

Is The Freedom To Protest Dead In Canada?

By Anas Attia

For over nine months, Toronto's anti-lockdown protesters congregated and marched weekly, peacefully and without incident. Their messages revolve around the negative effects of lockdowns, among other topics, critical of government mandates. Police and protestors were respectful of each other, for the most part, with very few tickets being handed out over the months. But on January 16th, Toronto Police Services took an aggressive stance with protestors.

The scene at Yonge and Dundas Square that morning was nothing short of astounding. Police lined the Square in rows where the protestors always meet. It may have been the biggest show of force in Toronto's recent history. An estimated force of 200+ officers (many dressed in what appeared to be riot control style uniforms) were there in addition to the streets being lined with police vehicles. This included a large number of vans, a coach bus, paramedic vehicles, court services vans and a command center RV.

Upon trickling in, the protestors were threatened with fines and possible arrest, citing the stay-at-home order. A man in his 30's, seemingly eating a sandwich alone, was the first one caught on video being tackled to the ground by a handful of police officers. It was a clear signal that this day's protest was not to be peaceful as the previous 38 Saturday's were.

In a desperate attempt to begin dialogue with the police, audio speakers were erected by protestors and a few of them got on the microphone to express their concerns. Their main concerns seemed to be how the *Canadian Charter of Rights & Freedoms* (our basic, fundamental rights which every officer swears an oath to uphold) was not being upheld. Police responded to their pleas by sending 40-50 officers into the crowd to extract the woman who was speaking. Police also confiscated the generator & all the audio equipment.

Police continued with their strong stance, arresting and fining people who questioned their orders, making 3 arrests and issuing 18 tickets. "This protest is over", was heard being yelled by a commanding officer over a loudspeaker. "Go home" another officer announced. With masked up faces (many wearing sunglasses too) they stood side by side, looking strangely unhuman and creating an advancing wall, pushing protestors out of the way if they did not do so on their own.

Around noon, a protester yelled out "it's like G20", on a live video of the event, implying the police were about to arrest everyone like they did during the G20 protests of 2010. (For which Toronto Police Services was sued by 1,100 protestors and forced to pay out 16.5 million dollars in damages for breach of the Charter against innocent citizens.) And so, almost at once, the protestors began to march North on Yonge St., opposite from their usual path and without their usual Police escort.

They marched for hours and made many stops including mainstream media outlets, the Police Station, Queens Park, John Tory's residence and City Hall before returning to Yonge and Dundas Square where they were greeted by an even stronger police presence. This resulted in more arrests and tickets.

The following week was much the same. Protesters were quickly dispersed from the Square and then marched un-escorted around the city. This time the media was also forced to leave under threats of fines or arrest. A police officer stated on video that the media was not an essential service at this time. Later that day the police reversed their position in a reply to a



Hundreds of police showed up at Dundas Square on January 16th to arrest & fine peaceful lockdown protestors.
Photo by: HVN Media Production

CityNews inquiry regarding the matter.
At one point in the 4 hour march, the protestors split into two groups and never returned to the square.
A spokesperson for the RCMP and another for the Toronto Police Service expressed similar sentiments when asked about the situation. "We were just there enforcing the Emergency Measures Act" said Staff Sgt Jeff Johnson.
The situation begs the question; Is The *Canadian Charter of Rights And Freedoms* no longer valid? And if not, who claims authority to supersede it? These are questions that every Canadian should be asking.

Ontario MPP Roman Baber Kicked Out Of Ford's Caucus After Claiming "The Lockdown Is Deadlier Than Covid"

By Tea Lynn @Tealynnm

Ontario MPP Roman Baber was kicked out of Ford's caucus and won't be able to run as a PC in the next election after penning a letter that claims "the lockdown is deadlier than COVID."


Baber's letter included a list of staggering statistics including "Ontario's hospital and ICU capacity are better than in the last 3 years." He also noted on the "infection fatality rate — the IFR" (the percentage of people with COVID who die, which differs from the "case fatality rate-the CFR" -the percentage of people officially diagnosed with COVID who die) and noted that 80% of COVID deaths in Ontario occurred in long-term care homes and similar group living settings.

He ended his letter with a proposed strategy and

asked the premier to "stop scaring children... they should not believe that coming close to another child may result in someone's death."
Baber is not the first member of Ford's caucus to be ejected during the pandemic. Last June, former Tory legislator Belinda Karahalios was also kicked out of caucus by Ford for voting against a sweeping law that extended pandemic emergency orders. Karahalios said she opposed the measure because it gave Ford's government too much power.
Following the release of Baber's letter, the former Ontario Chief Medical officer sent a letter to Ontario Premier Doug Ford siding with Baber. He noted that Baber "deserves great credit" for opening up the discussion on lockdowns. "Lockdown was never part of our planned pandemic response nor is it supported
See, 'MPP' p.2



Why was I Charged (again)
Yesterday?
p.5



I, ROBOT
Understanding The Coming Cyborg Avatar Capitalism
p.6



Canadian parents
turned activists
p.7



ICU nurse quits
Due To COVID-19 Measures
p.8

Here’s Why I Wouldn’t Take the Vaccine, Dr. Tam

By Karen Selick

A few months ago, Statistics Canada released a survey designed to gauge the likely response of Canadians to a COVID-19 vaccine when it became available. The **results showed** that only 57.5% of those surveyed said they were “very likely” to get the vaccine. The remaining respondents said they were either somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely or very unlikely to get the vaccine, while 9.4 percent of individuals responded that they “didn’t know.” A reasonable headline for an article reporting on this information would have been: “As many as 42.5 percent of Canadians have some doubts about getting COVID-19 vaccine.”

However, the *National Post* chose to use the headline: “One in ten Canadians would refuse COVID vaccine.” Published on Aug. 26, 2020 the article dealt briefly with the survey, then concluded by saying that Dr. Theresa Tam (the Chief Public Health Officer of Canada) says “authorities need more information about those who are worried about or opposed to a vaccine to ensure they have the proper information about how vaccines are approved.”

As someone who would have responded “very unlikely,” I’d be happy to provide that information. For starters, Dr. Tam, my name is Karen, and I would not only like to *speak to* the so-called management, I’d like to fire you.

In the five years since I retired from my law career, I’ve found time to read nine books dealing with vaccines — including two written by Dr. Paul Offit, one of the most vocal proponents of vaccines in the U.S. I gave Dr. Offit a fair chance to persuade me, but his research and arguments didn’t hold a candle against the opposition.

I’m two years younger than Dr. Offit. In my youth I believed (as he still seems to) that vaccines are safe and effective. Maybe the difference between our perspectives is that Dr. Offit holds several vaccine patents, while I hold none. There is no financial incentive tugging at me to continue believing that everything is hunky-dory.

I began having doubts in the 90’s when reports came out showing the flu vaccine was a dismal failure, year after year. I never got a flu shot myself. Why bother, since I rarely got the flu? The vaccine seemed to be hit-or-miss at best, with effectiveness rates as low as 40 percent in some years. But then the news emerged that those who did get the flu vaccine seemed to be at greater risk for other respiratory viral infections. That cemented my decision. Why get vaccinated for one minor illness if it would increase your chances of getting others? But the study piqued my curiosity: what was it about vaccines that would make people sicker, rather than healthier?

I learned that the flu vaccine contained a preservative called thimerosal. As a former contact lens wearer, I recalled that many years ago, contact lens storage solution had contained thimerosal. When the manufacturers eventually took it out, they considered it important enough to splash across the package, “Now thimerosal-free!”

So I wondered: if thimerosal is bad enough that you shouldn’t get any in your eyes, is it okay to shoot it into your veins? The answer is no. Thimerosal contains mercury, which is extremely toxic to humans. The best amount to have in your body is zero. Vaccine apologists like Dr. Offit argue that opponents are confusing ethyl mercury (which supposedly leaves the body quickly because it isn’t found in blood tests after a short time) and methyl mercury which accumulates in the body. But in his book *Thimerosal”:Let the Science Speak*, author Robert F. Kennedy Jr. explains that the reason ethyl mercury becomes undetectable in the blood after a short time is that it accumulates even more quickly than methyl mercury in the organs — especially in important places like the brain. As of Feb., 2020 there have been 22 studies that confirm this problem.

Considering the worldwide explosion of brain disorders such as Alzheimer’s and autism over the past few decades, you’d have to be a reckless ignoramus to recommend that people continue to inject themselves annually with mercury. Why do you do that, Dr. Tam?

Next, what about everyone’s favourite vaccine legend: how vaccines saved the world from polio? Well, I’ve read up on that too — in *Dissolving Illusions* by Dr. Suzanne Humphries, MD, a US board-certified nephrologist (kidney specialist). She started out, like most Americans, believing in the safety and efficacy of vaccines — until she began observing some worrisome

symptoms among patients who had recently been vaccinated.

Humphries’ book provides credible evidence (data drawn from public records in the UK and the US) that it was neither the Salk nor the Sabin vaccine that saved the world from polio. Rather, it was improvements in public sanitation in the first half of the twentieth century that did it. Global populations began gaining access to clean drinking water. Newly installed sewage systems meant that residents of densely populated cities no longer lived amidst their own waste. Knowledge about safe food handling practices and handwashing grew and disseminated.



These improvements also brought about dramatic declines in diseases such as diphtheria, whooping cough and measles. Most people don’t realize that the mortality rate for all of these diseases had declined almost to zero before any vaccines were developed for them. Some diseases such as scarlet fever and typhoid fever declined dramatically in lockstep with the rest, despite the fact that there was never a vaccine for them.

In fact, there is good evidence that the paralytic polio epidemic of the 1940’s and 1950’s was actually caused by the extreme toxicity of a combination of commonly used agricultural pesticides, including DDT, lead and arsenic. Polio has been known since the 1800’s but was a mild illness then. Most victims recovered quickly and never suffered paralysis. Polio only began paralyzing people permanently during the 20th century, reaching its heights as pesticide use soared. The eventual decline in paralysis cases corresponds closely to the declining use of these toxic pesticides.

Furthermore, the medical conditions necessary for a diagnosis of “polio” changed abruptly in 1958, shortly after the introduction of the polio vaccine. Many diseases that had previously been diagnosed as polio suddenly got their own separate label. And as author Brett Wilcox points out in his book *Jabbed: How the Vaccine Industry, Medical Establishment, and Government Stick It to You and Your Family*, the classical definition of polio as “a disease with residual paralysis which resolves within 60 days” was changed to “a disease with residual paralysis which persists for more than 60 days.” Since the vast majority of cases did resolve within 60 days, the change of definition was just like waving a magic wand over a

huge percentage of polio cases and making them vanish. Poof! Nothing had changed except the labelling, but vaccines got the credit.

Dr. Tam, I learned something else in my reading, from a book called *The Virus and the Vaccine*, by Debbie Bookchin and Jim Schumacher — something that disturbs me very much. The oral polio vaccine of the 1950’s — that innocent-looking pink liquid given to me at my elementary school—was widely contaminated with something called SV40. SV stands for simian virus. The vaccine was grown on the kidneys of monkeys imported from Africa, and it turned out they had numerous (at least 40) viruses that found their way into the polio vaccine.

According to this scientific study on SV40 published in 1999, “there may be an increased incidence of certain cancers among the 98 million persons exposed to contaminated polio vaccine in the U.S. Further investigations are clearly justified.” Have the further investigations been done, Dr. Tam? Are you looking into them now? Or are you still maintaining that vaccines are perfectly safe and we shouldn’t worry?

Finally, I learned from a book called *The Vaccine Court: The Dark Truth of America’s Vaccine Injury Compensation Program* by Wayne Rohde that vaccine manufacturers were so heavily besieged by lawsuits for the harm their products caused in the 1980s that they threatened the US government, stating they would stop making them entirely unless they were granted immunity from liability. Congress keeled over obediently and passed the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act (NCVIA) of 1986, absolving manufacturers of responsibility for vaccine injuries. The most recent data available from the US government (they seem to have stopped publishing the totals after 2018) shows that \$4.4 billion has been paid out to vaccine-injured individuals. Experts say that this barely scratches the surface of the harm done, since most Americans don’t even know they can make a claim, and many physicians (thanks to the influence of Dr. Tam and her ilk) don’t even recognize vaccine injuries when patients present with them.

Do you think cars would be safer if injured drivers and passengers were prevented from suing manufacturers for defects? Of course not. No product is safe if all liability for defects or harm is removed. This 2017 peer-reviewed study published in the *Review of Industrial Organization* compared the adverse vaccine reactions before and after the NCVIA was passed. It should be no surprise that the author found “that vaccines that were licensed after legislation that preempted most product liability lawsuits are associated with a significantly higher incidence of adverse events than were vaccines that were licensed under a previous regime that permitted consumers to sue.”

Do you understand me sufficiently yet, Dr. Tam? I could go on, but you probably get the gist of my objections by now. Vaccines are not safe, and their efficacy is highly questionable.

Dr. Tam, there are many other things individuals can do to ensure that their immune systems meet and defeat coronaviruses successfully, without vaccines. I’d be delighted to tell you about some of them in another article — but I have the sneaking suspicion you might not want to read it.

Karen Selick is a retired lawyer who now works as a freelance writer, editor, and video maker.

MPP dismissed from the caucus for opinion

Continued from p.1

by strong science” Schabas added.

“Lockdown is an affront to social justice because its burdens fall disproportionately on the young, the working poor and visible minorities,” observes Schabas in his letter. “We will be paying for lockdown in lives and dollars for decades to come.”

Indeed, it is important to have an open dialog about lockdowns without the fear of losing your position when particular facts are brought to light, as Schabas writes, “Our well-intentioned but misguided efforts to control COVID are only compounding the tragedy. We need to change course. No one has all the answers

but the first step is to start asking the right questions.”

Hospital Occupancy statistics Baber included in his letter. He obtained these numbers from the Ontario Ministry of Health.

Ontario Hospital Occupancy (Daily Average)					
Time Period	Dec 2017	Dec 2018	Dec 2019	Dec 2020	Jan 6, 2021
Hospital Occupancy %	94.5%	94.8%	95.0%	84.4%	87.6%
Ontario ICU Occupancy (Daily Average)					
Time Period	2017 (Dec 1-16)	2018 (Dec 1-16)	2019 (1-16 Dec)	2020 (Dec 1-16)	2021 (Jan 1-13)
ICU Occupancy %	86.66%	91.21%	83.51%	80.84%	81.60%

Fact Checking Doug Ford’s Claims

By Megan Kuntz

What prompted Ontario’s most recent lockdown continuation, state of emergency declaration, and stay-at-home order? The Ontario government listed several compelling reasons during the official emergency measures announcement on Tuesday, Jan. 12 at Queen’s Park:

1. Cases are at an all time high
2. Deaths are at an all time high
3. Hospitals are overrun
4. Predictive modeling shows catastrophic worst-case scenario outcome
5. Community spread continues to escalate
6. The UK coronavirus variant has been detected in Ontario

Undoubtedly, this report sounds very serious. But remember, context is crucial.

Let’s unpack each of the above reasons, exploring some important contextual points to add balance to the alarmism-heavy narrative.

REASON #1: Cases are at an all time high

CONTEXT:

•Did you know? Testing is also at an all time high. Let’s explore this point...

The average number of tests completed per day in Ontario from April 28 to May 12 was 14,515.5. The average number of tests completed per day in Ontario from Dec. 28 to Jan. 13 was 54,983.8. This is a 278.794% increase in testing. Higher numbers of completed tests will, logically, contribute to higher numbers of cases.

•Did you know? The cycle threshold (Ct) for Ontario’s PCR tests is so high that case counts are largely meaningless. Let’s explore this point...

The cycle threshold for PCR tests is up to 40 cycles in Ontario. Epidemiologist, Dr Michael Mina, says that he would set the cut off at 30 cycles. He explains that the test’s threshold is so high that it detects people with live virus and those with dead virus fragments from past infection who no longer pose risk. “It’s like finding a hair in a room after a person left it.”

REASON #2: Deaths are at an all time high

CONTEXT:

•Did you know? This statement was not true at the time of Premier Ford’s announcement. Let’s explore this point...

The average number of COVID-19 deaths per day from Apr. 28 to May 12 (during the first wave) was 55.5 . The average number of COVID-19 deaths per day from Dec. 30 to Jan. 13 was 44.8.

According to the CDC, a regular flu season will peak from Dec.-Feb.. If coronavirus death counts do end up peaking during this second wave, it will not be unusual. But why would Premier Ford announce something as fact before it was true? (Fear Mongering: noun, “the action of deliberately arousing public fear or alarm about a particular issue.”)

•Did you know? Ontario’s COVID-19 death counts include people who didn’t die from COVID-19. Let’s explore this point...

Individuals who die with COVID-19, but not necessarily from COVID-19, are included in the Ontario COVID-19 death totals. For example, a suicide victim who tested positive for COVID just prior to their death would be counted as a COVID death. *The Sun* reached out to health units in Ottawa, Peel, and Toronto to confirm this point. According to the health units, this skewed reporting process is required by the province, and the number of these wrongly attributed COVID deaths is unknown.

Can we take COVID death totals seriously when not all “COVID deaths” were even caused by COVID?

•Did you know? Ontario death counts always

spike in January. Let’s explore this point...


Weekly all-cause death tallies in Ontario from 2010 to 2020 show a peak in January in the deaths per week average each year. Therefore, it will not be unusual if we do see a spike in deaths this January. It will be congruent with the pattern over the past 10 years.

REASON #3: Hospitals are overrun.

CONTEXT:

•Did you know? This, unfortunately, is not uncommon in Ontario. Let’s explore his point...

In May of 2016 the *Globe and Mail* did a report on documents obtained by the NDP party. The documents showed that Ontario hospitals are horribly overcrowded and are often forced to run above 100% capacity. Medical expert Dr Neil Rau acknowledges the fact that Ontario hospitals have been overwhelmed in previous years due to harsh flu seasons and calls for a more balanced approach. “We didn’t close every restaurant and gym and



control people’s lives like this. This is really an over-reaction.” Is then our current overwhelmed system truly an acute COVID-19 crisis or a chronic healthcare-system-mismanagement crisis?

•Did you know? Lockdowns exacerbate stress on an already strained healthcare system. Let’s explore this point...

An excerpt from an The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) article explains how the first wave of lockdowns affected the healthcare system: “Tragically, many health systems faced major adverse consequences, not by COVID-19 cases overload, but for very different reasons. Patients with heart attacks avoided hospitals for care, important treatments were unjustifiably delayed (e.g. cancer treatments) and mental health suffered. With damaged operations, many hospitals started losing personnel, reducing their capacity to face future crises (e.g. a second wave)”

According to *The Star*, as of November 2020, the federal government had spent \$350 billion on pursuing lockdowns. Is it possible that these resources should have been poured into healthcare system support instead of general-population lockdowns?

•Did you know? Doug Ford helped cause the very healthcare system crisis he is now trying to combat. Let’s explore this point...

According to the Ontario Health Coalition’s most recent reports, the Ontario government’s support of its healthcare system is below the provincial average in every way: hospital bed counts, funding, and Registered Nurse (RN) to population ratio. The Ford government inherited an already failing healthcare system. The Premier then responded by further slashing funding, beds, and staff while embarking on what the Ontario Health Coalition called in an August 2019 report “the most aggressive and radical health care restructuring that Ontario has ever seen.” This begs the question: is the system overwhelmed because COVID is virulent or because Ford is negligent?

REASON #4: Predictive modeling shows catastrophic worst-case scenario outcomes

CONTEXT:

•Did you know? Many experts agree that COVID

19 modeling is highly unreliable.

Let’s explore this point...

An article published in NCBI titled “Forecasting for COVID-19 has Failed” explains why: “Epidemic forecasting has a dubious track-record, and its failures became more prominent with COVID-19. Poor data input, wrong modeling assumptions, high sensitivity of estimates, lack of incorporation of epidemiological features, poor past evidence on effects of available interventions, lack of transparency, errors, lack of determinacy, consideration of only one or a few dimensions of the problem at hand, lack of expertise in crucial disciplines, groupthink and bandwagon effects, and selective reporting are some of the causes of these failures.”

Another team of 22 researchers came to similar conclusions regarding failure of COVID-19 modeling in a paper published in *Nature Journal*, and they caution against the political misuse of these highly uncertain forecasts. “Rather than using

models to inform their understanding, political rivals often brandish them to support predetermined agendas.” Could this be what is happening in Ontario?

REASON #5: Community spread continues to escalate

CONTEXT:

•Did you know? Deaths attributed to community transmission remain extremely low across Canada. Let’s explore this point...

The Public Health Agency of Canada’s Jan. 3 - Jan. 9 weekly epidemiology report counts the deaths attributed to various outbreak settings: community, corrections/shelter/congregate living, food/drink/retail, healthcare, industrial (including agriculture), LTC and retirement residences, personal care, school and childcare center, and “other”.

The deaths linked to community, food/drink/retail, personal care, school and childcare center, and “other” account for just 0.5% of the total outbreak setting deaths. Dr Ari Joffe of Stollery Children’s Hospital addresses the absurdity of sweeping, draconian lockdowns in an interview with *The Sun*. He says we should focus on protecting the most vulnerable, not locking everyone down, “regardless of their individual risk.” Why does Premier Ford insist on wielding a chainsaw in a procedure meant for a scalpel?

REASON #6: The UK coronavirus variant has been detected in Ontario

CONTEXT:

•Did you know? Lockdowns are not supported by strong science and likely won’t solve this problem. Let’s explore this point...

On Jan. 2021 MPP Roman Baber wrote a letter to Premier Doug Ford questioning the repeated implementation of lockdowns rather than focused protection. He was removed from the PC caucus for it.

On January 18th, 2021, Ontario’s former Chief Medical Officer of Health, Dr Richard Schabas, wrote a letter to Premier Ford in support of MPP Roman Baber’s stance. “Lockdown was never part of our planned pandemic response nor is it supported by strong science. Lockdown has been used by almost every developed country and, in the great majority of cases, the lack of response speaks for itself. Two recent studies on the effectiveness of lockdown show that it has, at most, a small Covid mortality benefit compared to more moderate measures. Both studies warn about the excessive cost of lockdown.” Is Dr Schabas just another “yahoo” or is it possible that effective risk mitigation can come in a less damaging package?

TAKEAWAY: The case for the most recent string of restrictions is only as strong as each reason given to justify it. According to Doug Ford, “the facts are clear.” According to a little research, maybe not as clear as he says.

You Will Be Fine, Even If You Are Fined

By Derek S.

Protestors: take charge, even if you are charged. You will be fine, even if fined. For the past nine months, Ontarians have had their fundamental rights restricted or revoked. It was forbidden to earn a living, to go to a bar or restaurant with a friend, to visit one’s family for Easter dinner, or to even stand outside with friends for a barbecue.

In the early summer, when cases dropped across the province and country — despite the various health professionals who have dictated our lives claiming Covid-19 had no seasonality—we received some reprieve from the extreme social isolation and economic dearth that the authorities demanded of us. Mayors and Chiefs of Police even joined and endorsed Black Lives Matter demonstrations, despite the existing orders expressly proscribing such actions under gathering limits. These demonstrations were often condoned by public health officials regardless.

For a time, the ‘health crisis’ seemed to be nearing its end with the State of Emergency even officially revoked in lieu of the *Reopening Ontario Act*, giving

Dear Police, First Responders And Healthcare Workers

Re: COVID-19 Vaccine Concerns

I am writing on behalf of Vaccine Choice Canada to express our deep concern for you and your colleagues.

We understand that first responders and frontline healthcare workers are being targeted to receive the initial deployment of the COVID-19 vaccine. After a thorough review of the available scientific literature, it is our contention that the Pfizer and Moderna products authorized for ‘interim’ use in Canada carry substantial risks.

We recognize the importance of first responders and frontline workers and feel a sense of urgency to share this information with those we rely on most during times of emergency. The attached document addresses the following concerns:

- **Human experimentation:** The Pfizer and Moderna vaccines granted ‘interim approval’ by Health Canada have not been adequately tested for either safety or efficacy. This means that the use of the COVID-19 vaccine is human experimentation.
- **The Vaccine May Not Prevent Infection or Transmission:** COVID-19 vaccine makers are not required to demonstrate that their product prevents either infection or transmission of the virus.
- **COVID-19 – A Low Lethality Illness:** The coronavirus is statistically shown to be far less deadly than portrayed by mainstream media and health officials.
- **Health Canada Oversight Insufficient:** The safety testing of the COVID-19 vaccine products is incomplete and less rigorous than that for other vaccines.
- **No Individualized Risk-Benefit Analysis:** A proper risk-benefit analysis has not been conducted. Implementing a ‘one-size-fits-all’ policy fails to recognize that the risk of COVID-19 varies greatly depending upon several variables including age and pre-existing conditions.
- **Informed Consent:** Those advocating for mandates and coercive measures that remove the right to consent are undermining essential individual rights and freedoms. This is a clear violation of the Canadian Charter and also medical ethics.

Our intention in writing this letter is to bring to your awareness the experimental nature of this vaccine. It is our hope that this information will help to protect your right to voluntary informed consent, free of any coercion or constraint. Thank you for your service to Canadians.

Sincerely,
Vaccine Choice Canada
www.VaccineChoiceCanada.com
Read full article here:
www.bit.ly/Get-Informed

the Ford government carte-blanche ability to rule by decree, for the ostensible purpose of fighting Covid-19. With this came municipal mask mandates and health orders. Demonstrations against these often confusing and nonsensical orders were swiftly deemed ‘anti-mask rallies’ and decried as proof of toxic western individualism by health officials and politicians.

Once winter came, however, it was apparent that these measures had no effect on case rates and a second state of emergency was declared on January 14th. Once again it was justified on the flimsy spectre of overwhelmed hospitals and death panels, despite this not having happened at the height of the pandemic months prior.

Mayors and public health officials moved quickly, threatening exorbitant fines and even jail time for breaking their decrees, with special attention paid to those challenging these orders publicly. Police and other enforcement of these actions were swift. Videos of protestors being fined, charged, or dragged around in handcuffs circulated soon afterward.

Do not be fooled, these are fear-mongering tactics meant to stifle dissent against an inept and unaccountable provincial government and their unelected advisors. All charges against protestors will be made under either the *Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act* or the *Reopening Ontario Act*, both being provincial legislature and explicitly subject to the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*. All current emergency measures, however, are purported by their proponents as being justified under the ‘reasonable limits’ provision under Section 1 of the *Charter*. While it is possible this provision allows for some limitations to be placed on individual freedoms for the sake of avoiding societal collapse, each of these limitations must be ‘demonstrably justified’ with evidence, rigor and proportionality, while only minimally impairing the rights and freedoms they limit.

Section 2 outlines our most fundamental freedoms, specifically conscience and religion; expression and communication; and association. Most relevant in this context is the freedom of assembly, which has been deemed to extend from the most baseline right of freedom of conscience. Gathering limits constitute a fundamental infringement on association, and limits as low as five people in an outdoor gathering in particular is neither proportionate or demonstrably effective

to curb the spread of disease. Section 7 deals with “life, liberty and security of the person.” It is inarguable that our faces, their expressions, unconstrained verbal and nonverbal communication, constitute a core part of our identity. Many protestors take a fundamental issue with coercive mask mandates, as they should, because these mandates constitute forced medical intervention and thus a direct infringement on bodily autonomy. Additionally, the *Charter* grants individuals “substantive fundamental justice,” which precludes overbroad or disproportionate laws, even if they may be arguably connected to a rational objective. This objectionable disproportionality includes enacting arbitrary gathering limits in ventilated outdoor areas, while permitting big-box stores hundreds of customers at a time.

Section 11 deals with the rights of those charged with an offense, including provincial offenses. Most, if not all charges have been made through summons, stating only that the accused is in violation of either an *Emergency Protection Act* or *Reopening Ontario Act* order. These orders are numerous and constantly changing, so merely informing someone they’ve violated one of potentially dozens of orders is a violation of the right “to be informed without unreasonable delay of the specific offence.”

Section 15 states “Every individual is equal before and under the law and has the right to the equal protection and equal benefit of the law without discrimination.” In the summer of 2019 and in spite of gathering restrictions, many officials and peace officers not only allowed ‘Black Lives Matter’ protests, but actively participated in them — most notably our Prime Minister. This is clear race-based favoritism.

If you have been charged or fined under the *Reopening Ontario Act* or the *Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act*, you can fight it. The sheer number of rights infringed by these measures on protestors, let alone their disproportionate impairment, makes these charges and fines overwhelmingly likely to fail a *Charter* challenge within the courts. You have the right to claim that the laws you are being charged under, are unconstitutional, and file a constitutional challenge. The rules and guidelines to do so can be found at: <https://www.ontariocourts.ca/ocj/self-represented-parties/guide-for-defendants-in-provincial-offences-cases/guide/>.

Poland’s Prime Minister Moves To Make Censorship By Facebook And Other Tech Giants Illegal

Editor’s note: On January 12 Mateusz Morawiecki the Prime Minister of Poland wrote the comments below on his Facebook page: <https://www.facebook.com/MorawieckiPL/posts/431074674903734>

I was born and raised among people for whom freedom was the most precious of values. In Poland we are so attached to freedom because we know what it is like when someone tries to limit it.

For close to 50 years we lived in a country in which censorship was practiced. Big Brother told us how we are meant to live, what we are meant to feel and what we are not allowed to think, say or write. That is why we are so concerned with any attempt to limit freedom.

One of the synonyms of freedom for us Poles has always been the internet. It is the most democratic medium in history, a forum on which everyone can have a voice. It is a tool which gives everyone the opportunity to have an impact, in a way which was unknown to us even a dozen or so years ago.

The freedoms that came with the lack of regulation of the internet had numerous positive aspects. But there are also negative consequences: with time, it became dominated by huge, international corporations, wealthier and more powerful than many nations. These corporations treat our online activity merely as a source of revenue and a tool to increase their global domination. They have also introduced their own standards of political correctness, and they fight those who oppose them.

We are now increasingly faced with practices we believed were left in the past. The censoring of free speech, once the domain of totalitarian and authoritarian regimes, is now back, but in a new form, run by corporations, who seek to silence those who think differently.

Discussion consists in the exchange of views, not in silencing people. We do not have to agree with what our opponents write, but we cannot forbid anyone from expressing views that do not contravene the law.

Everything which is not forbidden is allowed. Also on the internet, there is no tolerance for censorship, nor can there ever be. No tolerance for state censorship, such as the one Poland faced under communism, or the private type, which we are seeing today. Freedom of speech is a cornerstone of democracy - that is why we must defend it. It is not up to algorithms or the owners of huge corporations to decide what opinions are correct and which aren’t.

Poland will always stand at the guard of democratic values, including freedom of speech. The owners of social media networks cannot operate above the law. That is why we will do everything to define the frame of operations of Facebook, Twitter, Instagram and other similar platforms. In Poland we will regulate with appropriate national regulation. We will also suggest similar laws be passed in all of the EU.

Social media platforms have to serve us, and not the interests of their powerful owners. Everyone has the right to freedom of speech. Poland will defend that right.

Report From A Destroyed Tourist Area

By Don Key

I thought I would share some observations from my location in Latin America. We're staying in an area near a popular tourist destination. It's the middle of January as I write this — what would normally be the height of tourist season, with thousands of people from North America and Europe crowding the resorts, filling the restaurants, sunning themselves on the beach...

And now? It's dead. Closed. Disturbingly empty.

The resorts are mostly vacant. On a Friday or Saturday night, in nice restaurants, we might see one other person, or a couple, or a family. Sometimes they're locals. Some people from other parts of the country, nationals, showed up over New Year's Eve and filled up the beaches on one Saturday and a Sunday. And now they're empty. Maybe four or five people every hundred meters.

A few days ago I had to go into town to sort something out with my phone. I wandered into two large tourist malls — places with dozens and dozens of small shops in them. The sorts of places that would

sell souvenirs, day trips and water adventures, massages, jewelry, clothing, and again, empty. 95% of the shops were not only empty but had no furniture, no cash registers, no seats... just barren empty spaces with large "For Rent" signs on the window. Even the public washrooms were locked, (I know, because I needed to use one).

There are some very large restaurants and night-clubs with big signs built to serve a thriving tourism industry. These are empty and won't survive. Bankruptcy is baked into the equation now.

There are taxi stands here and there with cabs sitting idle, their drivers sitting around playing cards. They have no customers. Sometimes I take a cab even when I don't need to, to give them at least one client for the afternoon.

I think about the cleaners, the bellboys, the people who carry luggage, the wait staff in restaurants. And then there are all the secondary services: the electricians, the plumbers, the bus drivers, the gardeners, the car rental services and on and on.

They don't see it yet, not fully. Some still think the good times will return, perhaps soon, or maybe next

year, if they can just hold on. They cooperate with the few expats here, some of whom wear masks and insist the people serving them do so also. They think if they cater to these foreigners and respect their fear of the virus, they'll get through this, not knowing they're cooperating in their own destruction. Some restaurants and businesses have installed expensive sanitation devices that spray some kind of mist, for the customers who never showed up.

Most of these people, perhaps all, will eventually move back in with their families away from the coast, away from the tourism industry that the globalists are ensuring will never return. With complicated rules for testing Americans and others to re-enter their own countries, the era of discount travel and a week or two at a beach resort are gone. Maybe they'll go back to farming, or factory work. Some might get an office job. They probably won't work in a bar or restaurant, as those are slated for destruction also.

So that's one serious consequence, not of any virus but of the response, the needless and worthless lockdown strategies that even the WHO says don't work, but are part of the WEF plan to demolish the middle class, and midwife a two-tier society.

So all of you wearing those masks, and social distancing, and canceling your travel plans, and promoting the discredited theory that a dangerous pandemic is still existent, a year after it started, and only thriving in areas, suspiciously, where symptom-free people line up for PCR tests — this is on you.

Many of you won't realize what you've done until some time in the future when you try to travel somewhere, somewhere down south, to that favourite destination or resort you once enjoyed, only to discover it's gone, and the whole community of people that once served those places have left, and there's no more tourism industry at all. By then it will be too late, and you won't be able to unscramble the omelette. This is your doing. Because you went along with fascism and you had the luxury of working from home while you helped immiserate the rest of the world.

Congratulations.

Why Was I Charged (Again) Yesterday?

Pastor Aaron Rock

In December I was informed by a Windsor Police Services officer that I was being charged for holding church services in contravention of provincial orders. The penalty could be as high as \$100,000 and one year in jail.

Last Sunday (Jan. 10) I went to the riverfront for about twenty minutes to observe a public protest from a distance. Our leadership wanted to observe how it was being conducted, how people were being treated, and what the messaging was without committing to participate. I walked over to the group briefly to greet a couple people I recognized, observed from afar, said goodbye to a friend, and then I left for an appointment. I have multiple witnesses.

Yesterday I received a court summons for my alleged "participation" in this protest based on police surveillance photographs. The potential fines and terms of imprisonment also apply.

I remain supportive of peaceful protests. They are guaranteed under our Charter and provide a means in a democratic society for people to voice their concerns. For this reason, it is noteworthy that the protest I observed took place in the same location where public officials, employed by the City of Windsor, protested last summer. They had full, public participation in those protests, attended by over 1000 people and were in contravention of provincial orders. To my knowledge, no charges have been laid against anyone who attended or

spoke at the summer protests. I applaud the freedom to do so. What I do not applaud is the double standard, whereby officials have immunity and citizens are being surveilled, targeted and fined.

Why is all this happening?

What drives society's desire to stop all dissent, punish people for church services, punish people for saying hello to a couple of protestors, target people who speak out, throw nails in a church parking lot, or send hate-laced messages to a church that challenges the cultural narrative? Why is our community so divided and imbalanced on this issue?

I'll reiterate the essence of what I said earlier this week: Fear produces tunnel vision and in our fixation on stopping this virus we are complicit in destroying people's livelihoods, especially among the poor, in immigrant neighbourhoods, and the small business community. It doesn't matter whether our fear is fear

of death, fear of fines, fear of censorship, fear of family ire, fear of bad exegesis, or fear of culpability - fear drives society's narrative.

Ontario continues to be the most indebted sub-sovereign state anywhere, yet our compliance is saddling our children with unbearable debt for generations to come. We feel physically safe hunkered down in homes many will soon forfeit, while overlooking the numerous other ways people are dying. We are contributing to mental breakdowns, suicides, pornography and substance addictions, global starvation, and injustice, but in our terror, compliance, or obsession with self-preservation, we look the other way. We shame, condemn, fine, and threaten to imprison the prophets and protesters of our age because we believe the myth of a benevolent, informed ruler who will rescue us from harm, even as his minions double cross us with their hypocrisy.

Why has it come to this?

Because an over-trusting citizenry, forgetful of history, economics, law, good governance and divine revelation, has bowed down to the cult of the progressive messianic technocrat. We have not yet learned that in a democracy you get what you ask for and you don't get what you don't ask for (and if you ask for absolute safety you get absolute stupidity). This is politics folks! So long as you live in fear or compliance, or assume Big Brother will save you, you're signalling to our branchless government that you're willing to be herded down this reckless path of destruction.

We spend billions of dollars per year on healthcare which is more than enough to protect the vulnerable and reduce deaths. Instead we lockdown the healthy resulting in spiritual, social and economic sickness; we offer a cure that is worse than the disease. This isn't about loving your neighbour or submitting to a governor who now chooses to rule us while chastising us for the ineffectuality of his decrees. This is what happens when we lose peripheral vision, fail to look down the road, or pause to seriously consider why we are all being pushed into our homes to stay safe. If we continue to stay home to stay safe we will emerge one day to find we have lost both: our homes and our safety.

It's time to reinstate proper government and adopt a more balanced approach. May God help us.

Aaron Rock is the Lead Pastor of Bible Harvest Church in Windsor, Ontario. www.HarvestWindsor.ca



Pastor Aaron Rock of Harvest Bible Church in Windsor Ontario.

Websites Of Interest

Please note, these websites are provided as sources of alternative information. Druthers does not necessarily agree with all material found on these sites. Please use your own discretion, yet keep an open mind. Explore and analyze information and evidence with us. You can visit druthers.net to get in touch.

- vaccinechoicecanada.com
- worlddoctorsalliance.com
- thehighwire.com
- corbettreport.com
- pressfortruth.ca
- collective-evolution.com
- rebelnews.com
- randyhilliermpp.com
- withpierre.ca
- hugsovermasks.ca
- weareallessential.ca
- stand4thee.com
- awarriorcalls.com
- gbdeclaration.org
- amazingpolly.net
- swprs.org
- peakprosperity.com
- americasfrontlinedoctors.com
- nomorefakenews.com
- evidencenotfear.com
- projectveritas.com
- computingforever.com
- activistpost.com
- lauralynn.tv
- standupcanada.solutions
- freedomforumcanada.com

I, ROBOT

Understanding The Coming Cyborg Avatar Capitalism

By Guy Crittenden

While researching what World Economic Forum (WEF) Founder Klaus Schwab calls the “Fourth Industrial Revolution” (4IR) and the related “Internet of Things” (IoT) that are central to the current massive restructuring of the world economy, otherwise known as the Great Reset, I stumbled upon a very interesting policy paper from Professor Inami Masahiko of the University of Tokyo’s Research Center for Advanced Science and Technology. Prof. Masahiko presented on behalf of Working Group 1 of the Moonshot Research & Development Program’s 2019 International Symposium.

Moonshot is one of several international organizations tasked with envisioning the technological future of mankind and devising implementation strategies. This is done at the behest of globalists who meet behind closed doors at regular gatherings of elite organizations such as the WEF, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the Bilderberg group, Davos, and any number of United Nations agencies such as the WHO, the IMF and the World Bank, many of which share connections to the Rockefeller family.

Masahiko’s paper addresses one of many sub-themes the Moonshot organization is working on — in this case, “Freedom from body limitations.” You can read the paper yourself here:

https://www.jst.go.jp/moonshot/sympo/sympo2019/report/initiative-report_wg1.pdf

Let’s consider the positive potential of the Working Group 1 ideas, and then their potentially nefarious side; these are important concepts about which the public is largely unaware, but with which they must engage soon as our entire way of life is in the process of being upended (as you may have noticed recently).

The paper advances an emerging concept, named Cyborg Avatar Capitalism. Yes, you read that correctly, and no, this is not science fiction.

Cyborg Avatar Capitalism is an economic and social system in which human beings will perform work and engage in human services and recreational activities via robots and other devices connected to their bodies and minds using advanced technologies such as mechanical exoskeletons and brain-computer interfaces.


It will soon be possible to connect an ordinary person, or an elderly or disabled person, to various devices or costumes fitted with sensors, to perform tasks that vastly exceed their normal mental or physical capacity. A person wearing, for instance, a robotic arm, could pick up and move heavy objects. Via an internet connection, a surgeon would perform a complex surgery in a remote African village from an office in New York. Teachers and doctors and other professionals will conduct business remotely, with their students or patients or customers never having to leave home. Some of these technologies have already been deployed.

The vision outlined by Masahiko goes further. Against the background of an aging and economically stagnant Japan, he describes “Society 5.0” — in which economic activity is made more “democratic” by expanding it to include the elderly and infirm via technology; everyone can acquire “superhuman powers” to know things and be able to perform tasks through “avatars” that transcend their normal human capabilities.

This serves the Transhumanist agenda, in which avatars are central. And what is an “avatar,” exactly? There are two ways to think of it. The first is the kind of avatar we see in video games — you know, the character through whose eyes and ears you experience slaying a dragon or downing a World War Two aircraft, or jacking a car. The 4IR will invent and expand virtual worlds in which people, wearing VR headsets, will work and play in imaginary gamified scenarios, earning tokens and energy credits while suppos-

edly “having fun.” It may not be “real” but it can still be immensely profitable (for someone), in much the same way as the Las Vegas Strip.

The second kind of avatar will be actual soft- or hard-skinned robots, controlled remotely by people’s hand gestures, eye movements and other controls (and ultimately through thought itself). The technology for this is dramatically more advanced than most people realize. Journal articles can be found in which materials scientists discuss breakthroughs in injectable nanoparticle devices, or carbon-based skins and exoskeletons that could build an immortal (or close to immortal) human. Masahiko’s paper attaches dates for the imminent achievement of complex robot hands that mimic and even exceed the dexterity of a person, along with soft synthetic skins with as many (digital) nerve endings as a human being.



It appears the Netflix series *Westworld* is being midwived before our eyes.

In Society 5.0, humans 2.0 will divide their time between all manner of tasks around the globe; technology eliminates the constraints of space and time. In Masahiko’s vision, a person in Japan might operate a fleet of farm robots harvesting a crop on the other side of the world for an hour or two, then sit through a board meeting in Africa, then enjoy some playtime in a virtual world. They might put on some exoskeleton gear and sink a basketball from 20 meters, or offload a planeload of luggage in 20 minutes by themselves.

The positive side of this is fairly obvious, and the title of the paper presents these ideas in the most (cloyingly) positive tone: “Expanding human potential toward a society in which everyone can pursue their dreams.” And sure, we get it: AI and VR and robotic technology can help make weak limbs perform like strong ones; it can allow people to learn things quickly, and perform tasks remotely (even very remotely). Would anyone object to a device that steadies the hand of a brain surgeon? Or saves the back of a baggage handler? It’s doubtful.

But Masahiko’s paper and the ideas presented at the Moonshot symposium have a potentially dark side, and are ripe for abuse if the public doesn’t get informed and get involved. The paper’s very first sentence contains a highly debatable premise, that, “The progress of our civilization is the progress of tools; be it technology or social framework.”

I can think of many alternative metrics to assess social progress other than “tools.” Should we trust the implementation of these novel schemes to the very capital corporations and central bankers currently burning down the middle class in every country and eliminating small-scale competitors of Big Agriculture, Big Pharma, Big Oil, Big Finance and (especially) Big Data. Respected American investigative journalist Whitney Webb already used a *Freedom of Information Act* (FOIA) request to discover US intelligence agencies explicitly and approvingly discussing how to implement an AI-controlled technofascist system in America with Silicon Valley execu-

tives.

We must ask: Who will decide which technologies will be implemented, and how? Will the process be democratic, and will schemes be vetted to assess their true positive potential? And will the ideas be presented honestly to the public?

Researchers like Cory Morningstar and Alison McDowell have discovered that many schemes presented as eco-friendly or socially beneficial are in fact Trojan horses for corporate megaprojects that kick back super-profits to plutocrat investors. Plans to rapidly depopulate the planet are openly discussed by corporate elites, all gussied up in the feel good Davos-speak of sustainability and racial or gender equality. (Just what you’d tell the people you hope to enslave).

Masahiko’s paper suggests the technology of the 4IR will increase people’s productivity, and assumes this will translate into extended leisure for them. Yet the technology could just as easily be used to work people even harder to generate super-profits for a leisure class, as happened in each previous industrial revolution and their “robber barons.” Or people (like auto workers of late) might simply lose their jobs to machines, and become wards of the state, closely surveilled and tightly controlled in a system of social credit scores, vaccine passports, and time-dated digital welfare payments.

The robot army might initially be presented as soft-skinned, responsive personal care attendants, or even sex robots. Yet mechanized soldiers and riot control police will be just around the corner; we’ve all seen that creepy robot dog from Boston Dynamics whose destructive potential was well represented in an episode of the aptly-named British TV series *Black Mirror*. With recent heavy-

handed police crackdowns on free speech and peaceful demonstrations in Canada and other countries (notably Australia), and the coercive rollout of inadequately tested experimental mRNA vaccines, it would be naive to assume the coming technology will be for everyone’s benefit. We can expect drones and robot dogs and cyborgs like in the film *Robocop*. And if we look carefully at, say, Microsoft’s biometrics technology patent — the one with the creepy 060606 in the title — a chilling picture emerges of people fitted with devices performing drudge work while being mined like Bitcoin.

And once the AI advances to where machines start building other machines (and continuously improve themselves), how long will the oligarchic class bother keeping people around *at all*? The Georgia Guidestones talk ominously of an eventual stable human population of just 500 million people. Some say about one billion people will be needed to code the technologies of the 4IR. What will be their fate when their usefulness has been transcended?

The leaders of the WEF and agencies like the WHO have already imposed draconian measures on countries, despite having not been elected by the citizens of those countries, and their not being accountable to them. Their willingness to falsify data to advance corporate interests is well documented. We’re risking our future not only as countries, but as a species if we fail to carefully examine, then challenge, innovations promoted by the global capitalists. A techno-fascist New World Order is being imposed, with increasingly powerful tools that could serve humanity properly, if only we’d assert our rights.

As Morpheus says in the film *The Matrix*, “I’m trying to free your mind, Neo. But I can only show you the door. You’re the one that has to walk through it.”

You’ve been shown.

Guy Crittenden is a freelance writer and author of the award-winning book The Year of Drinking Magic: Twelve Ceremonies with the Vine of Souls (Apocryphile Press, San Francisco). Follow Guy at HipGnosis.co

Druthers Interview: Canadian Parents Turned Activists, Why?

Norbert and Odessa are 2 regular Canadians, parents and entrepreneurs who have become very concerned with current global events as well as Canadian politics and have recently opened up Canada’s own social network for freedom loving Canadians, Librti.com.

Like so many millions of Canadians across the country, they have had enough of the political and corporate corruption in this country and the world and are doing something about it. We had a chance to learn a little more about what they’re doing and why they believe it is so important.

Druthers: As a mother and a small business owner, what inspired you to start your own YouTube channel discussing current events and politics?

Odessa: Prior to opening Librti and starting my YouTube show, I had started to research the vaccine/pharmaceutical industry for years. When I had my first baby a very good doctor friend of mine warned me about vaccines. He had never brought the subject up prior to my son’s birth and we were good friends. He said that he believed they were linked to many neurological and physical ailments. His wife encouraged me to do the research and pleaded with me not to give my newborn the heavy load of vaccines the medical system can be known to bully parents into. I have great gratitude to them for that conversation. The research I did turned into a very sad awakening for me about the cover-ups, lawsuits, and lies of both pharma and the media. I have met great people throughout this process with a lot of knowledge about what has been going on behind the scenes and just how corrupt our medical institutions have become.

When the Covid narrative arrived, Trudeau made a public statement that he may “mandate” the rushed vaccine. That moment I went from just a mom of 2 incredible, healthy boys and a small business owner to an outspoken activist. I had never been an activist until that point. I had never been to a protest in my life but every cell in my body told me to head downtown with a sign, join the others and make my voice known. Every move I have made since then, has been to try to save my children’s health as well as the health of other Canadian children.

I started my own YouTube channel “Liberty Talk Canada” feeling that I was in a race to get the truth out. Norbert realized the quick success of my show and started seeing the gross amount of censorship happening to whistleblower doctors, scientists, lawyers, some politician’s and ex-pharma staff. The censorship started with vaccine information and then once Covid rolled out it moved to censoring a variety of topics that independent citizen journalists were covering on their shows. My first YouTube channel was removed from YouTube around the same time 4 or 5 other Canadian journalists channels were removed. They were literally censoring documents we were showing from government websites and scientific articles. The more credible the guest the bigger the chance your episode would be taken down by YouTube. They wiped out my 18,500 subscribers




Norbert and Odessa Orlewicz founders of www.Librti.com

I had after only airing for a few months. My episodes ranged from 10,000-120,000 views and then I woke up one day and my channel was gone. Facebook is following the same playbook now too. I have a new channel on YouTube that I’m starting all over again and being forced to move to other platforms like BitChute and now our new platform Librti.com

Druthers: Norbert, what led you to building and launching a brand new social network for Canadians?

Norbert: For me, I would say Odessa was the spark that lit my fire and forced me to action. I saw how angry and afraid she was when she learned that there was talk that the government here in Canada could potentially force an experimental vaccine into her body and the bodies of her children.

As an immigrant from a communist country I grew up being told stories by my parents of this kind of terror in Communist regimes, under Stalin, under Mao,



Mussolini and in Poland where I was born. My father and mother pulled us out of Poland took us away from everything that they had, everyone they knew and turned their life upside down to leave that country, to give us a better life here in Canada.

And now here I am 40 years later, witnessing my wife fear her government. This is not Canada anymore. This is not the country my parents immigrated to.

What we must accept is that we cannot trust our institutions any longer, as they have been corrupted by career politicians and global corporate interests. We all know this. It’s not up for debate. We have simply tolerated it for far too long, and we are now living through the consequences.

I always believed that I live in a free country. But in a free country, we must have freedom of information. And sadly, that’s not the case anymore. We can’t have freedom of information, freedom of discussion and debate if you censor opposing ideas, opinions and analysis that don’t support your narrative. That’s not freedom, and we cannot allow that. It is a very dangerous path.

As cynical as I am, I do believe in the best of humanity. And I do believe that if we were given the right information, the people of this country and of this world would be making very different decisions. Unfortunately, a very effective psychological marketing campaign has been put upon us.

As a marketing expert and trainer, it’s easy for me to see how this campaign was constructed and how it’s being executed on a daily basis. We really are in a battle of information and ideas. I also know how powerful the Internet can be in levelling the playing field and allowing regular people to have a voice! That is the inspiration behind Librti.com. We saw how many people at the beginning of this “crisis” were feeling isolated and alone, losing family members and friends. So many Canadians like Odessa feeling enraged, sad and frightened at the tyrannical changes taking place in our country.

And that’s where the idea of Librti.com was born. An online community to connect freedom loving Canadians across this country, regardless of political beliefs, regardless of political association, religion, race, creed, class. It doesn’t matter. If you believe in freedom, truth, compassion and the principle of non-aggression and you want to connect with others of like-mind, then Librti.com is the community for you.

Our goal is to provide Canadians with a secure platform to connect, share, discuss, and collaborate freely and openly without censorship and without big tech surveillance.

There are millions of Canadians that have been complacent but are now fed up with all of the lies and deceit and corruption rampant in ALL parties of government. We have remained silent through it all. But now that the government is forcing themselves into our homes, invading our families and threatening to detain us and inject poisons into our bodies, they have gone too far.

As fathers and mothers and parents and grandparents who care about the future for our children, we do not consent. And we will stand up, united, for our liberty.

ACTION4CANADA

PROTECT YOUR CHARTER RIGHTS & FREEDOMS

Support the legal action against the BC and Federal Gov't in response to their extreme and harmful emergency orders.

Email: callto@action4canada.com
Action4Canada.com/legal-action
Action4Canada.com/donate

DID YOU KNOW...

- The State of Emergency declared in March 2020 did not meet the definition nor the criteria and has yet to qualify to this day.
- Forcing businesses to comply with government mandates violates our Charter of Rights & Freedoms.
- Legal actions are underway against all levels of government across Canada, led by top constitutional lawyer Rocco Galati, The Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms and others.
- Rebel News will provide media coverage and free legal assistance to support businesses re-opening.

JOIN OUR SMALL BUSINESS NETWORK

TO ATTEND OUR NEXT INFORMATION SESSION
email us at WAAE2021@gmail.com

Gather with other small business owners in your industry. Learn your rights and be fully empowered with the support of our rapidly expanding Canada-wide network.

WeAreAll Essential.ca

www.WeAreAllEssential.ca

ICU Nurse Quits Due To COVID-19 Measures

By Lilly Lesperance

I was a Registered Nurse in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) for well over 20 years at a large community hospital in Ontario. I retired from there in the summer of 2020 and I also recently resigned my Nursing Registration.


From the beginning months of 2020 when COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2, is the scientific name) was starting to become a pandemic the hospital started to go into “high gear” so to speak. There were extra “huddles” at the Nursing station to update staff and allow for Q&A. Frequent mini in-services to reinforce proper donning and doffing of PPE (Personal Protective Equipment) and the reorganization of the ICU patients began.

There were three ICU POD’s set up in the beginning. Sounds like the Bible, doesn’t it? One Pod of 12 beds were dedicated to COVID positive patients, another 12 beds were dedicated to COVID potentials (awaiting swab results) and a third 12 bed POD was dedicated for mainstream ICU patients. This third POD was deemed to be the “clean” unit.

This ICU also has a Step Down Unit created as an extension of the ICU (staffed by ICU Nurses) for the patients who are either still too sick for the regular wards or not quite sick enough to warrant an ICU bed. This particular Step Down Unit is located in an area of the hospital that was to only be a temporary location (since 2011), where there are no windows, no televisions, rarely a clock that worked and curtains to separate each bed thereby defining a patient room.

Hence, the exclusion criteria for this unit included; no patients in isolation, no one on a ventilator or no one with a tracheostomy were to be admitted to this unit. As with most ICU’s we have chronic patient’s. A chronic patient has been in the ICU for greater than 3 months and they normally have a tracheostomy, gastric feeding tube, were often restrained because they’re no longer on medication to make them sleep and spent their day trying to pull out said, trach, feeding tube or IV lines and required total care, ventilator support or a high % of oxygen. These patients were in isolation for any number of reasons ie: ESBL, M.R.S.A or the newest one, courtesy of India, I might add, CPE. CPE is a bad one because all

of our antibiotics are useless against it. Since the arrival of COVID a corporate decision was made, as though no more rules existed, and all the chronic patients were transferred to the Step Down unit. We had five chronic patients at the time, yes I said ‘had’ because within 2 to 3 weeks all five of the said chronic patients passed away...ALONE! The one rule they did enforce was the



no visiting rule. So, these people, who had families with them EVERYDAY before COVID, passed away, alone. Additionally, within weeks of reorganizing each Pod we noticed that COVID patients were being admitted to all 3 of the Pods. Now they were mixed in with truly Non-COVID patients! What??

If COVID is so deadly then why were the COVID patients being admitted to the same PODs as non-COVID patients? Why did these inconsistencies happen?

We certainly did not receive the volume of admissions as initially anticipated. In fact during my last few weeks of working, prior to my retirement, I was asked on multiple occasions if I wanted to take a vacation day, as there was either a low census (few patients) and or they were over staffed. Did the chronic patients even need to be moved?

On occasion I witnessed the physician’s talking

about the inaccuracies of the PCR test and how frequently they were resulting in false positives and they shouldn’t even be used for diagnosing. There were conversations amongst the physicians about when a patient is 60 years of age or older and a decision had to be made as to who gets the ventilator, depending, of course, on the patients’ comorbidities.

This hospital also made a decision to install a camera in each ICU room. All the camera’s were positioned on the wall opposite the patient as though the doctor would be standing at the foot of the bed. It was understood that this was done so that the physicians would not need to go into the patient’s’ rooms to minimize any exposure to COVID. These camera’s fed to two central monitors located in the ICU education room. This room was not always locked as I learned one day when I walked right in, with no one around. I saw the monitors set up at the back of the room on a table and walked over to clearly see some of the patients visible on these monitors.

This is where the doctor’s would go to view all the patients. However, most of us nurses would cover these camera’s up with either a glove or a piece of paper, taped over the lens. We were all disgusted with the decision of the corporation to not only think of installing these cameras but to have actually implemented such an invasion of privacy. And this in the face of strict corporate policies outlining in detail, their zero tolerance for breaches of privacy. These breaches could elicit possible termination. In spite of management’s continued insistence that the camera’s remain uncovered, we nurses continued to cover up the cameras as soon as the manager would walk away, usually night shift.

With the continued non-compliance and complaints of the nurses about the cameras, I recently learned that all the cameras have since been disconnected. One small victory for nursing!

Hooray for the Nurses who took an ethical stance against the doctors and management.

Another strange occurrence started when all the I.V. pumps used on the patients became managed by the nurses outside of the patients’ rooms! Huh? They were all in the hallway as opposed to by the bedside. To allow for such positioning of the I.V. pumps, the I.V. tubing used is extra long and was designed for the purposes of a patient M.R.I. scan. Regular IV tubing interfered with the imaging. The rationale for the I.V. pumps to be managed in the hallway, outside of the patient’s room, was to minimize the amount of times the nurse would need to go into the COVID patients’ room to either address an alarm on the pump or to change an I.V. bag(s).

There are Standards of Practice all Nurses are to maintain as set out by Registered Nurses Association of Ontario (R.N.A.O.). As observed, there are some standards that are not being met, such as turning a patient every 2 hours. Why not? Due to the reduced amount of times the healthcare staff were required to go into the rooms to provide care of the COVID patient. We were cautioned not to go in unless we absolutely had to, maybe 4 times a shift. Some of the consequences of reduced care can include physical symptoms such as pneumonia and skin breakdown but what about the lack of human contact, the reassurance, caring, touching?

In December, a shipment of COVID 19 vaccines arrived at the hospital’s Pharmacy Department. The pharmacists are not even allowed to examine these vaccines. This Pharmacy department is now under 24/7 Security Guard watch. An additional 15 to 20 security cameras were installed in and around the Pharmacy department for this vaccine, in addition to the ones that were already there when this hospital opened in 2007. Why does there need to be a 24/7 security presence? Why were there so many additional cameras installed? Why are the Pharmacists not allowed to examine the vaccines?

There are a number of reasons for me to retire but one of them was that I could no longer morally be a part of a healthcare system that condones less than adequate standards of nursing care to continue. I loved being a Nurse. I love helping people. Despite being retired I will always help people by speaking the truth....the facts ma’am nothing but the facts!

Lilly Lesperance is a retired Ontario ICU Nurse

Manitoba Government Gyms Are Open While Public Gyms Are Forced To Close

By Michael Krisa

The other day I was surfing through Facebook posts and had the misfortune of watching a video that to me was the epitome of arrogance. This video featured Manitoba’s top public health official, Dr. Brent Roussin, being asked questions during a press conference. His response made me clamp down hard to avoid vomiting in my own mouth, in fact I had to play the video several times to be sure I actually heard what I thought I heard!

He was asked if the City of Winnipeg had permission from the province to operate its gyms; which are exclusively for employees?

A couple of things to keep in mind 1) The entire city of Winnipeg city was in Level Red lockdown 2) These are not gyms that you, me or any member of the public would have access to, you know the kind for which you would pay a membership. These were specifically for Winnipeg government employees.

Now before I share his response, I suggest you loosen your collar, be comfortably seated and remove any loose objects from reach because like me, your blood pressure will skyrocket and you will want to throw stuff ... seriously, put your pets outside!

Here is Dr. Brent Roussin’s response to that question: “Public health orders don’t apply to any levels of government ... they weren’t given an exemption outside of the order, the order itself doesn’t apply to governments.”

What Manitoba’s top public health official basically said is that we; the city of Winnipeg elected officials, employees and staff are outside of these mandates, they don’t apply to us and we can do whatever we wish ... but ... you members of the general public can’t!

So while you’re locked inside your home and your local gym is on the verge of bankruptcy because it has

been mandated to close, these flaccid, self-entitled bureaucrats get to do whatever they wish!

Let that sink in a little ... they can do whatever they wish BUT You can’t.

Okay I know what you’re thinking and yes, it was asked as a follow up question by the *Global News* reporter: “So why can’t small apartments, condos or family gyms operate following the same guidelines used by the city?”

The response from Dr. Brent Roussin was something out of the *Twilight Zone* and completely contradicted his answer to the first question: “We expect governments to be able to regulate ... and we’re not going to tell governments how they function.”

So let’s see if I’m understanding this ... the Health Department, which is a function of the municipal government, issues a mandate but the city employees are exempt because mandates don’t apply to the government ... BUT ... when it comes to the opening of businesses, like gyms for instance, that’s up to the government to regulate because we can’t tell the government what to do ... even though we are the government issuing the mandates.

Is it any wonder that people are getting fed up with endless lockdowns, restrictions, and senseless mandates when the government that is supposed to be leading us out of this mess, is the same government that appears to be in it for itself?

Mark Twain said it best ... “There’s a fine line between Confidence and Arrogance ... It’s called Humility. Confidence smiles. Arrogance smirks.”

Despite all the media rhetoric and government platitudes ... we are NOT all in this together!

BTW here is the link to that video: <https://youtu.be/yu4bHBYzMEQ>
Email: DruthersReporter@gmail.com

The Road To Insect Patties Is Blockchain

By Bantam Joe

In preparation for an offensive siege on a city, an attacking army will prepare thoroughly. It will plan in advance many steps required to bring the opposing force’s knees to the ground. All roads leading to the city are blocked. No one can enter or leave the city. The water supply is cut off. Food, goods, medical supplies and services cannot reach the citizens of the city. All power gets shut down. Major communication systems are disabled. Life for the citizens is intolerable and in time they eventually capitulate and surrender.

Now imagine a world controlled by a small group of people with the extreme power to control the flow of goods, services and other resources around the world. They have the ability to dictate to governments around the world to impose certain health mandates. They have the power to require passes for travel. To have people locked down in their homes. They have the power to tell retailers to not honor cash nor entry into their premises if patrons are not meeting “recommended” mandates. This small group of people control the networks of commerce.

Now imagine that banks throughout the world have completely transitioned to central bank digital currencies (CBDC). They issue credit (tokens) to the citizens of their respective nations. This CBDC is a smart contract (or smart currency) based on distributed autonomous organizational (DAO) blockchains. If you are a good citizen with a good social credit score and follow rules, you are allowed to purchase goods and services with your newly minted digital CBDC currency.

Now imagine, you are a rebel, a freedom fighter, and have opted to not use the authoritarian currency CBDC. Instead, you have chosen to live life off the

grid. You have purchased land and have invested in an array of assets such as gold, silver and cryptocurrency. Realizing that gold and silver are not easy mediums to do everyday transactions with, you have mostly invested in crypto. You are absolutely sure that your crypto is free from government interference. You have made a fortune from it and are doing the things others cannot, buy goods and services peer-to-peer. Things look good until unforeseen disaster strikes!

Your authoritarian adversaries have been preparing for you as well. They are the age-old experts of finance, psychology and warfare. And you have not been overlooked.

They have decided to lay a precise and calculated siege on you, like they have done to cities for thousands of years. They take control of all lanes, seas and roads to goods and services and place them on the blockchain. Nothing can be bought or sold unless from the supply chain they control and own, which is now all blockchain based.

They have sent out notices to all vendors to reprogram their automated vending machines to only accept CBDC currency. Effectively cutting you off from buying goods and other resources.

They have gained control of the crypto exchanges by granting them bank status, but with an understanding that they must honor state regulations and mandates. Failure to honor the mandates can be a steep fine or forfeiture of license. So, the crypto exchanges follow the mandates of delisting certain coins and refusing to convert crypto currencies from one type to another or to CBDC. So if you have amassed a wealth of money with your crypto and try to convert it to CBDC, it will be rejected, as outlined by automated smart-contract DAO algorithms.

Mandates from non-governmental institutions will “ask” that business and home owners as well as rent-

ers require all business be performed with CBDC only. Power and utility companies will only honor CBDC. Cloud Servers will only transact with CBDC. Failure to do so will be rewarded with a punishment and low ESG (Environmental Social Governance) credit score.

Immediately people panic and try to sell their cryptos, and there are no buyers. No liquidity. The public cryptocurrency market panics and a melt down begins. Before the month is over, the entire independent public crypto market has collapsed, leaving people with crypto “penniless”.

No mining servers needed to be hijacked. No 51% server attack necessary. No hacking of wallets required. No hacking of hashes on the blockchain required. No nefarious forks of the chain needed. No bribing of miners. No EMPing of servers. No destruction of any equipment.

All that was needed was to lay down a siege and you block all pathways to goods. Tell ALL private-public partnerships and institutions to act in unison, and march in alignment and follow through on mandates as outlined from a class of development goals from higher up.

Over time, all transportation, agriculture, finance, tech, water resources, medicine, production lines, etc. became owned and controlled by public-private institutions. This small group was then able to lay siege on any organization or movement it deemed threatening, including the rebel crypto community by controlling the roadways to life!

With AI, surveillance, facial recognition, sound recognition, gait recognition, automated vehicles (smart cars), automated drone home delivery systems, automated vending machines, biotech surveillance, etc., there was no way to hide and avoid transactions with CBDC.

With no faith in independent public crypto, people capitulated and surrendered to CBDC and began to eat again. It was not a hard transition because the crypto supporters had already helped support and create the infrastructure needed for the technocratic world. Albeit their rations now consisted of delicious artificially flavored GMO manufactured insect patties. Full of so much protein!

The moral of the story is “the road to insect patties is blockchain”.

POST EDIT:

I was a soldier at war. I saw this first hand against the Iraqis. We laid siege on the Iraqi soldiers for months. Day and night. We denied them food, water, energy, travel, communication, medical aid, finance, equipment, reinforcements, and more. When we finally rolled in, they folded like tin foil. Keep in mind, that the same people that control money, banks, corporations, are the same people that waged war.

The reason I bring this all up is because I see how preparation is being made in the same way. Logistical planning always comes first. Plan on seizing roadways, communication, supply chains, energy, etc. Then when all is in place, execute the plan of attack. I find the same is happening with cryptocurrency and blockchains around the world. The big institutions are taking control of the points of attack and vulnerability. Such as the crypto exchanges, placing all assets under the control of an AI blockchain supply chain, and pooling all crypto mining hardware into mega mining pools on cloud servers. I see a strategic maneuver, knowing how these men of war plan attacks.

I may be wrong. But I don’t think I am. I see the same pattern being put into place as would be done for any engagement. Seize pathways to goods, block them off and then attack until capitulation.

I hope all of you understand that I bring this up not to instill fear, but only to help you be more aware of a potential danger and to become smarter and more proactive. If you are a crypto trader, do not be afraid to un-HODL (*a term derived from a misspelling of “hold” that refers to buy-and-hold strategies in the context of bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies*). A minute too long might be too late. Remember, if the miners see panic and no profit to be made, then the transactions stop and the entire crypto network collapses. Keep that in mind!

Anyway, thank you all for taking the time to read this. I have greatly appreciated all of your input, posts, comments and feedback. Stay strong and CREATE life giving outcomes!

A Healthy Immune System Is The Best Defense

SPONSORED

We need to start looking more closely at our personal health and nutrition. The focus of mainstream media in regards to public health centres solely on pharmaceuticals, medical interventions and vaccines, while very few are presenting information about vitamins and minerals and their relationship to our individual immune systems. Most people are living extremely unhealthy lifestyles that suppress the body’s natural defences. When we neglect nature the body naturally goes into a state of stress, resulting in underlying illness and fatigue. Considering the poor quality of the current typical Canadian diet, eating habits are borderline dangerous.

At a certain point it can no longer be considered “cool” to indulge in unhealthy foods, alcohol, recreational drugs and smoking. It’s time to get real. When the body is properly nourished it naturally repairs cellular damage, builds a strong immune system and strengthens overall vitality. Many have empowered themselves by changing their lifestyles. Choosing organic is becoming increasingly important as the dangers of GMO’s, pesticides and traditional agricultural practices are exposed. The long-term damage to the gut microbiome due to overuse of pesticides is clear, nutrition malabsorption and the rising rates of obesity, food allergies, digestive issues and more.

An article was published by CTV news on Jan. 12 by Alexandra Mae Jones that stated; “A new review

finds that more serious cases of COVID-19 tend to have gastrointestinal symptoms. An altered gut microbiome could allow the virus to spread to more organs faster.”

Science has proven that poor eating habits can damage the gut flora. If a stronger connection between gut health and severe cases of the virus is proven in further research, it could open up new opportunities for disease prevention.

Bottom line: The better our health the better quality of life we can experience, for ourselves and those around us. No drug is more powerful than a truly healthy immune system. This is why it is so important to eat properly and balance sleep and exercise regularly. There are proven ways to boost immunity and increase the body’s ability to fight infection and prevent illness. Gut health and proper baseline nutrition is the number one place to start.

What have you done for your immune system this year? You are invited to join a community that has committed to improving gut health in 2021 by introducing Biome Medic and organic superfoods into people’s daily routines. By choosing to align with Purium, you are supporting highly ethical farming practices and you will receive incredibly high quality products.

Everything Purium offers is organic, heavy metal tested, non-GMO and glyphosate free. They have even made a commitment to going plastic free by the end of 2021 for the health of our planet! Partnering with organic farms for over 25 years, Purium offers over 70 incredible products with fully recognizable ingredients.

We are inviting you to join us in choosing organic, high-vibration superfoods while simultaneously helping fund this newspaper: Use coupon code “druthers” at the checkout at [www.ishoppurium.com] to save \$50 off your first purchase of \$75 +, or \$100 off the Ultimate Lifestyle Transformation Kit. [Link applies the coupon automatically: [druthers.net/purium](https://www.druthers.net/purium)] For further information and inquiries please contact **Vanessa: purium@druthers.net**



“It is no measure of health to be well adjusted to a profoundly sick society” - J. Krishnamurti

Covid Schooling Is Not Homeschooling

By Maggie Shannon

Most parents, students and teachers will agree that before COVID the public system was failing children on many levels. Let’s not forget that right before the schools shut down the government was pushing for online learning for children. Parents, teachers and students all pushed back yet look what happened; the children are all home learning online. Funny how that worked out isn’t it?

COVID schooling is taking its toll on the family unit. Parents who were accustomed to sending their child off to school 5 days a week are now trapped home all day with their child. Not only are they caring for their child but also trying to navigate the new learning system while either working remotely from home or losing their job all together. This puts a tremendous stress on the family unit. It comes as no surprise that reports of child abuse, divorce, overdoses and suicides are skyrocketing. How can a parent focus on supporting their child’s learning when they are worried about not having enough money to feed their child or that their marriage is falling apart? How are single parents supposed to juggle it all? What about the parents who were just hanging on by a thread financially or emotionally before the schools and province shut down? How are they supposed to cope with a child who is trapped home like a prisoner with no outside support?

Parents of multiple children are finding it particularly challenging to meet everyone’s needs at the same time. Making sure each child is online ready to learn according to the teachers schedule which in some cases changes daily is impossible to do. Families who are essential workers had to scramble to find suitable childcare during a time where most people are terrified of COVID. Many children who were thrown into emergency childcare cannot meet with their teachers or classmates at all. Rural parents have the additional challenges of sub par internet service that results in glitchy connections making it difficult to engage and follow along with the lessons.

Children, the future of this country, are also suffering from the government’s decision to close the schools and shut down the province. Regardless of being public schooled or homeschooled, children need to be around other children. “Online schooling is difficult. There is so much work, so little time, and it’s hard to ask for help. When I think of school, I think about hanging out with my friends. That was the only thing I looked forward to and now I can’t even do that” Grade nine student Ely Wright. Thanks to the lockdown and constant fear mongering, children at disturbingly high numbers are suffering from anxiety and depression. Calls to mental health hotlines are at an all time high.

It is beyond ridiculous to expect children of any age to sit in front of a screen 5 days a week. Before online learning there were studies, reports and many professionals stating that children were already spending far too much time in front of a screen. A study from Psychological Today concluded that anything more than one hour a day screen time for children was detrimental on many levels. “Generally, the study showed that more hours of screen time were associated with less curiosity, more difficulty with friendships, more distractibility, less emotional steadiness, and less ability to complete tasks”. Children are built preprogrammed to move, explore with their hands and all their senses. Children should not be trapped in a 2-dimensional environment. It goes against basic nature, common sense and all logic. Staring at a screen day in and day out is terrible for developing brains and mental health. It also causes eye strain, fatigue and bad posture.

Ask any child, would they rather watch someone online bake cookies or would they like to go into the kitchen and bake cookies? Most children would choose working in the kitchen. The real-life activity of baking means using reading and math skills to prepare the recipe, gross and fine motor skills to measure the ingredients and hand eye coordination of dumping

ingredients in the bowl. The sensory experience children receive includes mixing the dough, hearing the sound of an egg cracking, using their hands to form the dough into cookies, smelling the cookies while they are cooking and the best part: tasting them when they are finished. That is a child living in a 3-dimensional world, experiencing it with all their senses and retaining what they have learned. No online program can compare with in person learning. That child will remember baking cookies and the lessons they learned faster and with more joy than watching it happen on the computer.

Many parents have stated since the COVID schooling they knew for sure that they could never homeschool their child. Ask any homeschool parent and they will tell you they would never homeschool their child in the way that COVID schooling works. COVID



schooling is absurd!

There are still a lot of misconceptions around homeschooling. One is that homeschooled children are sheltered from the “real world” or live in a bubble which is simply not true. Another common concern is that because homeschooled children are not forced into a room with 30 of their peers who are all within 12 months of age, from the same geographical area and doing the exact same thing as everyone else in the room they lack social skills. They will never know how to survive in the “real world” Where is this real world where everyone is the same age, from the same area, working on the same thing at the same time? It doesn’t exist. Studies have proven time and time again that homeschooled children perform better than their peers (including private school students) not only academically but socially and emotionally better. Homeschooled children are also more likely to vote, volunteer in their community, have a higher life and job satisfaction. Homeschooling turns out intelligent, community minded adults who are independent and pursue their interests. How is that possible when the children are not in “real” school? The answer is simple, easy and logical.

Most homeschooled children spend a lot of their education outside of the home, some homeschoolers call it life-schooling. There are endless opportunities these days provided in just about every community for homeschooled children to participate in planned activities, clubs and sports. This allows the children to collaborate and learn from other children and adults of different ages, backgrounds, interests and geographical areas. A nice perk of homeschooling is that the majority of these programs are offered during the school day and sometimes at a discounted price for homeschoolers. Homeschool parents do not need to have the extra stress of taking a child who just wants a break from spending the whole day stuck in a desk to their lessons after school.

Many older homeschooled children volunteer in the community and hold part time jobs. Some are young entrepreneurs pursuing their passions. These children are learning real hands-on life skills that are not taught inside the four walls of a classroom.

Another common misconception is that public school parents feel since they are not “teachers” they are unequipped to teach their child at home. They are

relying on the fact that a public school system who educated them left them feeling inadequate to teach their own child. It was the parent who taught the child to speak, walk and eat with a fork. It doesn’t need to change when the child reaches school age. Research has proven that a parent’s level of education had zero bearing on a homeschooled child’s learning outcome. What mattered was the parent’s commitment to teaching the child. You do not have to be a teacher to homeschool your child, any more than you had to be an early childhood educator to raise your baby and toddler.

As the frustration of COVID schooling increases plenty of public-school parents are turning to homeschooling. “Many families who have experienced online learning are moving to a blended or fully offline homeschooling model. Why? Quite simply, fully online schooling does not meet the needs of most children. Children need to move, to create with their hands and to go interact with people in person. Fully online learning cannot do this” Edna Latone of homeschoolhelp.ca and homeschool mother of four.

Do you want to start homeschooling but unsure where to start? The good news is that there are endless options when it comes to homeschooling. Parents can choose from a variety of home-schooling styles such as Waldorf, Charlotte Mason, Unschooling, Free-schooling, Montessori, non common core, eclectic and many more. A good place to start is www.thecanadianhomeschooler.com for a wealth of information including a book titled “How to homeschool in Canada”

A few key points that new homeschooling parents need to accept is that it takes time for a child to de-school. The child is used to being told what to do, what to

be interested in and when to do it. They are not used to the freedom that homeschooling allows while also providing top notch education. Another very important aspect of home-schooling is that it is not meant to recreate public school at home. Homeschooling can take place anywhere; in the car, at the beach, the library, kitchen table, outside under a tree; the possibilities are endless.

Homeschooling doesn’t have to break the bank. Parents make use of public libraries, homeschool free lending libraries or printable free activities online. Many parents share materials and curriculum amongst themselves. Let’s not forget that hands-on learning such as baking, volunteering in the community and walking in the woods is FREE!

Home-schooling is about meeting the individual child’s needs, interests and learning styles instead of trying to force every child into the same one size fits all system. You wouldn’t expect every child to wear the same size of clothing, why would you expect every child to learn in the same way? COVID schooling is not homeschooling but the good news is that making the transition to homeschooling is easy and very rewarding!



THE
“RED PILL”
MEDIA LIST

Free your mind from the COVID-19 Matrix
Look up these independent research journalists!

- ☐ Alison McDowell: A Wrench in the Gears
- ☐ JP and Julie Collins: Book of Ours
- ☐ Cory Morningstar: Wrong Kind of Green
- ☐ Whitney Webb: Last American Vagabond
- ☐ Shoshana Zuboff: Surveillance Capitalism
- ☐ Patrick Wood: Technocracy Newsletter
- ☐ Spiro Skouras: Activist Post
- ☐ Dave Cullen: Computing Forever
- ☐ David DuByne: Adapt 2030
- ☐ James Corbett: The Corbett Report
- ☐ Robert Kennedy Jr.: Children’s Health Defense
- ☐ Del Bigtree: The HighWire
- ☐ Dr Zach Bush: Microbiome Expert
- ☐ Ritchie from Boston: Bitchute
- ☐ Max Igan: The Crowhouse
- ☐ Jeff Berwick: The Dollar Vigilante
- ☐ Vanessa Beeley: Zero Hedge, Mint Press News
- ☐ Ben Swann: YouTube

Prepared by Guy Crittenden, HipGnosis.co | Founder, Rainbow Warriors

World-wide COVID-19 Lawsuits The Media Isn't Telling You About

By Stand Up Canada

From conspiracy to legal. There are twelve important international lawsuits and counting, against COVID-19 you should know about, (since mainstream media outlets are not telling you this) which we have summarized below.

July 6, 2020: Ontario, Canada

Filed by Constitutional lawyer, Rocco Galati on behalf of 9 Plaintiffs vs over 20 Defendants (Canadian and provincial government, CBC, etc). Snapshot of their Statement of Claim; COVID measures constitute a constitutional violation of dispensing with Parliament, under the Pretense of Royal Prerogative; declaration of emergency in Ontario under EMCPA did not and does not meet the statutory requisite criteria under section 7.0.1(3) and in contravention of section 7.0.2(1) and (3); COVID measures violates sections 2, 7, 8, 9 and 15 of the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*.

September 24, 2020: Pennsylvania, USA

Federal court in Pennsylvania struck down as unconstitutional. Key aspects of the Pennsylvania Governor's COVID-19 Emergency Order: limitations on the size of indoor gatherings and the closure of all businesses that are not life sustaining. The court held that the temporary closure of certain "non-life-sustaining" businesses violated plaintiffs' substantive due process rights under the Fourteenth Amendment because it was too broad and harsh to pass constitutional muster, and violated the right to choose one's profession. Furthermore, the court held that the closure of certain "non-life-sustaining" businesses also violated plaintiffs' equal protection rights under the Fourteenth Amendment, finding no rational basis for the regulations because some businesses were treated differently than other, similar businesses. The court illustrated its reasoning with an example that imposing constraints on a "mom-and-pop" hardware store while allowing Walmart to sell the same products would not keep a consumer at home; it would simply send her to Walmart, doing nothing to protect her or others from COVID. As a result, the court found that the restrictions' means did not rationally relate to their ends.

October 2, 2020: Michigan, USA

Assistant Attorney General Eric Dreiband, U.S. Attorney Matthew Schneider, and U.S. Attorney Andrew Birge issued the following statements: *"The United States Constitution guarantees a republican form of government to every state in our free country. The Constitution does not permit any public official unlawfully to restrict our liberty. All public officials must respect the right of the people to govern themselves at all times, especially during a crisis. (...) While the Governor has had the public's health interests at heart, this decision underscores the importance of a legislature to the legitimacy of restrictions on liberty. I urge the Governor and Michigan legislators to work together going forward in responding to this pandemic so that we stay safe and free."*

October 27, 2020: Poland (Europe)

Provincial Administrative Court ruled that establishment of certain restrictions, orders and prohibitions in connection with an epidemic do not meet the constitutional condition for its issuance. The judge stated: *"The Council of Ministers, on the basis of the wording of the statutory authorization as formulated in this way, could freely shape the sphere of fundamental rights or freedoms of an individual in the ordinance, without substantive guidelines contained in the act, specifying individual restrictions, orders and prohibitions. As a consequence, the independent law-making activity undertaken in this respect led to the regulation of statutory matters and the violation of a number of fundamental freedoms and rights of an individual, including the freedom of economic activity under Section 22 of the Constitution"*. Further, the procedure of introducing

the restrictions, orders and bans *"led to the violation of basic constitutional standards and rights in the field of freedom of economic activity"*.

November 11, 2020: Portugal (Europe)

Lisbon Court of Appeal ruled that the PCR test *"is unable to determine, beyond reasonable doubt, that a positive result corresponds, in fact, to the infection of a person by the SARS-CoV-2 virus"*. Most importantly, the judges decided that a single positive PCR test cannot be used as an effective diagnosis of infection. The judges referred to the following publication: Surkova, E., Nikolayevskyy, V., & Drobniewski, F. (2020). False-positive COVID-19 results: hidden problems and costs. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine, 8(12), 1167-1168. doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30453-7

November 25, 2020: New York, USA

The Supreme Court granted requests from the Roman Catholic Diocese of Brooklyn and two Orthodox Jewish synagogues to block enforcement

agencies, including the media, are driving the fear. And the evidence shows that healthy Americans need not fear... The average healthy American is not seriously at risk here of dying."

December 22, 2020: Bosnia and Herzegovina (Europe)

Constitutional Court of Bosnia and Herzegovina declared unconstitutional, two measures imposed in the efforts to suppress the COVID-19 epidemic, namely the mandatory use of face masks in the Canton of Sarajevo and the general restriction of movement in the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. The Court found that the contested measures violated the right to private life and the freedom of movement under the Constitution of Bosnia and Herzegovina, the European Convention on Human Rights, and Protocol No. 4 to the Convention. However, it stopped short of quashing the contested measures and it only ordered the Parliament and the Government of the Federation

"to take activities and bring their conduct in compliance" with human rights standards and to report to the Court about the compliance with this order.

December 23, 2020: Austria (Europe)

Constitutional Court overturned the virus mask mandate in schools and ruled that compulsory mask-wearing and splitting classes into two halves to be taught in alternate shifts, were illegal. Two children and their parents had brought the case before the court, saying the measures violated the principles of equality before the law, the right to a private life and the right to education.

December 23, 2020: NY, USA

New York State Supreme Court judge granted a preliminary injunction and allowed Athletes Unleashed gym open at 100% capacity, despite orders from Gov. Andrew M. Cuomo limiting gyms in "orange zones" to 25% capacity. The Supreme Court judge said that while not meant to diminish the COVID-19 pandemic, his ruling rather respected a *"right to normalcy"*. The lawsuit also claims that Gov. Andrew Cuomo has overstepped the authority granted to him during the pandemic. The December 23rd ruling did not address these claims. The judge will hear further arguments in February on Cuomo's legal authority to issue "any directive that lasts longer than 30 days" without approval of state legislators.

January 2, 2021: Ecuador (South America)

Constitutional Court ruled that Decree 1217 for a State of Emergency declared by President Lenín Moreno on December 21, 2020 is unconstitutional. The Court found that the president based the state of emergency on a possible future risk and not a current one, without sufficient, clear and specific information. Since states of exception operate in the face of current and certain circumstances, the actual occurrence of the events was not justified. The President responded that his government will abide by the ruling of the Court because it is "respectful of the rule of law". He further stated that in large cities, the Intensive Care Units (ICU) are "on the brink" and that there may be an upturn in infections in January, for which they have a "contingency plan."

"Due to the foregoing, the Court decided:

- 1. To declare Decree No. 1217 unconstitutional.*
- 2. Remind the President of the Republic and the competent authorities that the measures referred to in Decree No. 1217, aimed at avoiding crowds and mass meetings as well as preventing the possible risks of the new variant of COVID-19 identified in the United Kingdom, they can be adopted based on the attributions of the ordinary constitutional regime, even by the COE, as in fact has happened, without it being necessary to resort to the extraordinary powers authorized in a state of emergency.*

- 3. Faced with the possible risks of the new variant of COVID-19, the Court reiterated its call to the national government to reinforce, in a coordinated manner, the prevention mechanisms available under the ordinary regime."*



Visit StandUpCanada.solutions to find out how you can be a part of the solution.

of a New York executive order restricting attendance at houses of worship. Both the diocese and the synagogues claimed that the executive order violated the right to the free exercise of religion guaranteed by the First Amendment, particularly when secular businesses in the area are allowed to remain open. The court explained that Cuomo's order does not appear to be neutral, but instead *"single[s] out houses of worship for especially harsh treatment"*. For example, although a synagogue or a church in a red zone is limited to 10 people at a service, there are no limits on how many people a nearby "essential" business — which can include acupuncture or a camp ground — can admit. Because the Cuomo order is not neutral, the court continued, it is subject to the most stringent constitutional test, known as strict scrutiny. It fails that test, the court concluded, because the order is too broad. There is no evidence that these synagogues and churches have contributed to outbreaks, and other, less restrictive rules could have been employed instead — such as basing the maximum attendance on the size of the facility. And if the restrictions are enforced, the court added, they will result in permanent harm to people who cannot attend and for whom a livestream of services is not an adequate substitute.

December 4, 2020: Alberta, Canada

Rath & Company and Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms, filed against the Canadian Medical Officer for Health, claiming the emergency orders are ultra vires the province pursuant to the *Alberta Bill of Rights* and violate the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*.

December 8, 2020: Los Angeles, USA

A Los Angeles judge issued an injunction overturning L.A. County's ban on outdoor dining at restaurants. Judge Chalfant had little sympathy for the county's arguments and sided with the California Restaurant Association. The judge said the county's arguments about being unable to confirm a link between outdoor dining and coronavirus might have flown early in the pandemic but not anymore: *"I am shocked that in nine months, [government officials] have not looked seriously at outdoor dining, I am not laying this at the county's feet but that is a failure of government"*. Further stated, *"I think one of the problems with the pandemic is that government*

Absurdity Observer

A List Of Some Of The Most Absurd Things That Have Happened In Recent Weeks!

- Elon Musk surpassed Jeff Bezos as the richest person in the world according to *Bloomberg*, growing his wealth by over \$166 billion USD in 2020. While most US Billionaires “only” grew their wealth by about a third in 2020. Musk’s wealth grew nearly 7-fold in 2020!
- Bill Gates is now the biggest private owner of farmland in the US. He owns 242,000 acres across 18-states.
- Ontario mom was fined \$880 under the *Reopening Ontario Act* for leaving her kids with grandparents while running errands.
- Toronto man who was arrested under the *Reopening Ontario Act* commits suicide hours after being released from hospital. Demian, 24, was arrested for obstructing police for not quickly agreeing to show his ID while police questioned his reasoning for being out (to get bubble tea).
- Canadians are calling for transparency to see if Ontario Premier Doug Ford is profiting from the provincial government policy on lockdowns and social distancing. Ford’s family business, Deco Labels, supplies products for the consumer packaging industry, a growing field in the current lockdown climate where most local businesses are shuttered and many products must be delivered.
- London Health Sciences Centre fires neonatal nurse after it was discovered she attended a medical freedom protest in Washington, DC with a group called Global Frontline Nurses. Another nurse associated with the group was also fired from her job at a Toronto nursing home after expressing concerns in a video about the vaccine and visitation restrictions on seniors.
- Fourteen members of a homeless outreach effort called “Project Phoenix” were each ticketed \$880 by Hamilton police for not socially distancing while clothing and feeding the homeless.
- Chief W.H.O. scientist Dr. Soumya Swaminathan announced that, while the vaccine is thought to prevent symptoms, there is still no evidence the Pfizer or Moderna vaccine prevents the spread of SARS-CoV-2. Shortly before this announcement Pfizer’s CEO sold 62% of his shares.
- After a homeless man dies, Quebec premier says no exceptions to their 8:00pm curfew, claiming people will pretend to be homeless to avoid fines. The homeless man froze to death inside of a portable toilet steps away from a homeless shelter that was forced to close their doors at night.
- Minnesota state lawmakers call for an audit of COVID-19 death certificates in an effort to find “the real COVID-19 death count” after a review of thousands of COVID-19 deaths in Minnesota by State Rep. Mary Franson and Sen. Scott Jensen found that 25% of the COVID-19 deaths listed either never tested positive for COVID-19 or died of clear unrelated causes.
- Numerous Pastors in Ontario have been charged under the *Reopening Ontario Act* for holding church services. This charge carries a maximum fine of \$100,000 and up to one year in jail.
- The Ontario government has mandated that small businesses cannot deliver a product to a customer’s doorstep after 8pm but third party couriers can, allowing Amazon to flourish while small businesses continue to struggle.
- According to a study done by *The Observer*, more than 70,000 households in the UK were made homeless during the pandemic, with tens of thousands more on the brink of homelessness.
- An estimated 1.56 billion face masks entered oceans in the year 2020 (according to a report by OceansAsia).
- New York Supreme Court judge saves 80-year-old COVID patient on a ventilator from death by ordering the hospital to give her the shouldn’t-be-controversial-yet-somehow-controversial drug, “Ivermectin.”
- In a recent press conference, when questioned as to why government gyms were running when all other gyms were closed, Manitoba’s top public health official Dr. Brent Roussin said: “Our public health orders don’t apply to any levels of government.”
- In Italy, as of January 15 2020, over 30,000 businesses and restaurants banned together and opened, despite coronavirus restrictions.
- The CDC removed the statement “Vaccines do not cause Autism” from their Vaccine Safety page after the Informed Consent Action Network (ICAN) won a Lawsuit against the CDC for “making this claim without evidence.” ICAN claims there are no studies on this topic using the vaccines given before 6 months of age. ICAN postulates that it is possible that the DTAP vaccine in particular, or the combination of multiple vaccines in a short time span, when given before 6 months of age, may cause autism and is asking for a scientific study to rule this possibility out.
- “Journalists are not essential” according to several Toronto police officers. Since Ontario’s “stay-at-home orders” were enacted, police have been arresting and/or fining lockdown protesters and non-accredited journalists covering the protests at Yonge-Dundas Square, Queen’s Park, and Nathan Phillips Square. Even a *CityNews* news cameraman was told on camera “I’m giving you the opportunity to leave on your own admission or you will be fined.” Previously only protest organizers and lead figures were fined at these protests.
- With less than a 30-hour warning, Twitter alternative social media site “Parler” was de-platformed by the Apple App store, the Google Store, and Amazon Web Services (which shut-down their site). In an anti-trust lawsuit with AWS, Parlor claims Twitter is committing the same offences as Parler.
- 200,000 Canadian children are “unaccounted for”, according to The Institute for 21st Century Questions. These previously schooled children are currently not in any school at all (neither physical or virtual).
- “Pets might need coronavirus jabs to help stop the spread of COVID-19” according to University of Minnesota scientists, among others, who published in the journal *Virulence*.

Book online at: sacredpower.ca



Sacred Power

Donna Francis



SACRED POWER
INCORPORATED

info@sacredpower.ca
250.386.7851

This moment in time is for you. Your Sacred Power awaits!

It has never been more important...

**BE
Who You Are
NOW!**

Book online at: sacredpower.ca



TRUTH VS LIES



librti.com
Canada's Social Community

connect. share. discuss. learn.

STAND UP FOR LIBRTI

**Do You Want Healthier Energized Water,
On Par with Earth's Finest Waters?**



Use the Structured Water Unit.

Hand-held units for processing tap or bottled water and installable built-in units. Specialized for EMF



416-222-2368 Toll Free: 1-888-993-9123
www.vibrancywater.ca

Natural Action Technologies
Dealer Since 2009



Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
Written, funded, promoted & distributed by the people.
February circulation: 100,000 copies Canada-wide
Original content DRUTHERS 2021©
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Copy Editor: Robin Golloher
Production Manager/Layout: David Bolton
Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net
Help Distribute Papers In Your Area: info@druthers.net
News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net
Subscribe For Postal Copies: druthers.net/subscribe

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of *DRUTHERS*.
Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute *DRUTHERS*.
Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.
Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help the truth be told by making a donation.
You can donate here: druthers.net/donate
Or send an e-transfer to: admin@druthers.net

***“Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family.
Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on.”***
- Shawn Jason