fascists, hiding behind a mask of sanity that perfectly mimics a regular human being.

The corporate psychopath, through their control of governments, are deliberately using legalized social murder to “legally” destroy the lives, rights, and power of millions of defenceless people for the benefit of their own wealth, power, and greed.

Corporate psychopaths control our political, social, and judicial systems. They own the media and the government. They do not care about the extinction of humanity. They deal in lies, deprivation, collateral damage, manipulation, and the purchase of governments to do their will without mercy.

Corporate psychopaths have an unfair advantage over humans because we accept them as human beings; they mimic our human nature. Psychopaths have a predatory, parasitic, non-human mindset that serves their best interest at anyone’s expense. They are not human, they are psychopaths.

People’s lives mean nothing to corporate psychopaths. They have no empathy, no conscience, no morality, and no compassion. Their crimes against Humanity do not matter to them at all, to them, “It is just the cost of doing business.” They have no guilt or remorse.

They are not human. They are psychopaths (sociopaths* and narcissists*) that use their money, power, and politics to deprive ordinary lives of their dignity and hope. All corporations are made in the image of the mind of a psychopath. They have

a personality of darkness and are legally allowed to be predatory, parasitic, cold, unkind, and murderous. They want profit at human expense, no matter what the cost or destruction it causes. Their desire for



“People’s lives mean nothing to corporate psychopaths. They have no empathy, no conscience, no morality, and no compassion”

extreme wealth is a pathological addiction. They govern through political proto-psychopaths who turn their cold-blooded desires into law. Humans

have given corporations human rights when corporations are never human, making the mistake in thinking of psychopaths as “human, just like us.” The public must recognize the psychopathic state of mind of those ruling over us. They are anti-human, not human by human definition. Millions and millions of human lives are in the hands of these mentally sick, social murderers. Again, they are not human. We cannot sit around giving them human rights while they drive us to extinction. We must defend ourselves.

Humanity is adrift in an ocean of political corruption and psychopathic capitalism. Like the rest of us, I’m sure you’re worried by the horrors of our expanding national and global problems, especially since science is forecasting the imminent collapse of Earth’s Biosphere and the extinction of all human beings.

Our survival rests in the hands of politicians, loyal to corporate control, who show no compassion for the public. They have betrayed the will of regular people, rendered them expendable, and failed to prosecute corporations that expand the global environmental crisis.

Social Murder Is a term coined by Freidriche Engels in 1845 and used to describe murder committed by the political and social elite where they knowingly permit conditions to exist where the poorest and most vulnerable in society are deprived of the necessities of life and are placed in a position in which they cannot reasonably be expected to live and will inevitably meet an early and unnatural death.

Excerpt from the ebook called How To Save The World Without Politics, Religion Or Money by Christopher Judges @ ChristopherJudges.com

Cardiologist ‘Warning’: Vaccinated Are 2.3 Times More Likely To Experience A Cardiac Event, Study Shows

By Ava Pritchard

The Covid-19 mRNA vaccines “dramatically increase” a common measure of heart risk in people. That’s according to a recently-published warning in the journal *Circulation* by cardiologist Dr. Steven Gundry, who is a pioneer in infant heart transplant surgery.

The analysis was presented at a recent meeting at the American Heart Association. The “dramatic changes in most (vaccinated) patients” means they are at higher risk of a new Acute Coronary Syndrome, such as heart attack, according to Gundry.

The long-term study, which began 6 years prior to COVID, measured protein biomarkers in patients every 3-6 months and saw what researchers called “dramatic changes” in patients after their second mRNA shot. They found that the estimated risk of a patient experiencing a cardiac event post vaccination, as calculated by “PULS Score,” dramatically shot up 2.3 times compared to their pre-vaccination risk a few weeks prior. At the time the study concluded, the changes appeared to be persistent.

Pfizer’s own vaccine trial study showed similar results, with nearly twice as many “cardiovascular event related deaths” and 4 times more “heart attacks” appearing in the vaccinated group when compared to placebo.

In response to these findings, world-renowned cardiology expert Dr Aseem Malhotra said on UK television that his fellow cardiology researchers have had similar findings, but are too afraid of losing money from the drug companies to publish the proof.

“Somebody from a very prestigious British

“There have been over 10,000 heart attacks and over 35,000 cardiovascular events associated with the vaccine”

institution, a cardiology department researcher, a whistleblower if you like, contacted me to say that the researchers in this department had found something similar within the coronary arteries linked to the vaccine, inflammation from imaging studies around the coronary

arteries. They had a meeting, and these researchers at the moment have decided they’re not going to publish their findings because they are concerned about losing research money from the drug industry.”

This testimony was further supported by anecdotal evidence from Malhotra’s colleagues in the cardiology community across the UK, who had told him how “they are seeing younger and younger people coming in with heart attacks.”

The UK has seen close to 10,000 excess non-COVID deaths since July 2021, leading Carl Heneghan, Professor of Evidence Based Medicine at Oxford, to call for an “urgent investigation” into the cause of these deaths. A “significant proportion of those, have been driven by circulatory disease, in other words heart attack and stroke,” claimed Malhotra.

Scientists have already established a myriad of other cardiovascular related effects of Covid-19 vaccines in some patients, most notably young people. Among the established adverse events linked to the vaccines are thrombosis, blood clots, and heart inflammation known as myocarditis or pericarditis. According to the CDC’s reporting system (VAERS) there have been over 10,000 heart attacks and over 35,000 cardiovascular events associated with the vaccine.

As more data emerges, it seems the vaccines may not be as “safe and effective” as our public health officials would like us to believe. And with new data emerging from Public Health Ontario showing that the rate of COVID is highest in the vaccinated population, even when expressed as a percentage-per-vaccinated (vs percentage-per-unvaccinated) population, it seems the risks of the vaccine may far outweigh any benefits.



Drink The Damn Wine

By Tariq EQ Amawi

If this was in the 1800’s and you were invited to a royal banquet and the ones in charge were trying as hard to get you to drink the wine as the current authorities are trying to get people to take the stabbie...
... you’d probably assume the wine was poisoned.
Instead it’s like, “Drink this wonderful wine”
“No thank you”
“Be a good guest... drink the wine. We uncorked it especially. It’s a beautiful and rare vintage.”
“No thank you. I appreciate the offer though”
“Drink the wine. It’s very expensive but you can have a glass for free, and we’ll give you an extra plate of supper.”
“No I’m fine as I am”
“Drink the wine and we’ll feed your entire village for a week and reduce your taxes.”
“Wow all that for wine? What’s in it? Still, no thank you”
“We’ll make you a Duke”
“How unusually generous, but no thanks I’m content in my home.”
“Drink the damn wine or we’ll stop you working.”
“Say what now?”
“We’ll ban you from markets”
“Wow your tone just changed”
“Now you need to drink 3 glasses or we’ll stop you riding your horse or seeing your family for the next year.”
“Wait, what? 3 glasses? Can’t see my family?”
“Did we say 3 glasses? We meant 3 bottles”
“3 bottles?”
“Yes... every few months we want you to drink 3 bottles of this particular wine we’ve prepared, indefinitely, for the next years”
“Years??”
“Yes, or we’ll try you for treason”
“Ok what is IN this damn wine that you are trying SO HARD to get everyone to drink it?”
“We can’t tell you. Trade secret”
“It’s poisoned isn’t it?”
“No..it’s... ummm... it’s just really really good for you. It’s errr special healing wine.”
“Then why all the threats?”
“We just need you to... I mean we strongly encourage you to drink the wine so you don’t miss out.” 🍷
“But the Earl drank a glass and collapsed”
“Unrelated.”
“No... like he was fine then had a glass then keeled over... he’s still on the floor writhing. Look at him! His face is blue and he’s clawing at his chest!”
“Coincidence. Guards... please escort the Earl to his chambers. He’s had too much wine.”
“Aha! I knew it was the wine! What about the rest of the court? Half of them also collapsed after the wine”
“Those guests got ill from something else. Probably the chicken.”
“All at the same time?”
“You sure do ask a lot of questions! Guards! Guards... get this man his wine.”
“I don’t want it. What if something happens to me after drinking it?”
“We’re not liable for that.”
“Not liable for the wine you produce? I definitely don’t want it.”
“Drink your wine so everyone else doesn’t get a hang-over.”
“Huh? That makes no sense. Maybe if they didn’t keep DRINKING they wouldn’t get HUNGOVER in the first place?”
“Look at these paintings of people drinking wine all over the gallery. Look how happy they look!”
“Paintings? Look, I don’t drink wine. I don’t want the wine. Even the idea of drinking this wine now leaves a bad taste in my mouth.”

“Ah, no matter if you don’t want to drink it.”
“Ok good. Let’s drop the subject now.”
“You don’t have to drink it. We can pump it directly into your veins. You won’t even have to taste it.”
“Wait, into my veins? Are you serious? I don’t want your damn wine!!”
“TAKE THE DAMN WINE! NOW! WE’VE ALREADY PAID FOR A WHOLE CRATE FOR EACH MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY! WE’VE SIGNED AN ONGOING CONTRACT WITH THE DISTILLERY! ANYONE WHO TALKS BADLY ABOUT THIS WINE WILL HAVE THEIR TONGUES CUT OUT! PEOPLE WHO ARE NOW DRUNK ON THE LAST BATCH WILL BE RECLASSIFIED AS SOBER AND MUST DRINK THE NEW BATCH! AND WE WANT YOUR KIDS TO DRINK THE WINE TOO! EVEN YOUR BABY. SWAP HER MILK BOTTLE FOR WINE. SHE’S OLD ENOUGH FOR WINE NOW! EVERYONE DRINK THE WINE!”



this is how absurd the conversation sounds to me. Just saying.
Anytime anyone tries so hard to pressure you into something... it’s rarely, if EVER because it serves YOUR best interests or because it’s actually good for you.

Heart-Breaking Letter To The Editor

By Michele Doucette

As a Canadian, long had I believed that this was the land of the free; that is, at least until Covid invaded our shores. Today marks the very last day that I am able to visit my 61 year old husband at the Nursing Home. In an effort to force me into getting the jab, the Nova Scotia government is now holding my husband hostage. Leaving Albert was incredibly difficult. I did my best to explain. He, too, was saddened by my words and my tears.
My husband is hurting as a result of this mandate. He no longer gets to see me.
Since February 13, 2021, the 39th anniversary of our first date, I was spending upwards of 6 hours per day, three to four days every week. My stealth observation skills (as a former Pervasive Needs Special Education teacher) were always being utilized. I would sit with him, talk with him, watch TV with him, listen to music with him, feed him, brush his teeth (when he would allow me to do so), cut his fingernails, cut his toenails; anything to help to ensure that he was comfortable. In stripping me of my rights and freedoms, the Nova Scotia government has forcefully removed me from his life. I am now his POA and caregiver in name only.
My husband has logopenic variant Primary Progressive Aphasia (lvPPA). There is very little known about this particular illness, aside from the fact that it is believed to be a rare and unique form of Alzheimer’s. Diagnosed at age 58, he also falls into the ear-

ly-onset Alzheimer’s category; one that affects 8% of Canadians. As a result of my research, it appears that lvPPA probably impacts 5% or less of the population.
He is the first patient with this condition at the Nursing Home. As a result of the early-onset Alzheimer’s, he is no longer mobile, he is incontinent, he is unable to use utensils to feed himself. The aphasia, however, has also robbed him of his language and ability to communicate, outside of facial expression. Having been married for 36 years, and together for almost 40 years, no one knows his moods and can read him like I can. Apparently, this means nothing to the Nova Scotia government.
Should his health rapidly decline, or worse, as a result of this mandate, can anyone be held liable?
I am hoping that you might be interested in helping me bring my story to the Canadian public.
Regards,
Ms. Michele Doucette



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
January circulation: 250,000 copies Canada-wide
Original content *DRUTHERS* 2022®
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Assistant editor: Christie Lynn
Production Manager|Layout: David Bolton
Big thanks to: Kristy, Graca, Barbara, Zoe, Sam, TianTian, Mitch & so many others too.
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
Help Distribute Papers In Your Area: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on.”

- Shawn Jason

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of *DRUTHERS*.
Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute *DRUTHERS*.
Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.
Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.
Please give what you can:druthers.net/donate
Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net
Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to: Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531
Six Points Plaza,Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

News From Around The World

By Paul Bennett

CCTV to be used at Irish University to identify maskless students

One of Ireland’s top universities is planning to spy on students who breach covid guidelines. The National University of Ireland, Galway (NUIG) is now encouraging staff to approach maskless students to wear a mask and failure to comply with the coercive directive may result in disciplinary action. Cowardly management at the university have issued threats to brave mask free students that they will also hunt them down by using CCTV.

NUIG’s Chief Operating Officer, John Gill shamefully justified the bullying and scare-mongering tactics by stating, “Our experience has been, if people are challenged, if somebody asks them to replace their face covering, they do so. If you find that you get a response that is not what you’d expect or people don’t return to wearing the face covering, please report it.”

Snitch culture within the university is welcomed by Mr Gill. He added, “If we have CCTV coverage, then we can pick up on the individuals and approach them directly, or if you know the identity of the individual, let us know and we can act on that.”

Staff are advised to provide a time, date, and place of maskless students to the relevant powers so identification can be made through CCTV footage.

This is not the first time that NUIG have shown their bullish and discriminatory side towards ballsy free-thinking students during covid. In January of last year, 48 students who breached covid guidelines on university campuses were required as punishment to write a reflective 2000-word essay about their ‘inexcusable’ actions. The unlucky students were also required/forced into watching a state-televised documentary about covid.

Dean of Students and Professor Michelle Millar at NUIG patronized the students by saying, “We all make mistakes in life-the key is what have we learned from that.”

To add further insult and public humiliation for the students, extracts and quotes from their essays were displayed on a wall at the university.

The thought that fee paying students would be penalized for breaking absurd rules and punished by the university with an adult version of the ‘naughty step’ is grotesque.

Greece orders unvaccinated seniors to pay monthly fines

Greece is joining the ever-growing bully club of nations that is targeting people who refuse the experimental covid jab. In November, the Greek government announced mandatory covid jabs for the over 60s in a callous attempt to increase vaccine uptake.

Data from the Greek health ministry showed there are 520,000 people over the age of 60 unvaccinated.

According to the Greek Prime Minister Kyriakos Mitsotakis, anyone who refuses to get vaccinated will have to pay a monthly fine of 100 Euros (\$114) for each month they don’t get jabbed starting on the 16th of January 2022.

Opposition party Syriza have called the fines “punitive and financially excessive.” The Greek Premier shamefully tried to justify the disgusting and unfair fines by stating, “It is not a punishment, I would say it is a health fee. It is the price to pay for health.”

The emotional blackmail and gaslighting continued with Mitsotakis adding, “Those people must be the first to be protected, as they are often the ones who go to the hospital when it’s too late, further aggravating their situation and preventing the hospitalization of people with other serious diseases.”

The Greek government has said the strict fines will be collected by the tax authorities and funds collected will be given back into healthcare settings.

Ancient Greek Philosopher Aristotle once said, “Be a free thinker and don’t accept everything you hear as truth. Be critical and evaluate what you believe in.” Kudos to the over 60s unvaccinated in Greece who are the modern critical thinkers in their country.

Chinese city offers cash incentive to help trace outbreak of covid

Communist authority figures in the northern province of Heilongjiang have issued a cash reward for anyone who can provide information about the trace of covid in the city of Heihe.

A notice issued by local city government officials stated, “In order to uncover the source of this virus outbreak as soon as possible and find out the chain of transformation, it is necessary to wage a people’s war of epidemic prevention and control.”

Residents in Heihe who report any valuable information regarding the possible source of covid in the city can be rewarded anything up to 100,000 Yuan (\$15,600).

Officials in the border city close to Russia also encouraged people to report any incidence of illegal hunting, animal smuggling, or people crossing the border to fish. They warned residents that severe penalties will be implemented if people intentionally hide relevant information.

According to Chinese state-sponsored media, “The general public is expected to be able to actively cooperate with tracking the virus and provide leads on the investigation.”

The desperate plea and underhand approach by government powers is another example that frightened, and obedient societies will freely snitch on others under the delusional guise of the ‘people’s war’.

Aussie Rules star announces retirement after refusing covid jab

Modern elite sport can be a cut-throat world of excessive fat salaries and a ‘win at all costs’ mentality. However, one honourable Australian Football League (AFL) player has turned down a new \$450,000 contract and added bonuses for the upcoming season and instead has opted to retire due to his stance on covid mandate policies.

30-year-old Liam Jones who played for Melbourne-based Carlton Blues announced his retirement in November for personal reasons. Officials on the AFL website reported, “It is understood Jones’ decision to retire is related to the vaccination policy implemented by the AFL in preparation for the 2022 season.”

On announcing his retirement, the principled sports star stated, “I want to take this opportunity to thank all who have supported me throughout my journey, both personally and professionally. I love the game and I’ll miss my teammates. I hope that people respect my decision and privacy.”


The Australian State of Victoria under the tyrannical dictatorship of Daniel (Dan) Andrews required all ‘authorized workers’ including professional athletes to be fully jabbed before November 26th. AFL football executive Andrew Dillon expected a “small handful of players” to stand down because of the discriminatory policy.

Brisbane Lions captain Dayne Zorko expects more retirements in the coming months due to the mandated policy across the country. Speaking about the premature retirement of Liam Jones, he said, “Something tells me that he might not be the last as well.”

Deni Varnhagen who plays for the Adelaide Crows in the AFLW top tier is another Aussie Rules player objecting to covid vaccine mandates in the women’s league. The premiership player and registered nurse has refused the vaccine and questioned the AFL’s vaccination policy by saying that “coercion is not consent”.

Varnhagen stated, “I’ve always stood up for what I believe in, and I will continue to do that, no matter how difficult the journey may seem, there will be light and joy at the end of the road.” Due to her stance, she is currently on an ‘inactive roster’ list at the Adelaide club.

In a world where true role models are few and far between, Liam Jones and Deni Varnhagen are shining lights in a country that is surrounded by darkness presently.



Status Of The Sars-Cov-2 Variant In Denmark

By Bruce O’Hara

What does this table tell you? Of the 17,767 diagnosed Omicron cases in Denmark on December 21, 2021, more than 90% were single, double, or triple vaccinated.

At 8.5%, the percentage of unvaccinated Omicron cases was less than half of the percentage of unvaccinated individuals in the general Danish population. (On December 21, 18.1% of the Danish population was unvaccinated according to Our World in Data.)

There’s also an amazing side note on this chart: 76.2% of those infected with other variants were also single, double or triple vaccinated. If this data is reflected

of what’s going on in other places, what we are seeing now is a pandemic of the vaccinated.

Perhaps we need new mandates. Given their elevated risk of infection with all COVID variants, but most especially the Omicron variant, perhaps we should

should do!

The information that being fully vaccinated provides precisely ZERO protection against becoming infected with the Omicron Variant should be front-page news in every paper in the country.

I will be passing on this information today to the Victoria Times Colonist, the Vancouver Sun, the Calgary Herald, The Globe and Mail, the Toronto Star, the National Post, The Ottawa Citizen and the Montreal Gazette. Also to the CBC, CTV and Global News Networks. If any of those news outlets fail to cover this story, I think you

can safely assume that real journalists no longer work there.


Vaccination status (12+ year olds)	Other variants (No. of cases)	Other variants (%)	Omicron (No. of cases)	Omicron (%)
Revaccinated	7,657	8.2	1,884	10.6
Completed primary vaccination schedule	60,326	64.8	14,053	79.1
Not vaccinated	22,144	23.8	1,517	8.5
Received first dose	3,019	3.2	313	1.8
Total	93,146	100	17,767	100

be restricting the vaccinated from high risk activities such as travel, going to sporting events and concerts, and eating at restaurants. That’s what science says we


A List Of Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks

- cient in).

- New study published in *Circulation* on Nov 8th titled “MRNA COVID Vaccines Dramatically Increase Endothelial Inflammatory Markers and ACS Risk as Measured by the PULS Cardiac Test: a Warning” finds that **vaccinated individuals are showing an increase in multiple protein biomarkers** associated with Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS). The long-term study, that began 6 years prior to COVID, measured biomarkers in patients every 3-6 months and saw what research-



reporting serious adverse events to Health Canada. “I was seeing patients with stroke... massive heart attack within days of the vaccine... in people with no other health conditions.” “When I reported those... **all of my adverse event reports were rejected.**” “My Public Health officer actually took my reports and reported me to the CPSO, and so, I’m actually under investigation by the College of Physicians for reporting adverse events.”

- 
- **BMJ editors call out Facebook fact checking as “inaccurate, incompetent & irresponsible.”** Fiona Godlee, editor in chief of the prestigious academic medical journal *BMJ*, and incoming editor in chief, Kamran Abbasi, published an open letter to Zuckerberg over **Facebook’s misleading fact-checking of a BMJ story published November 2, 2021.** The *BMJ* article Facebook shadow banned and labeled as “partly false” was an externally peer-reviewed article that raised serious questions about Pfizer’s data integrity and regulatory oversight at one of its main US contracted Covid-19 vaccine trial research sites. **A whistleblower for the company** had even advised the FDA of the highly questionable practices, but was ignored and fired. Shortly after the open letter was published, in response to an unrelated case, **Facebook admitted in court that their fact checks are just “opinion.”**
 - A well-structured German study (Sorg et al.) shows **no deaths among healthy German kids aged 5-11.** The study also found that kids aged 5-11 have a 2 in 100,000 chance of going to ICU while (perhaps coincidentally) testing positive for COVID.
 - “Biggest cancer catastrophe ever” hits NHS as **up to 740,000 potential cancer cases were missed** in the UK since the first lockdown according to a concerning report by the National Audit Office.
 - Despite a pandemic budget totaling \$51 billion and promising Ontarian’s 500 new surge beds this year, **Ontario has instead lost 1000 ICU beds since the start of data collection during the pandemic** (May 1st, 2020). Ontario also recently terminated 10,000 unvaccinated healthcare workers.
 - The FDA is being sued by Public Health & Medical Professionals for Transparency (PHMPT), because the **FDA is asking a federal judge to grant it 75 years (until 2096) to fully release the data Pfizer provided.** Meanwhile, it only took the FDA 108 days to review and approve Pfizer’s vaccine data for emergency use authorization.
 - **COVID restrictions are blamed for a 23% dive in young children’s development.** A disturbing study by Brown University shows scores in three key cognitive tests slumped 23% between 2018 and 2021. The study also found similar **dips in regards to developing children’s ability** to communicate, both verbally and through subtle facial cues.
 - **Austria and Greece to start fining the unvaccinated** despite COVID cases reaching the highest point of all time after widespread vaccination. As of Jan 16, Greeks 60+ will be fined €100 per month and as of February 1, Austrians 14+ will be paying up to €3,600 every 3 months.



You asked for it, and we now deliver. You may choose to cover the cost of s/h and have Druthers delivered right to your door each month.

Visit: druthers.net/subscribe

Druthers is entirely funded, written, distributed & promoted by the people, for the people.

Note: Please do not leave Druthers inside the newspaper boxes of other newspapers. As well, any inserts found in this paper are not endorsed by Druthers.