The Unprecedented Crisis of Our Children’s Physical and Mental Health

By Kirsten Schruder

A little over a year ago, many children’s lives came to a crashing, confusing, halt. Everyday routines stopped. They were cut off from their friends, teachers, and for some, even from their extended families. Extracurricular activities like sports and music lessons abruptly ended. New words were being thrown at them like “covid,” “pandemic” and “isolate.” A feeling of fear and concern was felt in the air of many households as parents whispered to each other trying to figure out what was happening, what to do, and how to “do” for those two weeks.

As we all know, those two weeks turned into months. For many months children went without seeing their friends, without going to playgrounds, without playing their favorite summer sports, without being able to just be a normal kid.

Finally, schools reopened in September. But it would not be a normal school year. Strict mandates were put in place, including controversy over masks and social distance.

Children were already feeling the negative impacts of the lockdowns, depression and feelings of isolation were on the rise. (According to Kids Help Phone, 2020 saw a 51% increase of calls and texts from children as young as 5 to young adults.) And now they would be sent back to school where they were told to social distance from their friends and wear a mask throughout the day. That mask guideline even went a further step after Christmas break where children are now required to wear masks outdoors. A year into this, we can all agree with the science that Covid-19 has spared most children from any severe illness. According to the Center for Disease Control (CDC), the risk of children dying of Covid is an extremely low risk of less than 0.00195%. Another important factor from CDC published data is that the transmission of Covid-19 among children in schools and daycares is very rare. Yet, regardless of the scientifically proven data, the debate around masking children continues.

According to doctors and child psychologists masking children has numerous negative impacts on both the physical and mental health of a child.

Some negative health impacts may arise from the inhalation of nanoparticles that have been found on dirty masks, rebreathing of exhaled air. A study was done on children with and without masks by Dr. Ross Hauser, an otolaryngologist, using Transcranial Ultrasound Doppler examination. An important observation showed that within seconds of a child putting on a mask, there was an immediate dilation of blood vessels in the brain. That dilation indicates the brain is under stress.

Hauser stated, “When you have hyperemia, which is the dilation of blood vessels, the movement of the blood flow to the brain moves at twice the speed. This is caused by stress due to the mask blocking the body’s ability to properly get rid of carbon dioxide. I also tested children when they exercised, while masked, and noticed the stress on the brain was far worse due to the higher accumulation of carbon dioxide. This has long term negative effects on children. Hyperemia causes anxiety. Overall, children who wear masks at long intervals, it is going to cause harm.”

The warning signs of stress include headaches, irritability, children becoming fed up with the lies and who are no longer accepting these nonsensical ‘rules’ that are being force-fed to us by mainstream media.
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The right to informed consent is legally essential to promote individual health. There is absolutely no justification that could possibly legitimize this violation of our rights and freedoms. Vaccine Choice Canada will leverage all of its resources to prevent this violation of individual rights, medical choice and bodily sovereignty, as well as the creation of a system that can be justifiably labelled a medical apartheid.

End The Lockdowns Caucus Formed By Representatives From Across Canada

This notice was originally released on Feb. 2, 2021 with 5 elected representatives as members and has already grown to over 50 members as of Mar. 27, 2021. You can learn more about this initiative at LibertyCoalitionCanada.com

In response to the unprecedented mass violations of Canadian’s Charter Rights from government across the country in response to the COVID19 pandemic, a group of current and former elected representatives have come together to form the End The Lockdowns National Caucus.

The caucus is a non-partisan group seeking to provide formal challenges to current COVID19 policies with a specific emphasis on ending governments use of parasite-wielding institutions to stay-at-home orders. All members of the caucus which includes Randy Hillier - MPP, Maxime Bernier - PPC Party Leader, Derek Sloan - MP, Daryl Hertlick - Perth East Councillor, and Adam Van Kessel - Caledon West Councillor, signed the following statement as a means of establishing the caucus. The statement reads as follows:

“We are current and former elected representatives from municipal, provincial, and federal levels of government across Canada, united in pursuit of the truth, and resolved to ensure there is open, honest, and public debate regarding the COVID-19 government response.

After careful examination and scrutiny of mitigation measures undertaken by all levels of government, it is evident that lockdowns cause more harm than the virus and must be brought to an end.

We devote our energy and efforts to the just and compassionate objective of reopening our businesses, schools, places of worship, recreation facilities, along with the full resumption and expansion of efficient medical services. We desire to restore dignity and respect for all Canadians by safeguarding our representative democracy and its institutions, defending our Constitution, personal freedoms and responsibilities, whilst implementing focused protection for the most vulnerable.

We hereby voluntarily sign this agreement on the 2nd day of February, 2021.”

The caucus is open to all members, at any level, of representative government and others are encouraged to contact the caucus for more information. Liberty Coalition Canada has developed into a national network of clergymen, elected officials, small business owners, legal experts, and other concerned citizens. We are pleased to host and support the End the Lockdowns Caucus on our media platforms.
The following is the second in a series of interviews with a nurse who works in a hospital on the outskirts of Toronto, Canada, that is making many people upset, is actually to my advantage. Everyone keeps asking, “Andrea, did you get the vaccine?” My standard reply is something like, “No, I already had my tubes tied. I don’t need any more medical interference.” Or I say, “No, I’m just voting with my feet and not going to the state for self-imposed medical experimentation.”

JOHN: How have the other staff members responded to their first injection of the COVID-19 vaccine?

NURSE ANDREA: I was just talking to a colleague who has no history of passing out easily, but she completely lost consciousness after getting the vaccine. In medical terms, this is called a syncope. Anecdotally, she was told at the vax clinic (off the record) that about one in ten people were experiencing syncope after injection. It seems to happen randomly.

My colleague said she witnessed someone pass out as they were leaving the clinic after their first injection of the COVID-19 vaccine.

Vaccinated Patients Filing into Otherwise Underused ERs

JOHN: Have you seen any adverse reactions among patients?

NURSE ANDREA: A patient came to the emergency department with severe lightheadedness and an episode of chest pain. They had a hard time standing. I think we clinicians in general are not saying anything? Or are they simply not saying anything?

Of course, there are other possible causes for symptoms, such as mild heart attack or recent dietary change involving severe caloric restriction. But how do we really know if the vax didn’t precipitate, or act as one among the confluence of factors” that led to hospital admission?

The lesson here is that much of what we are doing right now in response to COVID (such as constant mask enforcement, vaccinating the elderly with limited physiologic reserves to handle the side-effects, and keeping them isolated and locked up in rooms “for their own safety”) is all part of the same myopic mindset that has abandoned flawed medicine and the healthcare system broadly.

JOHN: It’s strange how medicine will look back and laugh at practices like blood letting, yet continue with equally unscientific and harmful practices.

NURSE ANDREA: I believe that when we look back on this all this intervention for COVID – both pharmaceutical and not – we will be ashamed of what we have done. Just as countless patients in the past have been defacto murdered with tranquilizers, we are murdering people today with interventions aimed at controlling or curtailing COVID.

JOHN: Thank you for speaking out.

John C. A. Manley

---
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Despite the evidence of danger with these drugs, many doctors routinely prescribe them and many nurses unquestioningly administer them because they appear to work. At least that is what they say.

Consider the following highly realistic scenario: a delirious elderly patient constantly wanders the hallway without a mask while touching public surfaces, which generates high staff, especially during a “pandemic” when everyone is supposed to remain distanced and surfaces remain sanitized. In response, we give the patient a drug to “settle them down.” So they sleep for a night, and the next day the nurse gives a report and says, “The patient slept well and didn’t wander after I gave the pill to help them sleep.” This gets reported to the doctor who is pleased that the patient stayed in bed and didn’t wander around disrupting other patients, causing an infection control concern, or creating an inconvenience for the staff.

Consequently, the patient continues to drugged every night. Then, after a few days, the delirium is worse and the patient starts their usual wandering. However, now they are loaded up with sedatives and can’t keep their balance. The cascade of nightly drugging results in a fall, leading to severe maiming and/or death.

JOHN: It sounds like this aspect of “new normal” – using unproven methods to seemingly deal with a problem – isn’t all that new.

NURSE ANDREA: The lesson here is that much of what we are doing right now in response to COVID (such as constant mask enforcement, vaccinating the elderly with limited physiologic reserves to handle the side-effects, and keeping them isolated and locked up in rooms “for their own safety”) is all part of the same myopic mindset that has abandoned flawed medicine and the healthcare system broadly.

JOHN: It’s strange how medicine will look back and laugh at practices like blood letting, yet continue with equally unscientific and harmful practices.

NURSE ANDREA: I believe that when we look back on all this intervention for COVID – both pharmaceutical and not – we will be ashamed of what we have done. Just as countless patients in the past have been defacto murdered with tranquilizers, we are murdering people today with interventions aimed at controlling or curtailing COVID.

JOHN: Thank you for speaking out.

John C. A. Manley has spent over a decade ghostwriting for medical doctors, naturopaths and chiropractors. Since March 2020, he has been writing articles that question and expose the contradictions in the COVID-19 narrative and control measures. He is also completing a novel, Much Ado About Corona: A Dystopian Love Story. You can visit his website at MuchAdoAboutCorona.ca.
Finding Meaning Under a Meaningless System

By Caitlin Johnstone

How many of people's mental health diagnoses are really themselves struggling to function in a capitalist system that is amoral, destructive, overwhelming, overbearing, unsatisfying, and bereft of meaning?

It's surely one of the most under-examined questions in the field of modern psychology. People in general and researchers in particular all too often think to take a step back from the data they are looking at and consider the large-scale framework within which that data is materializing, and to consider whether there's anything about that particular framework which is giving rise to the particular data sets they are seeing.

How many of the mental health diagnoses given out are really just people not coping well under capitalism? It's worth looking into. How many people end up consulting with mental health professionals because they find themselves psychologically unable to keep up with the frenetic corporate pace that's demanded of them in order to "earn a living"? Or earlier on as children because they are unable to successfully navigate the capitalism boot camp known as school? How many people are given diagnoses, and corresponding bottles of pills, simply because they can't march to the beat of the capitalist drum?

Beyond that, how many people are pushed into mental illness by the madness of our current system? How many people suffer from very real depression or anxiety arising from the pressure to keep a roof over your head? How many of future landfill in meaningless jobs which serve no purpose other than to turn millionaires into billionaires? How many people simply collapse under the weight of financial insecurity, food insecurity, housing insecurity, employment and insurance insecurity, combined with the effects of desperate attempts to self-medicate the stress?

How many of these stressors are exacerbated by being psychologically pummelled with mass media propaganda g day in and day out, artificially twisting your mind into the belief that this is all normal, and that if you can't keep up, you're the problem? Telling you that it's fine and normal for wealth and resources to go toward murdering strangers overseas while you're forced to choose between medicine and groceries?

And by the capitalism propaganda known as advertising? How is our psychological health affected by a non-stop barrage of corporate messaging informing us that we are deficient, and that there are things we lack which we must obtain in order to become whole? That we're not beautiful enough, not skinny enough, not fashionable enough, not affluent enough, that we don't own enough of the top-line items which only the well-off can afford?

I'd venture to say this all has a major impact on our minds. You can have anxiety without being poor, but you can't be poor without having anxiety. Our competition-based model uses the stress of potential homelessness and death to keep all the slaves turning the gears of the machine, and that stress is now interwoven into the very fabric of our society. It's so pervasive you have to take a step back just to see it.

So how best to respond to this depressing situation? How best to avoid drowning in the tar pit of a soulless, nihilistic political and economic paradigm? How to find meaning under a meaningless system which squeeizes your psychological wellbeing in order to power its batteries?

Well, that question is much easier to answer. You find meaning under a meaningless system by working to destroy that system.

Do whatever you need to survive, up to and including taking psychiatric medications if you need to, and with whatever remaining time and energy you have left, throw sand in the gears of the machine. Do whatever you can to upset the status quo. Engage in activism. Join a union. Start a union. Start a podcast. Start a Twitter account.

Above all, work to spread awareness of what's really going on in our world, because that's the weakest point in the machine's armor right now.

The loose transnational alliance of plutocrats and government agencies which comprises our real government works so hard to manufacture consent because they require the consent of the governed in order to rule; we greatly outnumber them and we can out rule them if enough of us decide we don't consent to it anymore. In a western society which must try to at least appear to support free speech, the best front on which to attack such a power structure is on the front of information. Learn to kill and imprison us all, so if we all awaken to how oppressed we are and to who has been oppressing us, we can use the power of our numbers to kick them out and replace them with a healthier model. The job of the propagandists is to prevent this from happening. The job of you and me is to make it happen.

So help wake people up to the injustices of our system, as many people as you can by whatever means you have access to. Wake them up to the abuses of capitalism. To the abuses of imperialism. To the abuses of mass media propaganda. Learn as much as you can about the madness of our current system, and share what you have learned with as many people as possible.

All positive changes in human behavior arise from an increasing awareness of the underlying dynamics which give rise to them, whether you're talking about the psychological dynamics underlying the addictive or compulsive behaviors of an individual or the power dynamics underlying the murderous and oppressive behaviors of a globe-spanning empire. If you are looking for meaning, you will find it in the spreading of that awareness.

We absolutely do have the ability to move away from this misery-generating competition-based model that is choking us all to death and replace it with one in which we collaborate with each other and with our ecosystems toward health, beauty, truth, and thriving. If there is meaning to be found in our world, it lies in that direction.

Originally published @ CaitlinJohnstone.com

The Brave: Courage During COVID In Canada

By Lani Gelera

As a Courage Coach, I set out to teach people to be brave during the greatest fear-mongering global pandemic hoax in history. The best way I know how to teach others how to be brave is by showing them examples of people across the country who are courageously standing up for health, freedom, and rights in the face of a corrupt tyrannical government, medical fraud, and attempted genocide.

Volume 1 is called The Brave: Courage during COVID in Canada. It is a collection of true stories from the perspective of everyday Canadians who have become Freedom Fighters, Truth Seekers and Justice Warriors taking courageous stands against the current rerings, relationships, and income on the line to fight for what they know is morally right! These stories are meant to inspire, encourage and empower a nation to defend the right to free speech, free press and freedom of choice when it comes to mandated masks or vaccines.

Volume 2 of the series is called The Brave: Speaking Truth to Power. This volume of 20 authors will be all about real news and media that has risen to counter the mind control fake mainstream media false narrative. Truthers very own founder, Sharon Jason will have a chapter in this book to share his story about speaking truth to power.

When you don't know how to take courageous action and stand up for your beliefs and values in life... align yourself with the warriors that are doing something. When you hear their story, the conversation becomes very different. Be brave, be bold, do something

Municipal Tax Time: Call-to-Action

By StandUpCanada-Solutions

We are sharing a fantastic suggestion. It's municipal tax time! Write to your city councilor and mayor to demand compensation for drastically reduced municipal services since March 2020.

Below is an example of an email which has been sent out. Adjust your letter as you see fit, and make sure to include the services which were forced to cease.

Making it personal is always effective - the actual naming of something you weren’t “allowed” to do without the threat of a fine, even something simple like walking in a park. Email addresses for councillors are easily found with a google search.

"[Name of Councilor or Mayor],

I will be paying the interim tax bill today. But before paying the final bill, which will arrive in June, I would like to know how (name of your City) citizens will be compensated, taxed, tax-averse, for the great lessen ing of some services, and uphold our rights, which have occurred since March 2020. I will be expecting some kind of rebate from the final tax bill regarding: schools, recreation, parks, libraries, services for the disabled, hospitals, courts, festivals – just to name a few."

Guess what? A response was received back by the municipality indicating "a more fulsome response shortly."
The COVID-19 pandemic and lockdowns have not only been devastating for society, they have had a chilling effect on the scientific community. For scientists, the debate on opposing ideas must be openly and vigorously discussed, supported, or countered based on scientific merit. Shockingly, these journalists, politicians, and (alas) scientists have engaged in vicious slander of dissident scientists, spreading damaging conspiracy theories, even with open calls for censorship in place of debate. In many cases, eminent scientific voices have been effectively silenced, often with gutter tactics. People who oppose lockdowns have been accused of having blood on their hands, their university positions threatened, with many of our colleagues choosing to stay quiet rather than face the mob.

We tell the story here of four prominent scientists who have faced modern-day inquisition.

Dr. John Ioannidis

Dr. John Ioannidis is a world-famous scientist who from the beginning of the epidemic called for better scientific information to decide COVID policy. His work, published in the “Bulletin of the World Health Organization,” has helped explain how deaths from COVID in the United States, which is in order of magnitude lower than the conventional narrative implies. For his work, Buzzfeed News falsely accused him of political bias and financial misconduct.

In two articles published in Scientific American, two esteemed medical journalists presented evidence against the false charges Ioannidis faced, while lamenting the slander scientists are subjected to as a substitute for scientific debate. Shockingly, these journalists were then targeted. The publisher caved and published extensive trivial “corrections” to their story, none of which contradicted their reporting.

One objection cited the journalists for a conflict of interest because they cited an article by a different scientist without declaring that they had previously collaborated with him. Springer Nature owns Scientific American. If this is a conflict of interest that must be declared, Springer should issue similar “corrections” for all the millions of scientific articles they have published.

Dr. Sunetra Gupta

Oxford University professor Sunetra Gupta, who is one of the world’s preeminent infectious disease epidemiologists, has faced virulent attacks by politicians and media pundits with a fraction of her knowledge and wisdom. Gupta has argued throughout the epidemic for protecting the vulnerable while allowing the disease to be managed in the rest of society with limited restrictions and minimal harm.

The basis for her ideas is her deep understanding of the science of epidemics, viral spread, and disease risk. Her sensible ideas, so contrary to the lockdown policies, have been mischaracterized and attacked by the U.K. government health minister, Matt Hancock, on the Floor of Parliament. Member of Parliament Neil O’Brien accused her of telling “tall tales.” Mainstream journalists in the United Kingdom have called her expertise “squinty” and accused her of making “misleading claims” akin to conspiracy theories.

Although her detractors conveniently forget, Gupta has repeatedly argued for better protection of school-aged children with specific suggestions that could have saved many lives. In early October, Gupta and we authored the Great Barrington Declaration, hoping to avoid a repeat of the spring lockdown disaster. Most government officials duly ignored her and the other signatories, and we failed to protect the vulnerable once again.

Dr. Carl Heneghan

Another epidemiologist, Professor Carl Heneghan, who directs the Centre for Evidence Based Medicine at the University of Oxford, has been the subject of similar abuse. Although he has spent his entire career evaluating the evidence for and against charges that scientists and the public, overwrought critics have called his writings “anti-science” for daring to point out that the only published randomized study on the efficacy of face masks calls into question their effectiveness against COVID-19 infection.

Heneghan has been attacked by U.K. government officials for his disagreement with government-led scientific advice. The government’s COVID-19 statistics have serious errors. Among the errors he discovered include items like bus accident fatalities labeled COVID deaths and people counted as dying from COVID months after their recovery from the infection. No doubt Heneghan’s willingness to tell inconvenient truths causes government scientists to explain the hostility he has received.

Dr. Jonas Lundvigsson

Dr. Jonas Ludvigsson, professor of epidemiology at the prestigious Karolinska Institute in Sweden, published a groundbreaking study in the New England Journal of Medicine showing that it is unsafe to keep schools open during the pandemic, for children and teachers alike. This work has informed the policy of countries worldwide and states like Vermont, which have provided safe, in-person instruction for children despite high community case loads.

By Martin Kulldorff and Jay Bhattacharya

Dr. Kulldorff (left) and Dr. Bhattacharya (right) co-authored “The Great Barrington Declaration” along with Dr. Sunetra Gupta (center).

For this, Ludvigsson received abuse from both Swedish and international scientists and journalists, to the point he is refocusing his scientific work away from COVID-19.

We Know Lockdowns Don’t Help, But They Continue

What these scientists have in common is that they have been proved right. With so many COVID-19 deaths, it should now be obvious to everyone that lockdown strategies have failed to protect the elderly.

While anyone can get infected, there is more than a thousand-fold difference in the risk of death between the old and the young. The failure to properly exploit this fact about the virus has led to many unnecessary deaths and the biggest public health fiascos in history.

Lockdowns have generated enormous collateral damage across all ages. Depriving children of face-to-face teaching has hurt not only their education but also their physical and mental health. Other public health consequences include increased rates of suicide, drug and alcohol misuse, missed childhood medical care, and delayed treatments, worse cardiovascular disease outcomes, and deteriorating mental health, to name a few. Much of this damage will unfold over time, something we must live and spend decades to repair.

Making the Poor Suffer for Their Egos

While disastrous at the population level, lockdowns have effectively protected young, low-risk, affluent professionals who can afford to withdraw to their quiet homes and enslave journalists, and scientists. They transferred the disease burden onto older, riskier members of the working class, who have kept society afloat.

In the United States, Facebook, and other social media must deal with some unpleasant anonymous trolls, but that goes with the territory and is not the issue. It is the attacks by politicians, journalists, and fellow scientists that send a chilling message to other scientists and journalists to watch their words and self-censor.

This, in turn, damages the public trust in science and public health. Instead, the field has been left to scientists who agree with the herd thinking generated by the media. Missing from the policy conversation is a broader set of scientists who understand there is more to public health than just infectious disease. How can society’S lockdowns can harm public health more than they help.

What Can We Do Now?

How do we climb back from this toxic and damaging scientific environment? How do we ensure that science moves forward through the open discussion of multiple ideas and perspectives? How can we return to an academic climate that encourages scientific discourse and academic freedom? Given the damage done by misguided pandemic policies, how can we restore the public’S trust in public health professionals?

The responsibility for this rests on everyone in the scientific community, but especially on scientific leaders such as university presidents, provosts, and deans, scientific journal publishers and editors, and the directors of major scientific funding agencies such as the National Institutes of Health, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, and the CDC. These leaders need to defend and encourage open scientific debate with multiple perspectives.

On the science side, scientific debate should be encouraged, but smeared, slander, politicization, and conspiracy theories that insinuate guilt by association must be combated and never tolerated. The future of science is open scientific discourse and debate. In turn, damages the public trust in science and public health. Instead, the field has been left to scientists who agree with the herd thinking generated by the media. Missing from the policy conversation is a broader set of scientists who understand there is more to public health than just infectious disease. How can society’S lockdowns can harm public health more than they help.

What Can We Do Now?

How do we climb back from this toxic and damaging scientific environment? How do we ensure that science moves forward through the open discussion of multiple ideas and perspectives? How can we return to an academic climate that encourages scientific discourse and academic freedom? Given the damage done by misguided pandemic policies, how can we restore the public’S trust in public health professionals?

The responsibility for this rests on everyone in the scientific community, but especially on scientific leaders such as university presidents, provosts, and deans, scientific journal publishers and editors, and the directors of major scientific funding agencies such as the National Institutes of Health, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, and the CDC. These leaders need to defend and encourage open scientific debate with multiple perspectives.

On the science side, scientific debate should be encouraged, but smeared, slander, politicization, and conspiracy theories that insinuate guilt by association must be combated and never tolerated. The future of science is open scientific discourse and debate.

I It is interesting how The WHO uses the words “progress” and “success” to describe an epidemic in which tens of millions of lives have been lost, in which millions of people have been left with lifelong disabilities, in which countries worldwide and states like Florida in the United States, which have provided safe, in-person instruction for children despite high community case loads.

The World Health Organization (WHO)

Rewrites Medical Ethics To Justify Severe Social Control

Valery Goltsman

I went largely unnoticed when The World Health Organization (WHO) changed some of its foundational definitions about herd immunity and medical ethics. Yet their new rules give an insight why at times it feels like living in the movie Idiocracy (2006), surrounded by all the farcical formalism that defies logic and common sense that once seemed absolute.

We are witnessing the rise of the new health collectivism and social responsibility doctrine that is being made up virtually on the fly, as we are getting bombed with dogmas like “take a vaccine to protect the others” or “stay apart and wear a mask to save lives”. Nobody has ever addressed virus outbreaks this way, so why now?

In November 2020, the WHO changed their definition of herd immunity from: “When a population is immune to a disease because of natural infection or immunity developed through previous infection” to “a concept used for vaccination in which a population can be protect ed from a certain virus if a threshold of vaccination is reached.” In December 2020, they added: WHO supports achieving herd immunity through vaccination, and allowing a disease to spread through an unvaccinated population, as this would result in unnecessary cases and deaths.

Herd immunity against COVID-19 should be achieved by protecting people through vaccination, not by exposing them to the pathogen that causes the disease.” It is interesting how The WHO uses the words “protect” and “expose” in respect to humans as if humans are owned and controlled by them. Then it gets even more interesting when the WHO redefines the ethics:

“Attempts to reach ‘herd immunity’ through exposing people to a virus are scientifically problematic and unethical. Letting COVID-19 spread through populations, of any age or health status will lead to unnecessary infections, suffering and death.”

See, Ethics’ p. 9
The Power of Propaganda

By Megan Kuntz

“Propaganda works best when those who are being manipulated are confident they are acting on their own free will.” - Joseph Goebbels (Reich Minister of Propaganda in Nazi Germany from 1933-1945).

Through the power of propaganda the true face of Nazi tyranny wasn’t the face of Hitler alone, but a series of battle-winning slogans, well-meaning moms and dads, aunts and uncles - who were manipulated by the media to the point that they genuinely believed the “Reich thing to do” was, indeed, the right thing to do.

In 1935 concerned citizens Kirly Mather, Edward A. Fellow, and Clyde R. Miller, recognized the threat that propaganda posed to social behavior and political thinking and formed the Institute for Propaganda Analysis (1935-1942, USA). Composed of social scientists, opinion leaders, historians, educators, and journalists, the IPPA believed “people how to think rather than what to think,” educating the public on the techniques the media was using to sway public opinion and manipulate the masses.

Bandwagon, Card Stacking, Testimonials, Name Calling, Plain Folk, Glittering Generalities, Transfer

Since the time of WWI, propaganda studies have advanced, and propaganda techniques have evolved, but those 7 basic principles of propaganda are still being used today in marketing schemes, political campaigns, and public health crusades.

Not for the purpose of comparing the COVID-19 erosion of rights to Nazi Germany, but for the purpose of awareness only - “To teach people how to think, not what to think.” - the following paragraphs will explore the definitions of the above mentioned techniques and point out some examples of their current applications within the COVID-19 narrative.

Bandwagon

Definition: The tendency of an individual to acquire a particular style, behaviour or attitude because everyone else is doing so.

In 1951 social psychologist Solomon Asch devised a social conformity experiment. The experimenter told participants they were taking part in a study to measure people’s visual judgments. The experimenter placed a card in front of a group of 8-10 people (Only one subject in each group was an actual participant. The other subjects were given staged answers - some correct, others incorrect). The card had one bar drawn to the left and three bars drawn to the right. The length of only one bar to the right matched the length of the bar on the left. The real participant was always placed second to last in each group, so he would hear the other incorrect answers before giving his own. This was repeated for 18 different sets of bars per group of subjects. In total, about one third of the subjects who were placed in this situation went along with the clearly erroneous majority.

Upon reviewing the experiment results Asch stated, “the tendency to conform to custom in our society is so strong that reasonably intelligent and well-meaning young people are willing to call white black. This is a matter of concern.”

Humans are easily influenced, social creatures. Scientists know this. Governments know this. If they can just get the bandwagon reaction to work, they will not have to be forced onto the wagon, but will jump on willingly. In the context of COVID, being “in it together” for “Two weeks to flatten the curve” was a brilliant bandwagon strategy to which the subconscious human mind responded: “Everyone else accepts lockdowns, masks, travel restrictions, social restrictions, work restrictions as the new normal” so I do too. It must be for a good reason.”

Card Stacking

Definition: A technique that aims to sway the viewer’s perception of an issue by highlighting one side and suppressing the other side.

Example #1:
- What the public is told - Hydroxychloroquine, Ivermectin, Vit D are bad/useless.
- What the public is not told - c19study.com is a website that compiles the results of studies done on these drugs/treatments. Each of these treatments shows promising results when used at the appropriate time and in the appropriate dosage.

Example #2:
- What the public is told - Masks help stop/limit the transmission of COVID-19.
- What the public is not told – Starting in 2015, the Ontario Nurses Association (ONA) took legal action against the controversial vaccine or mask (VOM) policy and won. The conclusions drawn from the extensive pool of scientific evidence presented was that masking as a means of source control to reduce transmission of aerosol-spread viruses was “scant, anecdotal, and, in the overall, lacking.” (https://www.ona.org/news-posts/ona-wins-vaccine-or-mask-flu-policy/)

Testimonials

Definition: Using an expert or celebrity testimony to sell or support

Cancel culture ensures supportive COVID-19 test-timones from prominent figures (medical, political, religious, and celebrity) - either support the narrative or lose your job and/or social standing.

Name Calling

Definition: A form of verbal abuse in which insulting or demeaning labels are directed at an individual or group

“Covidists,” “conspiracy theorists,” “anti-maskers,” “anti-science” - no explanation needed.

Plain Folk

Definition: When a speaker presents themselves as a common person who can understand and empathize with a listener’s concerns

“Propaganda works best when those who are being manipulated are confident they are acting on their own free will.” - Joseph Goebbels

“I am not being manipulated”

“Propaganda and manipulation are two different things. Manipulation is always searches for objectivity while propaganda is very subjective and discourages objectivity.”

But what motive would governments have in exploiting a worldwide ‘pandemic’?

“Emergencies’ have always been the pretext on which the safeguards of individual liberty have been eroded” - Friedrich Nietzsche

“But wouldn’t that mean that mainstream media outlets would have to be bought?”

“Stay home, Stay safe.” - Association isolating with safety (or transferring the idea of safety onto staying home).

Additional Propaganda Techniques Being Used

• Fear mongering
• Repetition
• Censorship and fact-checking
• Bots, “sock puppets”, hashtags etc on social media
• Black and white fallacy

In closing, let’s explore some common questions and objections surrounding this topic:

“It sounds like you just described a well-orgaized public health education campaign to me!”

But the fact that this is happening worldwide proves that it is true

• What the public is told- Masks help stop/limit the transmission of COVID-19
• What the public is not told - Ontario’s health crusades.
• What the public is told – “The bigger the lie, the more it will be believed”

But I am a healthcare professional, and I agree with the COVID narrative

And many healthcare professionals disagree: Prof Dolores Cahill, Dr Sucharith Bhakdi, Dr Ari Joffe, Dr Roger Hodkinson, Dr Redfield, Dr Michael and Neil Rau, Dr Beda Stadler, Dr Carl Henrickman to name only a very small few.

“I am not being manipulated”

“Propaganda and manipulation are two different things. Manipulation is always searches for objectivity while propaganda is very subjective and discourages objectivity.”

But what motive would governments have in exploiting a worldwide ‘pandemic’?

“Emergencies’ have always been the pretext on which the safeguards of individual liberty have been eroded” - Friedrich Nietzsche

“But wouldn’t that mean that mainstream media outlets would have to be bought?”
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IMPORTANT: This content is for educational purposes only and is not intended to be considered as medical advice. Always check with your healthcare provider for advice specific to your situation.
When they were young, my first wife and I took our two sons on many vacations to Walt Disney World. Florida. EPCOT Center was my favourite theme park, as its attractions catered more to adults. I have a closer connection to the Rockefeller family. EPCOT is a mirror of Tomorrow and was the product of Walt Disney’s fetishization of a tightly-controlled high-tech future for mankind that ultimately bifurcated the real world from the EPCOT park and also the somewhat creepy Disney-built community of Celebration, Florida where such details as the colour of your curtains is prescribed by corporate bylaws.

In time I noticed the EPCOT attractions were all corporate-sponsored, and in exchange for their cash these sponsors were granted exclusive brand placements, such as Disney-like messages about the wondrousness of fossil fuels, GMO crops, and space travel. I thought about EPCOT and Celebration recently while reading a 2010 document that describes the world into which we’re currently being shifted.

Scenarios for the Future of the Technology and International Development comes from the Rockefeller Foundation and the Global Business Network (GBN). One of this report’s four main chapters – “Lock Step” – has been widely quoted in the alternative media, but I wanted to read the whole document.

Scenarios for the Future is the product of an exercise in which various experts developed four plausible scenarios for the future of technology in the world, especially developing countries. Rockefeller Foundation President Judith Rodin and GBN Cofounder and Chair Peter Schwartz both wrote introductions. Schwartz is an expert in “scenario modeling” and the document is replete with bland Davos-speak terminology about governance models and the “scalability” of technologies and solutions; at only 54 pages (including covers) it was thankfully as short as it was insipid. I won’t describe its contents in detail, but instead supply a summary.

Scenarios for the Future looks at societal impacts of technological change through the lens of two critical uncertainties: future global political and economic alignments, and the adequacy of food supplies. It then describes four scenario narratives: Lock Step, Clever Together, Hack Attack and Smart Scramble. Each of these is described over a few pages, followed by a diagram of possible news headlines between 2010 and 2040 (for that scenario), a snapshot of the “role of philanthropy” and the impact of technological change and communities developing localized, makeshift solutions. An archipelago of committees, U.N. agencies coordinate with one another, nation states have a “chief carbon officer. ” Big Data offers “sousveillance” (not a typo) mechanisms that improve government, criminal holes are plugged with smart devices and internet banking, etc. The “in a life” profile for this chapter is an executioner with a convincing lab-grown steak. (That could never happen, right?) ”

“Hack Attack” describes an “economically unstable and shock prone world in which governments weaken, communities are brushed off; they’re too messy, their customs are not always trying to send us their billions for safekeeping. Hack Attack concludes by profiling an investigator sent into Botswana to identify pirates manufacturing counterfeit vaccines (go figure), and who sell black market GMO seeds and synthetic proteins.

The last chapter – “Smart Scramble” – describes an “economically depressed world in which individuals and communities develop localized, makeshift solutions to a growing set of problems.” This narrative clearly sits somewhere between Hack Attack’s Mad Max dystopia and Clever Together’s U.N.-coordinated utopia. Shaky national agencies coordinate with one another, nation states have a diminished role, and large corporations each have a “chief carbon officer.” Big Data offers “sousveillance” (not a typo) mechanisms that improve government, vaccines save millions of lives, there’s lots of solar power, people live in “smart cities” and the global poor are uplifted by smart devices and internet banking, etc. The “in a life” profile for this chapter is an executive working with researchers to design a convincing lab-grown steak. (That could never happen, right?) ”

What’s more insidious – like the aforementioned corporate-sponsored Ceremonies with the Vine of Souls from one another. The last chapter – “Smart Scramble” – describes an “economically depressed world in which individuals and communities develop localized, makeshift solutions to a growing set of problems.” This narrative clearly sits somewhere between Hack Attack’s Mad Max dystopia and Clever Together’s U.N.-coordinated utopia. Shaky national agencies coordinate with one another, nation states have a diminished role, and large corporations each have a “chief carbon officer.” Big Data offers “sousveillance” (not a typo) mechanisms that improve government, vaccines save millions of lives, there’s lots of solar power, people live in “smart cities” and the global poor are uplifted by smart devices and internet banking, etc. The “in a life” profile for this chapter is an executive working with researchers to design a convincing lab-grown steak. (That could never happen, right?) ”

What’s more insidious – like the aforementioned corporate-sponsored Ceremonies with the Vine of Souls from one another. The last chapter – “Smart Scramble” – describes an “economically depressed world in which individuals and communities develop localized, makeshift solutions to a growing set of problems.” This narrative clearly sits somewhere between Hack Attack’s Mad Max dystopia and Clever Together’s U.N.-coordinated utopia. Shaky
n the 19th March 2020, the UK government declared that covid-19 was no longer considered to be a high consequence infectious disease, sub- sequently leading to it being the equivalent of a season- al flu. The government then went on to declare that the country was in a pandemic and placed the country under a lockdown. The Daily Kos showed that it was only ever heard on TV drama programs, where a prisoner is confined to their cell with only the most basic require- ments (allowed) which was in effect for 3 weeks to prevent the NHS from being overwhelmed.

One year later, and we are still living under the most draconian measures ever imposed on a supposedly free country. Freedom of speech on a life support machine, critical thinking appears to be in an induced coma and real objective journal- ism in the mainstream media in this country is now dead. Never in our history have we experienced an elected government which has imposed such heavy- handed, authoritarian and completely disproportionate tangible measures on our society for a disease that sta- tistically has a 99.7% survival rate. There is no evidence to show that ‘asymptomatic carriers’ spread this disease, which appears to have no impact on healthy children at all, despite incessant govern- ment propaganda to the contrary, using fear and behav- ioural modification techniques to ‘nudge’ the minds of a nation away from the truth. People have now been psychologically conditioned to believe that they aren’t free but instead prisoners, who are only allowed rights and privileges.

We have seen from the Johnson regime many utterly false projections spread far and wide through the media, concocting lies; spurious claims of unities worth billions, isolation and torture techniques, refusal of medical treatment, record suicides, diminution of smaller- businesses, the elderly left to die alone, families sepa- rated, cul-de-sac deaths of much of the population, and an utterly corrupt, morally moribund media, academia and judicial system that are fully supportive of disas- trous policies.

Almost everything is now upside-down in our virtual unreality. We’ve had house arrest of healthy people. Being stuck indoors is partly to blame for the modern light and air pollution sickness disorder that’s developed over the last 50 years. More of the same doesn’t help anybody’s health. The continued lack of empathy for those with- out gardens, without financial reserves and the ability to work at home on the computers. The denial of human touch and contact with others. The doomsday effect of the benefits of sea air and bracing walks in the sunshine. The denial of the benefits of being in nature and in city parks. The denial of the benefits of being in nature for the benefits of being in nature and being able to engage in contact and improve mental health.

The irony of social distancing when most of the time with touch we pass on healthy microbes and generally it’s the sick that pose the risk of infecting you. Sex stimulates the immune system. It helps lower blood pressure, it helps the heart and eases stress. All these factors help fight infec- tions and improve mental health.

The major factor in better mortality is having a purpose in life and businesses built up over 10 to 20 years are now crashing dreams all around us. It’s not that the effects of the lockdown on the economy, jobs and stress are worse in terms of death than the disease. It’s that the lockdown is fundamentally unhealthy in every respect. All for an alleged virus no one has actually taken a single wavelength of this disease. The19th March 2020, the UK government declared that covid-19 was no longer considered to be a high consequence infectious disease, sub- sequently leading to it being the equivalent of a season- al flu. The government then went on to declare that the country was in a pandemic and placed the country under a lockdown. The Daily Kos showed that it was only ever heard on TV drama programs, where a prisoner is confined to their cell with only the most basic require- ments (allowed) which was in effect for 3 weeks to prevent the NHS from being overwhelmed.

One year later, and we are still living under the most draconian measures ever imposed on a supposedly free country. Freedom of speech on a life support machine, critical thinking appears to be in an induced coma and real objective journal- ism in the mainstream media in this country is now dead. Never in our history have we experienced an elected government which has imposed such heavy- handed, authoritarian and completely disproportionate tangible measures on our society for a disease that sta- tistically has a 99.7% survival rate. There is no evidence to show that ‘asymptomatic carriers’ spread this disease, which appears to have no impact on healthy children at all, despite incessant govern- ment propaganda to the contrary, using fear and behav- ioural modification techniques to ‘nudge’ the minds of a nation away from the truth. People have now been psychologically conditioned to believe that they aren’t free but instead prisoners, who are only allowed rights and privileges.

We have seen from the Johnson regime many utterly false projections spread far and wide through the media, concocting lies; spurious claims of unities worth billions, isolation and torture techniques, refusal of medical treatment, record suicides, diminution of smaller- businesses, the elderly left to die alone, families sepa- rated, cul-de-sac deaths of much of the population, and an utterly corrupt, morally moribund media, academia and judicial system that are fully supportive of disas- trous policies.

Almost everything is now upside-down in our virtual unreality. We’ve had house arrest of healthy people. Being stuck indoors is partly to blame for the modern light and air pollution sickness disorder that’s developed over the last 50 years. More of the same doesn’t help anybody’s health. The continued lack of empathy for those with- out gardens, without financial reserves and the ability to work at home on the computers. The denial of human touch and contact with others. The doomsday effect of the benefits of sea air and bracing walks in the sunshine. The denial of the benefits of being in nature and in city parks. The denial of the benefits of being in nature for the benefits of being in nature and being able to engage in contact and improve mental health.

The irony of social distancing when most of the time with touch we pass on healthy microbes and generally it’s the sick that pose the risk of infecting you. Sex stimulates the immune system. It helps lower blood pressure, it helps the heart and eases stress. All these factors help fight infec- tions and improve mental health.

The major factor in better mortality is having a purpose in life and businesses built up over 10 to 20 years are now crashing dreams all around us. It’s not that the effects of the lockdown on the economy, jobs and stress are worse in terms of death than the disease. It’s that the lockdown is fundamentally unhealthy in every respect. All for an alleged virus no one has actually taken a single wavelength of this disease. The
The Arrest of Bonnie Crombie

On the morning of March 20, 2021, over 200 ordinary citizens came together in Celebration Square in Mississauga to participate in a Common Law court proceeding to process a summons that was sent to Mississauga Mayor Bonnie Crombie a day earlier by 3 members of Stand4Thee.

Summons under common law and natural justice
To Bonnie Crombie the [woman] acting as the mayor of the Service Corporation of MISSISSauga Whereas you have been accused before the men and women and parents of MISSISSauga in that you, Have committed an ongoing assault and abuse on the children of the service corporation of the REGION OF PEEL and the service corporation of the CITY OF MISSISSauga You are to appear at Mississauga Celebration Square on 20th day of March 2021 at 10:10 am. Issued at the service corporation of MISSISSauga, ONTARIO this 19th day of March, 2021

Daniel Warren Oke, Rebecca Sheppard, Cullen Mcdonald

By Richard Enos of DaoCoaching.com

s this ‘Pandemic’ wears on, it is becoming evident to a growing number of citizens that the measures being levied upon us and our children by our elected officials and school boards are getting ever further divorced from the science, statistics and plain common sense about what is best for our health. The only logical explanation left for propounding this research is that our elected leaders and school board officials are simply following an agenda of control that is being thrust upon them from above. While protests and rallies against these measures have been ongoing in Canada and around the world, a growing number of people have also discovered the Common Law and the process these measures have been issued through, and in these activities have done little to elicit a response from our highly insulated elected officials. An Ontario group by the name of Stand4Thee (www.Stand4Thee.com) has spearheaded an effort to hold our elected leaders directly accountable, and rather than continuing to wait for the slow and grinding wheels of our current court system to deliver some measure of justice, Stand4Thee has decided to educate themselves and others on the Common Law, and implement immediate Common Law actions commensurate with the urgency of the situation, especially in regards to the magnitude of damage being inflicted on our children.

The WHO is essentially claiming control over the forc- es of nature that are far from being well-researched or understood by today’s science. It doesn’t take a PhD to know that it is impossible to predict the emergence of a virus or control its spread between humans and animals, but it is an entirely different matter when it comes to con- trol of human desires, especially in the gentry’s desire to keep the poor at a safe distance, they wear masks, stay indoors, group in social bubbles) they accept severe violation of their most essen- tial human rights as a necessary virtue. The new approach of the WHO fully disre- gards the “human” element in humans. We are not seen as living social crea- tures driven by per- sonal goals and desires, but as mere elements in the virus transmission chain. The once onceypa idiosyncrasy is today’s reality, and it is supported by the grotesque formalism insti- tuted by the World Health Organization (WHO). The WHO implicitly suggests that people no longer belong to themselves. They cannot make own health choices, things they do not want to do as a group, holding objectives that are legally obliged to keep away from the virus, even if makes it hard to … breathe? They find it unethical to let children go to school unmasked and play together, because they may cause them “unnecessary suffering, infections and death.” Just like a livestock that needs to be kept in stables and properly managed to avoid outbreak of the foot-and- mouth disease. This may be an ugly analogy, but this is the apparent stand of The WHO that is being carried out in the polices of local public health establishments. If allowed to unfold, the rhetoric of the WHO paves the way to the new public health doctrine whereby the authorities can arbitrarily set health standards and actively manage the population, which creates potential for devastating loss of control that the WHO has not yet seen. Those who control health – control life. The WHO considers it unscientific and unethical to let people enjoy essen- tial liberties and be the humans they are born to be. Are they finally free, but are not moving fast in this direction.

In the movie Idiocracy, the crops do not grow, because they are watered with electrolytes; common sense does not rule there, plants grow in electrolyte bubbles, because they are not rule there, plants are given electro- lytes, because they crave electrolytes, – this is the future the WHO (World Health Or- ganization) science said. The logic is formally correct, but it loses its meaning when it is refined and cleared of other essential substance. By following the formal definition everybody forgot that plants need water, just like humans need to breathe fresh air and be humans instead of being kept safe in “individual bubbles”.

By Richard Enos of DaoCoaching.com
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Ethics out the window?

The WHO is essentially claiming control over the forc- es of nature that are far from being well-researched or understood by today’s science. It doesn’t take a PhD to know that it is impossible to predict the emergence of a virus or control its spread between humans and animals, but it is an entirely different matter when it comes to con- trol of human desires, especially in the gentry’s desire to keep the poor at a safe distance, they wear masks, stay indoors, group in social bubbles) they accept severe violation of their most essen- tial human rights as a necessary virtue. The new approach of the WHO fully disre- gards the “human” element in humans. We are not seen as living social crea- tures driven by per- sonal goals and desires, but as mere elements in the virus transmission chain. The once onceypa idiosyncrasy is today’s reality, and it is supported by the grotesque formalism insti- tuted by the World Health Organization (WHO). The WHO implicitly suggests that people no longer belong to themselves. They cannot make own health choices, things they do not want to do as a group, holding objectives that are legally obliged to keep away from the virus, even if makes it hard to … breathe? They find it unethical to let children go to school unmasked and play together, because they may cause them “unnecessary suffering, infections and death.” Just like a livestock that needs to be kept in stables and properly managed to avoid outbreak of the foot-and-
L
aw enforcement officers in Canada and elsewhere take an oath. In our nation, they operate on a foundation of ethics and lawful guiding principles that claim to recognize the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms as our legal foundation. Depending on the Canadian police service, various Oaths taken contain a variety of terms and promises. The net result of the Oath and the police service ethics policy combined incorporates an expectation of Trust, Loyalty, Impartiality and Courage upon every serving police officer. Trust is the credibility of one’s behaviour based on integrity, intent, capability and results. Loyalty refers to the governing body, the citizens of your jurisdiction, the Queen or whatever depending on the document. Courage is moral strength and the choice to willingly confront agony, danger and uncertainty of harm.

Impartiality is a key component to effective justice based on objective unbiased criteria. I have had the pleasure of working with thousands of men and women in blue from many different services for over 32 years. It was rewarding to work with so many dedicated and courageous members whose sacrifices and commitment even cost some their lives while protecting our citizens.

On occasion, law enforcement officers can experience situations where their oath has been compromised by their orders. These scenarios can occur within a legitimate crisis, an “emergency” real or fabricated, or even at the hands of an overly zealous superior or virtue seeking politician. Any conflict between loyalty to one’s oath and a compromise of one’s integrity is every bit as dangerous to one’s moral compass and personal mental health just as is any job related trauma experienced in the line of duty.

We’ve a time in our history where we are all facing and witnessing questionable directives in clear violation of our Rights and Freedoms. These directives need to be met with moral courage and the choice to willingly confront agony, danger and uncertainty of harm. Many of our ancestors laid down their lives fighting for freedom. The violation of these Rights, so easily dismissed by our governments without supporting data have already led to the arrest and jailing of Pastor James Coates for providing church services. In addition, numerous violent arrests for peaceful protesting, attending a retail outlet with a valid mask exemption, skating on a frozen pond or skateboarding outdoors without a face cover, etc. etc.

In addition to these frivolous, politically based arrests, thousands of charges were laid for spending time with friends or family, attending or holding Church services, failing to stay at home or failing to unnecessarily quarantine when returning to Canada following a negative test result. Time with friends or family, attending or holding Church services, failing to stay at home or failing to unnecessarily quarantine when returning to Canada following a negative test result.

Don’t Leave Your OATH In Your Locker
By Vincent Giracy,

When You Do Such A Bad Job That Nobody Is Willing To Praise You Legitimately, Paying People Is The Only Option
By Spencer Fernando

Blacklock’s Reporter has revealed that Patty Hajdu’s department is paying ‘influencers’ to praise people to spread fake news. If Hajdu had done a good job, the health department wouldn’t need to pay for praise, it would be happening organically. Of course, Hajdu hasn’t done a good job. She’s been perhaps the worst minister in the Trudeau government, wrong on everything, constantly reversing her advice, saying border controls would ‘cause harm,’ putting virtue-signalling ahead of reality, trusting China, ignoring her own advice, and on and on and on.

In short, she has been so bad at the job that in addition to the price we’ve paid due to her terrible performance, we are now being forced to pay for people to spread fake praise about the department so incompetent leads.

Health Minister Patricia Hajdu

Originally published at: https://spencerfernando.com/2021/03/25/liberal-gov-ment-busted-paying-people-to-praise-hajdus-depart-ment-blacklocks/
It's Staying Human That Is Important

By Todd Hayen, PhD

You don’t have to be spiritual to understand unity – you are conscious of our human unity whenever you talk to a friend, look at a new baby, cry at a movie, hug a loved one, or make love. You are even aware of unity when you walk down the street and smile at a stranger, or shake hands with a business associate, or jump out of your seat cheering at a sporting event when your team advances a point. You are aware of your unity with nature when you watch a sunset, take a walk in the woods, hug your dog or pet your cat, or feel the cool breeze pass over you as you navigate a kayak through the rapids of a raging river.

You get your point – unity consciousness, or unity awareness – occurs when you are consciously tuned into being human – integrating with the world around you. Humanity is partly defined by the relationship you have with other humans, sharing the rituals of life: marriages, group activities, worship in congregation, funerals, and other purely human activities – touching, loving, hugging, crying in emotional outbursts, and sharing life experiences. Experiencing life’s riches gathered with those you love – or even with people you don’t know. Everyone, in whatever examples, are human. We all share that.

Is this important? I would say it is vitally important, so important in fact, that I believe we would perish if we didn’t have a form of it if it is forced. How many remember the old line “Mind your own business,” “Mind your own business,” “Mind your own business.” How many remember the old line “Mind your own business,” “Mind your own business,” “Mind your own business.”

How do you define it? How do we define it? What is it? We can imagine? We seem to know instinctively that solitary confinement fits that definition. “Thirty days in the hole, that’ll teach ‘im” – a common line in movies and books describing the inhumane treatment that accompanies incarceration. Humans don’t do well in isolation – any form of it if it is forced. How many remember the old Star Trek original series pilot? When Captain Pike is imprisoned by highly advanced humanoids bent on studying human behavior. The captain could be set in any environment he could imagine, but still a prisoner.

It was entirely unacceptable. He had to be free. Humans need other human interaction, touch, smiles, hugs. They also need to feel safe around others, and feel free to be who they are. Even in our modern culture, which has developed around an unnatural fear of “other”, we have learned that a welcoming smile from a stranger is a sign of friendliness. We don’t fear shaking hands, even hugging and kissing a stranger if the setting is right. These are not just tribal customs, they are essential to our emotional and psychological health. We are, at a base level, a gregarious sort. If you watch any anthropological footage of scientists in a primate tribal setting you will see how touchy-feely the tribe members are. Very often they all sleep piled up on each other in one large communal hut. Humans are designed to touch and stroke, skin to skin. Maybe we are not quite as chummy these days in our modern steel, glass, and concrete environment, but we have learned to compensate for this modern lack of intimacy – but essentially the need for this deep physical intimacy is in our bones.

Again, is this so important? Yes, I believe it is. More important than you can imagine. What we see happening in our Covid world today is a deliberate, and conscious, removal of this intimate touch. We are programmed to feel the spontaneous outbursts of laughter as we place on gatherings with family and friends, hearing a smile you both can appreciate, and from which you both can feel we are human beings and not merely flesh and blood chattel. A serious accusation, I know, but I cannot see any other explanation for it. Science has shown there is very little, if any, reason to use masks, social distancing, and lockdown, to mitigate the effects of a relatively typical viral presence. If you don’t understand what I am saying here you need to do a little more research, do it before it is too late due to rampant censorship of any thinking that is contrary to the mainstream narrative. We are very possibly experiencing a psychological operation that will render us less defiant, less willing to speak up. And whatever is being shelled out to us. Anyone who has read, or seen the film rendition, of George Orwell’s Nineteen Eighty Four will clearly see enough to make one raise the question about the effects of what we have been subjected to.

We are living in an unnatural world, and the very definition of the concept of human nature as we know it is dying, we are losing some of our most precious ways of being aware of our unity; we are becoming, slowly, like the people in Orwell’s dystopian world. If you have seen the film rendition, you will get a clear picture of where we are headed. And we don’t even know it.

What value can we place on the joy and soul nourishing we experience when we hold a new-born baby? Walk on the beach with a newly discovered soul-mate? Hug a friend who has had a tough day, shake the hand of a business associate at the consummation of a good business transaction - accompanied by a broad smile you both can appreciate, and from which you both can feel we are human beings and not merely flesh and blood chattel. A serious accusation, I know, but I cannot see any other explanation for it. Science has shown there is very little, if any, reason to use masks, social distancing, and lockdown, to mitigate the effects of a relatively typical viral presence. If you don’t understand what I am saying here you need to do a little more research, do it before it is too late due to rampant censorship of any thinking that is contrary to the mainsteam narrative. We are very possibly experiencing a psychological operation that will render us less defiant, less willing to speak up. And whatever is being shelled out to us. Anyone who has read, or seen the film rendition, of George Orwell’s Nineteen Eighty Four will clearly see enough to make one raise the question about the effects of what we have been subjected to.

One of the most famous quotes Orwell gives us in Nineteen Eighty Four is: “It’s not so much staying alive, it’s staying human that is important.” In this case we can do both if we wake up and pay attention. But we don’t seem to be doing either, and that is the true tragedy.

---

Public Notice

CATEGORY: Public Notice: Republic of Ontario Sovereignty

NOTICE & ANNOUNCEMENT of Ontario a Free and Independent Nation:

The lack of Moral and Ethical actions pressed upon We THE Sovereigns of Ontario by the unlawful De Facto government. Full Document, constitutional conventions of which may be read at our website:constitutionalconventions.ca. The Natural Sovereign Law will operate and function in concert with a Natural Sovereign Law Grand Jury. Notification from the sovereign to the unlawful De Facto government. Notification to the sovereign is Notification to the unlawful De Facto government. The opinions and views stated herein are not the opinions and views of this publisher.

March 16, 2021

Public Notice: British Columbia

NOTICE & ANNOUNCEMENT:

British Columbia a Free and Independent Nation=Province has established an open, public, de jure Council convened of “We The Sovereigns” due to the lack of moral and ethical actions pressed upon We The Sovereigns of British Columbia by the PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA. Full Document of which may be read at plancouncilbc.ca. Notice to the Principal is Notice to the Agent. Notice to the Agent is Notice to the Principal. The opinions and views stated herein are not the opinions and views of this publisher.
• March 20, 2021, hundreds of thousands of people across the world united in the “Worldwide Freedom Rally,” including upward of 10,000 people in Toronto, plus 10’s of thousands more people across Canada. Where was the mainstream media? thererolutionwillnotbe televised

• Bill Gates is getting ready to spray chalk dust into the stratosphere to cool down the planet. The first test balloon of his multi-million-dollar project to attempt to “dim the sun” by spraying millions of tons of chalk into the stratosphere is on course to take flight this June in Sweden.

• 24 countries have suspended the AstraZeneca vaccine because an unusual type of blood clot in the brain, accompanied by a low platelet count, has been seen in young people (primarily under age 18) taking the vaccine. Some countries are now only offering the shots to people over age 55. Meanwhile, the AstraZeneca vaccine being supplied to Africa and other poorer nations through the “COVAX support program” have been unaffected.

• A Windsor, Ontario man lost shared custody of his kids because his pandemic-denial beliefs mean he “likely wouldn’t take appropriate actions to keep them safe from COVID-19.” The man is now only allowed to see his children in a supervised access center where he will have to abide by the facility’s safety measures.

• Pfizer expects to generate an additional $15 billion revenue in March 2021, hundreds of thousands of people over age 55. Meanwhile, the AstraZeneca vaccine being supplied to Africa and other poorer nations through the “COVAX support program” have been unaffected.

• Toronto’s Medical Officer of Health, Dr. Eileen De Villa, is married to a doctor who has financial ties to two of the leading COVID-19 vaccine manufacturers. Her husband, Dr. Richard Choi, has allegedly received a large sum of money from COVID-19 vaccine manufacturers Pfizer and AstraZeneca.

• New York State issues America’s first “vaccine passport” called the “Excelsior Pass.” This pass will be used at various events and venues statewide. It also allows people to legally increase the size of a wedding party or other catered events.

• A study published by the Environmental Pollution finds loose-fitting nanoparticles and nanofibres on the inner surface of a variety of popular face masks that have the potential to be inhalable by the user. According to the University of Edinburgh, nanofibers can reach the lung cavity when inhaled and may pose a risk due to their similar shape to asbestos.

• CBS News featured a “nose-only” mask on their program as a safe way to eat when one takes off their primary mask.

• The province of Ontario postponed 227,000 surgeries in the first year of the pandemic response. Also, a QP briefing reported that one million fewer cancer screenings were done in Ontario in 2020.

• Chief Public Health Officer of Canada, Dr. Theresa Tam, finally admits: “I think the tragedy and the massive lessons learned for everyone in Canada is that we were at every level, not able to protect our seniors, particularly those in long-term care homes.”

• Ontario Science Table Member, David Fisman, has come under fire for a possible conflict of interest due to taking money from a teacher’s union allegedly to argue against schools reopening.

• In the UK, a new Bill has eroded the right to protest. The Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Bill limits the right to protest in many ways, including: allowing police to impose a start and finish time to protests, allows police to arrest protesters who have been deemed as “annoying,” sets noise limits, and outright bans protests in front of the UK Parliament.

• Muslims are now required to be vaccinated in order to enter a school. Another and getting temperature checks outside the school implement a digital “Daily Pass.” The system requires children (who have proven they don’t have COVID) to be scanned into school with their own unique QR code.

• Bill Gates has partnered with the LA school board to implement a digital “Daily Pass.” The system requires students to be scanned into school with their own unique QR code. And per the district rules, students will still be wearing masks, staying six feet apart from one another and getting temperature checks outside the school.

• Miss Piggy has come under fire amid demands that the popular Muppets character be pulled off the screen for “sexual harassment and anti-Semitic violence” against Kermit the frog. These allegations and calls for retirement come just one month after the Muppets were hit with an “offensive content warning” on Disney+ and made only viewable by adults.